

INDIA

Deployed but Ungoverned

The State of Agentic Security



To understand how organizations are governing agentic AI, we surveyed 3,766 senior security professionals across 20 countries and 8 industries, including 500 security leaders in India. The agentic security study explores three critical areas: what agents are operating and how many are visible, who is accountable for agentic security governance and whether security is built in early, and how organizations can demonstrate posture on demand.

Agentic security incidents are common. Fast containment is not.



92%

of organizations had an agentic security incident in the last year



ONLY

36%

of those organizations contained the incident in under 24 hours

Agentic AI extends to physical and operational technology (OT)



83%

run agents in or interacting with OT or physical environments



75%

have had an OT or physical incident involving an agent

Confidence outpaces control

50%

assess their agentic security as established and purpose-built for agentic AI. **Yet, only...**

19% have a single named, accountable owner for agentic AI governance

18% have single-interface, unified visibility

30% enforce an automated deployment gate

47% can produce a complete evidence package on demand

© 2026 ServiceNow, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

servicenow

Few have defensible security posture

The agentic security scale places organizations into four posture bands, from limited to defensible. While many self-assess as having established agentic security posture, few hold up against a measured scale that examines progress across five key domains: attack surface, accountability, security by design, visibility, and audit readiness. **Only 13% of organizations have defensible security posture.**

Five moves for defensible posture

01 Build a continuous inventory and map agent access

31% of organizations with defensible security posture have real-time visibility into more than 90% of their agents, compared to 17% of others

02 Embed security before design

55% of organizations with defensible posture contain incidents in under 24 hours, compared to 33% of others

03 Unify visibility across agents, identities, and posture

65% of organizations with defensible posture have automated real-time anomaly detection, compared to 49% of others

04 Capture continuous evidence

44% of organizations with defensible posture have audit-trail requirements for AI agent activity, compared to 39% of others

05 Assign one accountable owner across the enterprise

49% of organizations with defensible posture require mandatory automated gates for agent deployment, compared to 29% of others

Agentic security 2026 study

Download the full report to dive deeper into Deployed but ungoverned: the state of agentic security







© 2026 ServiceNow, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Incident base = total n. Containment base = respondents who experienced an incident, excluding 'Don't know'.

servicenow