




2014 Annual Report



Serving Central New Jersey



30 million people, or approximately 10% of the total U.S. population, reside within a 2-hour drive of the OceanFirst market area.

- Retail Branches
- New Branch Opening in 2015
- Colonial American Branches
- Wealth Management Office & Loan Production Office
- ▲ Electronic Services Locations

Financial Summary

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

AT OR FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	2014	2013	2012
Selected Financial Condition Data:			
Total assets	\$2,356,714	\$2,249,711	\$2,269,228
Loans receivable, net	1,688,846	1,541,460	1,523,200
Deposits	1,720,135	1,746,763	1,719,671
Stockholders' equity	218,259	214,350	219,792
Selected Operating Data:			
Net interest income	72,348	70,529	73,512
Other income	18,577	16,458	17,724
Operating expenses (1)	57,764	59,244	52,389
Net income (1)	19,920	16,330	20,020
Diluted earnings per share (1)	1.19	0.95	1.12
Selected Financial Ratios:			
Stockholders' equity per common share	12.91	12.33	12.28
Cash dividend per share	0.49	0.48	0.48
Stockholders' equity to total assets	9.26%	9.53%	9.69%
Return on average assets (1)	0.86	0.71	0.87
Return on average stockholders' equity (1)	9.18	7.51	9.15
Average interest rate spread	3.23	3.16	3.27
Net interest margin	3.31	3.24	3.37
Operating expenses to average assets (1)	2.50	2.58	2.29
Efficiency ratio (1)	63.53	68.11	57.42
Non-performing loans to total loans receivable	1.06	2.88	2.80

(1) Amounts and performance ratios for 2013 include non-recurring expenses relating to the prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances of \$4.3 million and the consolidation of two branches into newer, in-market facilities, at a cost of \$579,000. The total after tax cost was \$3.1 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share. Amounts and performance ratios for 2012 include an additional loan loss provision of \$1.8 million relating to superstorm Sandy and \$687,000 in net severance expense. The total after tax cost was \$1.6 million, or \$0.09 per diluted share.

Letter to Shareholders


MARCH 19, 2015

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

The significant progress that our Company achieved in 2014 continued the tradition of delivering shareholder value while providing a strong foundation for building our future. Our commercial banking business grew significantly and we took action on a number of fronts to set the stage for continued growth and profitability in 2015 and beyond. Operating results for the year were solid, with net income of \$19.9 million, return on average assets of 0.86%, and return on average stockholder's equity of 9.18%. Importantly, the Company maintained a healthy capital ratio of tangible common equity to assets of 9.26%. On this strength, we increased the common stock dividend to \$0.13 in the third quarter of 2014.

Solid Commercial Loan Growth

Despite a highly competitive market, our strategy of recruiting experienced and professional commercial lenders is resulting in solid commercial loan growth as evidenced by Commercial Loan originations totaling \$243.9 million, producing net portfolio growth of \$144.4 million, or 24.5%. This loan growth was accompanied by commercial deposit growth of \$43.4 million, or 14.3%. The Bank is demonstrating an ability to grow strong and profitable relationships with influential in-market business owners and investors by focusing on the direct origination of commercial loans sourced by experienced lenders. Building on these results, the Bank recruited a fourth lending team to establish a new commercial banking presence in Mercer County to enhance our commercial lending capabilities in Mercer, Middlesex, and western Monmouth counties. This team is operating from a commercial loan production office opened in Ewing, New Jersey in February 2015. The loan production office provides an opportunity to establish a presence in a contiguous, new market that will offer growth opportunities for years to come.




Building for the Future

Middlesex and Mercer counties constitute a robust contiguous market with a population of 1.2 million residents, median household income in excess of \$74,000 and projected household income growth rates of 3.5% and 5.6%, respectively. Expanding in this direction also provides

“Building on these results, the Bank recruited a fourth lending team to establish a new commercial banking presence in Mercer County to enhance our commercial lending capabilities in Mercer, Middlesex, and western Monmouth counties.”

the opportunity to become a market leader in the corridor directly between New York and Philadelphia, where 10% of the United States population can be reached within a two hour drive.

As loan growth continues and customer convenience becomes a differentiating factor, it is important to continue to invest in core deposit gathering capabilities. The Bank has made substantial investments in customer delivery technology upgrades, including launching mobile (smartphone) remote deposit functionality, installing new, state-of-the-art ATMs at all locations, and introducing the Bank's first remote service facility in a retirement community. The remote service facility replaced a prior full-service branch operated by a competing regional bank and offers personalized service through a combination of remote teller devices and part-time customer support. The Bank also plans to open a second full-service branch in Jackson mid-year 2015. Using our experience in implementing a universal banker concept in the Red Bank Financial Solutions Center and the remote teller services now offered, the new Jackson facility will be materially less expensive to operate than a conventional branch, without sacrificing customer convenience and service.



"On February 25, 2015, the Company announced an agreement to acquire Colonial American Bank, headquartered in Middletown, New Jersey."

Acquisition of Colonial American Bank

On February 25, 2015, the Company announced an agreement to acquire Colonial American Bank, headquartered in Middletown, New Jersey. This acquisition will provide the Bank with branches in two of the most attractive markets targeted in our strategic plan, enhance our competitiveness in Monmouth County, and increase our operating scale to support enhanced profitability. Colonial American's commercial and retail customers will benefit by the broader capabilities offered by OceanFirst, including our Wealth Management expertise. Importantly, the transaction price is conservative, resulting in minimal tangible book value dilution, modest earnings accretion, and a short payback period. The regulatory process will take some time to complete, but we expect to close this transaction before year-end 2015.



Enhanced Risk Management

Banking is a risk management business and depends upon a clear understanding of developing risk positions and a thoughtful implementation of risk controls. Protecting the business against shifting risk positions often requires short-term investments be made to protect the company over the long-term. During 2014 the Bank took action to further protect against the risks of interest rate increases, to address the competitive environment in residential lending, and to mitigate a geographic concentration in Monmouth and Ocean counties. Actions taken in 2014 allocated resources that negatively impacted current period profitability in order to maintain

the Bank's conservative risk posture. These investments are expected to provide enhanced risk management over the long-term.

Economic experts are often humbled by unanticipated movements in interest rates. Community banks should recognize various interest rate risk scenarios and, whenever possible, manage towards a neutral balance sheet. Interest rate forecasts are uncertain, but the market consensus indicates modestly increasing interest rates, a view which continues to be supported by the Federal Reserve. Given this outlook, the Bank chose to increase the level of protection against potential interest rate increases, at the cost of pressure on the net interest margin, by migrating \$72 million of interest-bearing checking to non-interest bearing accounts, extending \$144 million of FHLB advances to an average maturity of 46 months, and focusing portfolio originations on loans with interest rate adjustment periods of five years or less. The average duration of our commercial loan portfolio is just 2.75 years and the average duration of our investment portfolio is just 2.6 years. We cannot be sure what the future will bring, however, these investments should provide returns well above the short-term costs incurred. Despite these actions, Commercial Loan growth enabled the Bank to maintain a net interest margin of 3.27%.

The residential lending business has been a cornerstone of the Bank for more than 100 years, however, the current market is particularly challenging. The housing sector is slowly recovering but the prospect of interest rate increases may further dampen residential mortgage prospects. With interest rates at the lowest levels for several years now, a generational refinancing boom is likely at an end. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, both of which remain in government conservatorship, exert market-dominating power without being fully subject to the repercussions that private companies endure. One example is Fannie Mae's return to a 3% down-payment mortgage in December 2014. The Bank does not plan to offer this product, even though mortgages originated under this program are salable to Fannie Mae at a profit. The challenge facing the Bank in 2014 was to address short-term prospects while maintaining the ability to support our customers and the broader community by providing residential mortgages at an acceptable risk level with an acceptable return. The Bank addressed these challenges by re-engineering the residential loan origination process, selling the majority of residential non-performing loans, and exiting the business of servicing loans for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These actions leave us with a more efficient and effective residential lending business. Residential loan volumes increased in the second half of 2014 as the Bank continued to play a leadership position in financing the effort to rebuild the New Jersey shore. In 2014, construction lending focused on Superstorm Sandy reconstruction, which totaled over \$76 million.


Geographic focus enhances franchise value and supports operating efficiency, but needs to be balanced against concentrating exposure to local economic conditions. While geographic focus aids in the assessment of credit risk, it presents the issue of correlation between local economic conditions and the performance of the Bank. The balance between geographic focus

"The average duration of our commercial loan portfolio is just 2.75 years and the average duration of our investment portfolio is just 2.6 years."

and economic concentration requires even more consideration when pursuing a growth strategy. As a result, the slight expansion of our primary commercial lending market to include Middlesex and Mercer counties diversifies the risk associated with the local New Jersey shore economy while still allowing the overall franchise to remain tightly focused.

Capital Management

The Company maintained a prudent capital position while also managing to return surplus capital to shareholders in the form of share repurchases and a cash dividend. Having concluded the existing share repurchase program, the Board authorized a new stock repurchase program allowing for the repurchase of an additional 867,923 shares. The common stock dividend was increased by 8.3% in the third quarter of 2014 to \$0.13 per share as the net interest margin stabilized and the earnings outlook strengthened. We believe the acquisition of Colonial American Bank will be an efficient use of capital. While our best use of capital is always to support high quality and profitable growth, balancing safety and soundness along with growth prospects and shareholder returns will continue to be the hallmarks of the Company's capital management philosophy.



“Chairman John Garbarino’s retirement as CEO marked a milestone for both John and the Company.”

Board and Management Development

Throughout 2014 and early 2015 we attracted seasoned commercial lenders and experienced credit analysts, a new Director of Internal Audit, and most recently established and filled the position of Chief Credit Officer. While all the team additions are important, we are particularly pleased with the new hires in risk management roles as they provide expertise that will be crucial to maintaining a conservative risk position while supporting growth across our business lines.

Chairman John Garbarino’s retirement as CEO marked a milestone for both John and the Company. His imprint on the Company is evident in the culture of excellence he has driven since his arrival at the Bank more than 44 years ago. The Company is fortunate that John has continued in his role as Chairman of the Board and I am personally appreciative of his continuing advice and counsel.

Director John W. Chadwick retired as a member of the Board in March 2015, having reached the mandatory retirement age. We would like to thank Jack for his thirteen years of service as a Director, and we wish him well upon his retirement.

Director Jack M. Farris was appointed to succeed Jack Chadwick in March 2015. This appointment demonstrates the Board’s attention to the increasing importance of information

security. Cybersecurity is a crucial consideration in the information economy and banks are particularly attractive cyber targets. In order to protect our customers and meet regulatory obligations it is critical that the Company secure adequate resources at every level, including the Boardroom. Jack's information security experience, honed over a long and successful career with Verizon, coupled with his technical skills and an MS in Computer Engineering, is a welcome addition to the Board.

Building on the heritage of 113 years of solid financial performance and prudent risk management, our Company continues to build momentum in our lending business, increase our customer service offerings, expand into neighboring geographies, and for the first time, will supplement organic growth with a complementary, in-market bank acquisition. We remain excited about the Company's prospects and appreciate the support and confidence you demonstrate in investing in OceanFirst Financial Corp.

Sincerely,



Christopher D. Maher
President,
Chief Executive Officer



**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-K**

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 001-11713

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

22-3412577
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

975 Hooper Avenue, Toms River, New Jersey 08753
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (732) 240-4500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share
(Title of class)

The Nasdaq Global Select Market
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐.

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒.

The aggregate market fair value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, i.e., persons other than the directors and executive officers of the registrant, was \$267,259,000 based upon the closing price of such common equity as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock as of March 5, 2015 was 16,901,253.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days from December 31, 2014, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	28
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	33
Item 2. Properties	33
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	33
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	33
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	34
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	36
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	57
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	100
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	100
Item 9B. Other Information	101
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	101
Item 11. Executive Compensation	101
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	102
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	102
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	102
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	103
Signatures	106

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

OceanFirst Financial Corp. (the “Company”) is incorporated under Delaware law and serves as the holding company for OceanFirst Bank (the “Bank”). At December 31, 2014, the Company had consolidated total assets of \$2.4 billion and total stockholders’ equity of \$218.3 million. The Company is a savings and loan holding company subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “FRB”) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The Bank is subject to regulation and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”). Currently, the Company does not transact any material business other than through its subsidiary, the Bank.

OceanFirst Financial Corp. has been the holding company for OceanFirst Bank since it acquired the stock of the Bank upon the Bank’s conversion from a Federally-chartered mutual savings bank to a Federally-chartered capital stock savings bank in 1996 (the “Conversion”). The Bank’s principal business has been and continues to be attracting retail and business deposits in the communities surrounding its branch offices and investing those deposits primarily in loans, consisting of single-family, owner-occupied residential mortgage loans, and commercial real estate and other commercial loans which have become a key focus of the Bank. The Bank also invests in other types of loans, including residential construction and consumer loans. In addition, the Bank invests in mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”), securities issued by the U.S. Government and agencies thereof, corporate securities and other investments permitted by applicable law and regulations. The Bank’s revenues are derived principally from interest on its loans, and to a lesser extent, interest on its investment and mortgage-backed securities. The Bank also receives income from fees and service charges on loan and deposit products, wealth management services, Bankcard services and the sale of alternative investment products, e.g., mutual funds, annuities and life insurance. The Bank’s primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans, investments and mortgage-backed securities, investment maturities, proceeds from the sale of loans, Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances and other borrowings.

The Company’s website address is www.oceanfirst.com. The Company’s annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports are available free of charge through its website, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The Company’s website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In addition to historical information, this Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995 which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words “believe”, “expect”, “intend”, “anticipate”, “estimate”, “project”, “will”, “should”, “may”, “view”, “opportunity”, “potential”, or similar expressions or expressions of confidence. The Company’s ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, those items discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors herein and the following: changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, levels of unemployment in the Bank’s lending area, real estate market values in the Bank’s lending area, future natural disasters and increases to flood insurance premiums, the level of prepayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the FRB, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Company’s market area and accounting principles and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Market Area and Competition

The Bank is a community-oriented financial institution, offering a wide variety of financial services to meet the needs of the communities it serves. The Bank conducts its business through an administrative/branch office located in Toms River, New Jersey, and twenty-two additional branch offices. Eighteen of the offices are located in Ocean County, New Jersey, four offices are in Monmouth County including a Financial Solutions Center in Red Bank also offering lending and wealth management services and one in Middlesex County. The Bank also operates a wealth management office in Manchester, New Jersey. A commercial loan production office was opened in Mercer County in the first quarter of 2015 to better serve the broader central New Jersey market area. Additionally, the Bank expects to open its second branch office in Jackson Township, Ocean County, in the second quarter of 2015. The Bank's deposit gathering and lending activities are concentrated in the markets surrounding its branch office network.

The Bank is the largest and oldest community-based financial institution headquartered in Ocean County, New Jersey, which is located along the central New Jersey shore. The economy in the Bank's primary market area is based upon a mixture of service and retail trade, some of which is based on tourism at the New Jersey shore. Other employment is provided by a variety of wholesale trade, manufacturing, Federal, state and local government, hospitals and utilities. The area is also home to commuters working in areas in and around New York City and Philadelphia. In October 2012, the Bank's primary market area was adversely impacted by superstorm Sandy which caused substantial property damage, however, there has been recent evidence of significant rebuilding and improved economic activity as the area recovers.

The Bank's future growth opportunities will be partly influenced by the growth and stability of the local economy and the competitive environment. The Bank faces significant competition both in making loans and in attracting deposits. The State of New Jersey is an attractive market to many financial institutions. Many of the Bank's competitors are branches of significantly larger institutions headquartered out-of-market which have greater financial resources than the Bank. The Bank's competition for loans comes principally from commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage banking companies and insurance companies. Its most direct competition for deposits has historically come from commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations and credit unions although the Bank also faces competition for deposits from short-term money market funds, other corporate and government securities funds, internet-only providers and from other financial service institutions such as brokerage firms and insurance companies. The Bank distinguishes itself from large banking competitors through its local presence and ability to deliver personalized service.

Acquisition

On February 25, 2015, the Company announced an agreement to acquire Colonial American Bank ("Colonial"), headquartered in Middletown, New Jersey, in an all stock transaction valued at approximately \$11.3 million. Under the terms of the agreement, each outstanding share of Colonial common stock and preferred stock will be exchanged for 0.3736 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to possible adjustment as provided for in the merger agreement. The transaction is expected to close before year-end 2015, subject to certain conditions, including the approval by Colonial's stockholders and customary regulatory approvals.

Colonial operates two full-service banking offices in Middletown and Shrewsbury, New Jersey with total assets of \$144 million, including \$127 million in total loans and \$129 million in total deposits as of December 31, 2014. The combined institution will have \$2.5 billion in assets, \$1.8 billion in loans and \$1.8 billion in deposits, with 25 offices serving the central New Jersey market. Management considers this in-market acquisition an attractive complement to the Red Bank Financial Solutions Center and strengthens the Bank's presence in Monmouth County.

Lending Activities

Loan Portfolio Composition. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had total loans outstanding of \$1.723 billion, of which \$742.1 million, or 43.1% of total loans were one-to-four family, residential mortgage loans. The remainder

of the portfolio consisted of \$650.0 million of commercial real estate, multi-family and land loans, or 37.7% of total loans; \$47.6 million of residential construction loans, or 2.8% of total loans; \$199.3 million of consumer loans, primarily home equity loans and lines of credit, or 11.6% of total loans; and, \$83.9 million of commercial loans, or 4.9% of total loans. Included in total loans are \$4.2 million in loans held-for-sale at December 31, 2014. At that same date, 37.9% of the Bank's total loans had adjustable interest rates. The Bank has generally sold much of its 30-year, fixed-rate, one-to-four family loans into the secondary market primarily to manage interest rate risk.

The types of loans that the Bank may originate are subject to Federal and state law and regulations. Interest rates charged by the Bank on loans are affected by the demand for such loans and the supply of money available for lending purposes and the rates offered by competitors. These factors are, in turn, affected by, among other things, economic conditions, monetary policies of the Federal government, including the FRB, and legislative tax policies.

Loan Maturity. The following table shows the contractual maturity of the Bank's total loans at December 31, 2014. The table does not include principal prepayments.

At December 31, 2014						
	One-to-four family	Commercial real estate, multi-family and land	Residential construction (1)	Consumer	Commercial and industrial	Total Loans Receivable
	(in thousands)					
One year or less	\$ 488	\$125,898	\$ 555	\$ 1,076	\$22,747	\$ 150,764
After one year:						
More than one year to three years . . .	4,375	124,486	—	3,789	32,622	165,272
More than three years to five years . . .	16,117	209,434	—	6,839	28,412	260,802
More than five years to ten years . . .	26,692	182,220	130	37,272	165	246,479
More than ten years to twenty years	200,917	7,913	3,972	149,973	—	362,775
More than twenty years	493,501	—	42,895	400	—	536,796
Total due after December 31, 2015	741,602	524,053	46,997	198,273	61,199	1,572,124
Total amount due	\$742,090	\$649,951	\$47,552	\$199,349	\$83,946	1,722,888
Loans in process						(16,731)
Deferred origination costs, net						3,207
Allowance for loan losses						(16,317)
Total loans, net						1,693,047
Less: Mortgage loans held-for-sale						4,201
Loans receivable, net						\$1,688,846

(1) Residential construction loans are primarily originated on a construction/permanent basis with such loans converting to an amortizing loan following the completion of the construction phase.

The following table sets forth at December 31, 2014, the dollar amount of total loans receivable contractually due after December 31, 2015, and whether such loans have fixed interest rates or adjustable interest rates.

Due After December 31, 2015			
	Fixed	Adjustable	Total
	(in thousands)		
Real estate loans:			
One-to-four family	\$397,455	\$344,147	\$ 741,602
Commercial real estate, multi-family and land	418,194	105,859	524,053
Residential construction	31,681	15,316	46,997
Consumer	109,206	89,067	198,273
Commercial and industrial	33,488	27,711	61,199
Total loans receivable	\$990,024	\$582,100	\$1,572,124

Origination, Sale and Servicing of Loans. The following table sets forth the Bank's loan originations, purchases, sales, principal repayments and loan activity, including loans held-for-sale, for the periods indicated.

	For the Year December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Total loans:			
Beginning balance	\$1,572,364	\$1,549,983	\$1,588,739
Loans originated:			
One-to-four family	159,078	225,596	308,792
Commercial real estate, multi-family and land	184,226	124,293	80,106
Residential construction	39,735	23,452	6,511
Consumer	76,354	85,994	92,633
Commercial and industrial	189,571	124,852	120,248
Total loans originated	648,964	584,187	608,290
Loans purchased	20,363	—	—
Total	2,241,691	2,134,170	2,197,029
Less:			
Principal repayments	444,369	448,379	461,613
Sales of loans	62,318	106,550	174,299
Charge-offs (gross)	7,827	3,521	7,084
Transfer to other real estate owned	4,289	3,356	4,050
Total loans	\$1,722,888	\$1,572,364	\$1,549,983

One-to-four family mortgage loan origination volume declined in 2014 as a less than favorable interest rate environment reduced the volume of loan refinancing. This same trend caused loan sales to also decline as the Bank typically sells longer-term, fixed-rate, one-to-four family mortgage loans. Beginning in mid-2013 through the end of 2014, the Bank supplemented its commercial lending team with additional experienced bankers and successfully grew commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans. Residential construction origination volume increased as the Bank focused on meeting the needs of borrowers rebuilding after superstorm Sandy.

One-to-Four Family Mortgage Lending. The Bank offers both fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage ("ARM") loans secured by one-to-four family residences with maturities up to 30 years. The majority of such loans are secured by property located in the Bank's primary market area. Loan originations are typically generated by commissioned loan representatives in the exclusive employment of the Bank and their contacts within the local real estate industry, members of the local communities and the Bank's existing or past customers. On occasion the Bank has opportunistically purchased loans originated by other banks.

At December 31, 2014, the Bank's total loans outstanding were \$1.723 billion, of which \$742.1 million, or 43.1%, were one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, primarily single family and owner occupied. To a lesser extent and included in this activity are residential mortgage loans secured by seasonal second homes and non-owner occupied investment properties. The average size of the Bank's one-to-four family mortgage loans was approximately \$192,000 at December 31, 2014.

The Bank currently offers a number of ARM loan programs with interest rates which adjust every three, five or ten years. The Bank's ARM loans generally provide for periodic caps of 2% or 3% and an overall cap of 6% on the increase or decrease in the interest rate at any adjustment date and over the life of the loan. The interest rate on these loans is indexed to the applicable three-, five- or ten-year U.S. Treasury constant maturity yield, with a

repricing margin which ranges generally from 2.75% to 3.50% above the index. The Bank also offers three-, five-, seven- and ten-year ARM loans which operate as fixed-rate loans for the first three, five, seven or ten years and then convert to one-year ARM loans for the remainder of the term. The ARM loans are then indexed to a margin of generally 2.75% to 3.50% above the one-year U.S. Treasury constant maturity yield.

Generally, ARM loans pose credit risks different than risks inherent in fixed-rate loans, primarily because as interest rates rise, the payments to the borrower rise, thereby increasing the potential for delinquency and default. At the same time, the marketability of the underlying property may be adversely affected by higher interest rates. In order to minimize risks, borrowers of ARM loans with an initial fixed period of five years or less must qualify based on the greater of the note rate plus 2% or the fully indexed rate. Seven- to ten-year ARMs must qualify based on the note rate. The Bank does not originate ARM loans which provide for negative amortization. The Bank previously offered interest-only ARM loans on a limited basis, in which the borrower made only interest payments for the first five, seven or ten years of the mortgage loan term and then converted to a fully-amortizing loan until maturity. The interest-only feature resulted in future increases in the borrower's loan payment when the contractually required payments increased due to the required amortization of the principal amount. These payment increases may affect the borrower's ability to repay the loan, and as such, the borrowers were qualified at the fully-amortized payment. The amount of interest-only one-to-four family mortgage loans at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$17.6 million and \$28.8 million, respectively, or 2.4% and 3.8%, respectively, of total one-to-four family mortgages.

The Bank's fixed-rate mortgage loans are currently made for terms from 10 to 30 years. The Bank periodically sells some of the fixed-rate residential mortgage loans that it originates in order to manage interest rate risk. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2014, the Bank generally retained the servicing on loans sold. Currently, servicing rights are generally sold as part of the loan sale. The Bank generally holds for its portfolio shorter-term, fixed-rate loans and certain longer-term, fixed-rate loans, generally consisting of loans with balances exceeding the conforming loan limits of the government agencies ("Jumbo" loans) and loans to officers, directors or employees of the Bank. The Bank may retain a portion of its longer-term fixed-rate loans after considering volume and yield and after evaluating interest rate risk and capital management considerations. The retention of fixed-rate mortgage loans may increase the level of interest rate risk exposure of the Bank, as the rates on these loans will not adjust during periods of rising interest rates and the loans can be subject to substantial increases in prepayments during periods of falling interest rates. During the past several years, the Bank has generally sold most of its 30-year, fixed-rate, one-to-four family loans into the secondary market primarily to manage interest rate risk.

The Bank's policy is to originate one-to-four family residential mortgage loans in amounts up to 80% of the lower of the appraised value or the selling price of the property securing the loan and up to 95% of the appraised value or selling price if private mortgage insurance is obtained. Appraisals are obtained for loans secured by real estate properties. The weighted average loan-to-value ratio of the Bank's one-to-four family mortgage loans was 55.7% at December 31, 2014 based on appraisal values at the time of origination. Title insurance is typically required for first mortgage loans. Mortgage loans originated by the Bank include due-on-sale clauses which provide the Bank with the contractual right to declare the loan immediately due and payable in the event the borrower transfers ownership of the property without the Bank's consent. Due-on-sale clauses are an important means of adjusting the rates on the Bank's fixed-rate mortgage loan portfolio and the Bank has generally exercised its rights under these clauses.

The Bank currently brokers reverse mortgage loans for a third-party originator. Prior to 2013, the Bank closed these loans in its name; however, they were all sold into the secondary market. The loans qualify under the Home Equity Conversion Mortgage program of the Federal Housing Administration and are insured by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Bank recognized fee income on reverse mortgage loans of \$493,000, as compared to \$714,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Bank has made, and may continue to make, residential mortgage loans that will not qualify as Qualified Mortgage Loans under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) regulations effective January 10, 2014. See “Risk Factors – The Dodd-Frank Act imposes new obligations on originators of residential mortgage loans, such as the Bank”.

Commercial Real Estate, Multi-Family and Land Lending. The Bank originates commercial real estate loans that are secured by properties, or properties under construction, generally used for business purposes such as office, industrial or retail facilities. A substantial majority of the Bank’s commercial real estate loans are located in the Bank’s primary market area. The Bank’s underwriting procedures provide that commercial real estate loans may be made in amounts up to 80% of the appraised value of the property. The Bank currently originates commercial real estate loans with terms of up to ten years and amortization schedules up to thirty years with fixed or adjustable rates. The loans typically contain prepayment penalties over the initial term. In reaching its decision on whether to make a commercial real estate loan, the Bank considers the net operating income of the property and the borrower’s expertise, credit history and profitability among other factors. The Bank has generally required that the properties securing commercial real estate loans have debt service coverage ratios of at least 130%. The Bank generally requires the personal guarantee of the principal borrowers for commercial real estate loans.

The Bank’s commercial real estate loan portfolio at December 31, 2014 was \$650.0 million, or 37.7% of total loans, as compared to \$528.9 million, or 33.6%, of total loans, at December 31, 2013. The Bank successfully grew this market segment primarily through the addition of experienced commercial lenders beginning in mid-2013. The Bank added a new lending team in late 2014 which is located in Mercer County, New Jersey. Of the total commercial real estate portfolio, 45% is considered owner-occupied, whereby the underlying business owner occupies a majority of the property. The largest commercial real estate loan in the Bank’s portfolio at December 31, 2014 was a performing loan for which the Bank had an outstanding carrying balance of \$15.5 million secured by a first mortgage on a multi-purpose medical office facility. The average size of the Bank’s commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2014 was approximately \$871,000.

The commercial real estate portfolio includes loans for the construction of commercial properties. Typically, these loans are underwritten based upon commercial leases in place prior to funding. In many cases, commercial construction loans are extended to owners that intend to occupy the property for business operations, in which case the loan is based upon the financial capacity of the related business and the owner of the business. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had an outstanding balance in commercial construction loans of \$46.4 million, as compared to \$23.7 million at December 31, 2013.

The Bank also originates multi-family mortgage loans and land loans on a limited basis. The Bank’s multi-family loans and land loans at December 31, 2014 totaled \$24.4 million and \$8.6 million, respectively, as compared to \$11.8 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013.

Residential Construction Lending. At December 31, 2014, residential construction loans totaled \$47.6 million, or 2.8%, of the Bank’s total loans outstanding, an increase from \$30.8 million, or 2.0% of the Bank’s total loans outstanding at December 31, 2013. The increase was due in large part to the additional loan demand from borrowers rebuilding after superstorm Sandy.

The Bank originates residential construction loans primarily on a construction/permanent basis with such loans converting to an amortizing loan following the completion of the construction phase. Most of the Bank’s residential construction loans are made to individuals building a residence.

Construction lending, by its nature, entails additional risks compared to one-to-four family mortgage lending, attributable primarily to the fact that funds are advanced based upon a security interest in a project which is not yet complete. The Bank addresses these risks through its underwriting policies and procedures and its experienced staff.

Consumer Loans. At December 31, 2014, the Bank's consumer loans totaled \$199.3 million, or 11.6% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Of the total consumer loan portfolio, home equity loans comprised \$109.2 million, or 54.8%; home equity lines of credit comprised \$89.6 million, or 44.9%; overdraft line of credit loans totaled \$387,000 or 0.2%; and loans on savings accounts totaled \$243,000, or 0.1%.

The Bank originates home equity loans typically secured by first or second liens on one-to-two family residences. These loans are originated as fixed-rate loans with terms ranging from 5 to 20 years. Home equity loans are typically made on owner-occupied, one-to-two family residences. Generally, these loans are subject to an 80% loan-to-value limitation, including any other outstanding mortgages or liens. The Bank also offers a variable-rate home equity line of credit which extends a credit line based on the applicant's income, the ability to repay and equity in the home. Home equity lines of credit are secured by a mortgage on the underlying real estate. Generally, the credit line, when combined with the balance of any applicable first mortgage lien, may not exceed 80% of the appraised value of the property at the time of the loan commitment. The Bank charges an early termination fee should a home equity loan or line of credit be closed within two or three years of origination. A borrower is required to make monthly payments of principal and interest, at a minimum of \$50, based upon a 10-, 15- or 20-year amortization period. The Bank also offers home equity lines of credit which require the payment of interest-only during the first five years with fully-amortizing payments thereafter. Generally, the adjustable rate of interest charged is based upon the prime rate of interest (as published in the *Wall Street Journal*), although the range of interest rates charged may vary from 1.0% below prime to 1.5% over prime. The loans have an 18% lifetime cap on interest rate adjustments.

Commercial and Industrial Lending. At December 31, 2014, commercial and industrial loans totaled \$83.9 million, or 4.9% of the Bank's total loans outstanding. The Bank originates commercial and industrial loans and lines of credit (including for working capital; fixed asset purchases; and acquisition, receivable and inventory financing) primarily in the Bank's market area. In underwriting commercial and industrial loans and credit lines, the Bank reviews and analyzes financial history and capacity, collateral value, strength and character of the principals, and general payment history of the principal borrowers in coming to a credit decision. The Bank generally requires the personal guarantee of the principal borrowers for all commercial and industrial loans.

A well-defined credit policy has been approved by the Bank's Board of Directors (the "Board"). This policy discourages high risk credits, while focusing on quality underwriting, sound financial strength and close monitoring. Commercial and industrial business lending, both secured and unsecured, is generally considered to involve a higher degree of risk than secured real estate lending. Risk of loss on a commercial and industrial business loan is dependent largely on the borrower's ability to remain financially able to repay the loan from ongoing operations. The Bank's largest commercial and industrial loan at December 31, 2014 was a performing loan to a Monmouth County based publicly-traded company of manufactured housing communities of \$10.0 million secured by pledges and assignments of notes receivables. The average size of the Bank's commercial and industrial loans at December 31, 2014 was approximately \$307,000.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. The Board establishes the loan approval policies of the Bank based on total exposure to the individual borrower. The Board has authorized the approval of loans by various officers of the Bank or a Management Credit Committee, on a scale which requires approval by personnel with progressively higher levels of responsibility as the loan amount increases. Pursuant to applicable regulations, loans to one borrower generally cannot exceed 15% of the Bank's unimpaired capital, which at December 31, 2014 amounted to \$33.5 million. At December 31, 2014, the Bank's maximum loan exposure to a single borrower and related interests was \$19.0 million. All of the loans are performing and most of the exposure is secured by a first mortgage on a multi-purpose medical office facility.

Loan Servicing. Loan servicing includes collecting and remitting loan payments, accounting for principal and interest, making inspections as required of mortgaged premises, contacting delinquent borrowers, supervising foreclosures and property dispositions in the event of unremedied defaults, making certain insurance and tax payments on behalf of the borrowers and generally administering the loans. The Bank also services mortgage

loans for others. On October 31, 2014, the Bank sold the servicing rights on residential mortgage loans serviced for Federal agencies, recognizing a net gain of \$408,000. All of the remaining loans currently being serviced for others are loans which were originated by the Bank. At December 31, 2014, the Bank was servicing \$197.8 million of loans for others. At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the balance of the Bank's Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSR") totaled \$701,000, \$4.2 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, loan servicing income totaled \$816,000, \$748,000 and \$538,000, respectively. The Bank evaluates the MSR for impairment on a quarterly basis. No impairment was recognized for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The valuation of MSR is determined through a discounted analysis of future cash flows, incorporating numerous assumptions which are subject to significant change in the near term. Generally, a decline in market interest rates will cause expected prepayment speeds to increase resulting in a lower valuation for mortgage servicing rights and ultimately lower future servicing fee income.

Delinquencies and Classified Assets. The steps taken by the Bank with respect to delinquencies vary depending on the nature of the loan and period of delinquency. When a borrower fails to make a required payment on a loan, the Bank takes a number of steps to have the borrower cure the delinquency and restore the loan to current status. The Bank sends the borrower a written notice of non-payment after the loan is first past due. In the event payment is not then received, additional letters and phone calls generally are made. The Bank may offer to modify the terms or take other forbearance actions which afford the borrower an opportunity to satisfy the loan terms. If the loan is still not brought current and it becomes necessary for the Bank to take legal action, which typically occurs after a loan is delinquent at least 120 days or more, the Bank will commence litigation to realize on the collateral, including foreclosure proceedings against any real property that secures the loan. If a foreclosure action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or an acceptable workout accommodation is not agreed upon before the foreclosure sale, the real property securing the loan generally is sold at foreclosure. Foreclosure timelines in New Jersey are among the longest in the nation and have remained protracted over the past several years. The Bank offers various modification programs to assist borrowers with financial hardships.

The Bank's internal Asset Classification Committee, which is chaired by the Chief Risk Officer, reviews and classifies the Bank's assets quarterly and reports the results of its review to the Board. As part of this process, the Chief Risk Officer compiles a quarterly list of all criticized and classified loans and a narrative report of classified commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, multi-family, land and construction loans. The Bank classifies assets in accordance with certain regulatory guidelines. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had \$34.9 million of assets, including all other real estate owned ("OREO"), classified as "Substandard", no assets classified as "Doubtful" and no assets classified as "Loss". At December 31, 2013, the Bank had \$66.2 million of assets classified as "Substandard", \$859,000 classified as "Doubtful" and no assets classified as "Loss". Assets which do not currently expose the Bank to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories but possess weaknesses, such as past delinquencies, are designated "Special Mention". Special Mention assets totaled \$19.0 million at December 31, 2014, as compared to \$5.8 million at December 31, 2013. The increase in Special Mention is related to three commercial loans that were downgraded from Pass and two loans that were upgraded from Substandard. In addition to internal credit reviews, the Bank has engaged an independent firm specializing in commercial loan reviews to examine a selection of commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans, including all classified and Special Mention loans, and provide management with objective analysis regarding the quality of these loans throughout the year. The independent firm reviewed more than 70% of the Company's commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loans during 2014. Their conclusion was that the Bank's internal credit reviews are consistent with both Bank policy and general industry practice.

The largest Substandard loan relationship consists of two commercial real estate loans to a hotel, golf and banquet facility with an outstanding balance of \$6.5 million, criticized due to delinquent payments, continual losses and covenant violations. The borrower has filed Chapter XI bankruptcy. The largest Special Mention loan is a \$4.5 million commercial real estate loan to a single borrower operating several fitness/health club facilities that is current as to payments. The borrower filed for Chapter XI bankruptcy relating to another bank's legal proceeding on an unrelated property.

Non-Accrual Loans and OREO. The following table sets forth information regarding non-accrual loans and OREO. It is the policy of the Bank to cease accruing interest on loans 90 days or more past due or in the process of foreclosure. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the amount of interest income that would have been recognized on non-accrual loans if such loans had continued to perform in accordance with their contractual terms was \$1,630,000, \$2,513,000 and \$2,370,000, respectively.

	December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)				
Non-accrual loans:					
Real estate:					
One-to-four family	\$ 3,115	\$28,213	\$26,521	\$29,236	\$26,945
Commercial real estate, multi-family and land ..	12,758	12,304	11,567	10,552	5,849
Consumer	1,877	4,328	4,540	3,653	4,626
Commercial and industrial	557	515	746	567	117
Total	18,307	45,360	43,374	44,008	37,537
OREO	4,664	4,345	3,210	1,970	2,295
Total non-performing assets	<u>\$22,971</u>	<u>\$49,705</u>	<u>\$46,584</u>	<u>\$45,978</u>	<u>\$39,832</u>
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans receivable (1)	0.95%	1.33%	1.32%	1.15%	1.17%
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total non-performing loans (2)	89.13	46.14	47.29	41.42	52.48
Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans receivable (1)(2)	1.06	2.88	2.80	2.77	2.23
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets(2)	0.97	2.21	2.05	2.00	1.77

(1) Total loans includes loans receivable and mortgage loans held-for-sale.

(2) Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans and OREO. Non-performing loans consist of all loans 90 days or more past due and other loans in the process of foreclosure.

Non-performing loans totaled \$18.3 million at December 31, 2014, a \$27.1 million decrease from December 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to the bulk sale of most non-performing residential and consumer mortgage loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$23.1 million. The sale occurred on September 30, 2014 and represented 55.7% of the Bank's non-performing loans on that date. Included in the non-performing loan total at December 31, 2014 was \$2.0 million of troubled debt restructured loans, as compared to \$9.7 million of troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2013. Non-performing troubled debt restructured loans were also included in the bulk sale described above. Non-performing loans are now concentrated in commercial real estate, which comprise 69.7% of the total at December 31, 2014. The largest non-performing loan relationship consists of two commercial real estate loans to a hotel, golf and banquet facility with an outstanding balance of \$6.5 million. The borrower has filed Chapter XI bankruptcy.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's evaluation of the Company's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and current economic conditions. Additions to the allowance arise from charges to operations through the provision for loan losses or from the recovery of amounts previously charged-off. The allowance is reduced by loan charge-offs. A description of the methodology used in establishing the allowance for loan losses is set forth in the section "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations, Critical Accounting Policies, Allowance for Loan Losses".

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Bank's allowance for loan losses was 0.95% and 1.33% respectively, of total loans. The Bank had non-accrual loans of \$18.3 million and \$45.4 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Bank will continue to monitor its allowance for loan losses as conditions dictate.

The following table sets forth activity in the Bank's allowance for loan losses for the periods set forth in the table.

	At or for the Year Ended				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)				
Balance at beginning of year	\$20,930	\$20,510	\$18,230	\$19,700	\$14,723
Charge-offs:					
Residential real estate	6,955	2,444	4,679	4,643	1,959
Commercial real estate	323	—	47	2,301	324
Consumer	471	842	2,282	1,982	736
Commercial and industrial	78	235	76	323	257
Total	7,827	3,521	7,084	9,249	3,276
Recoveries	584	1,141	1,464	29	253
Net charge-offs	7,243	2,380	5,620	9,220	3,023
Provision for loan losses	2,630	2,800	7,900	7,750	8,000
Balance at end of year	<u>\$16,317</u>	<u>\$20,930</u>	<u>\$20,510</u>	<u>\$18,230</u>	<u>\$19,700</u>
Ratio of net charge-offs during the year to average net loans outstanding during the year	<u>0.45%</u>	<u>0.16%</u>	<u>0.36%</u>	<u>0.57%</u>	<u>0.18%</u>

The increase in charge-offs in 2014 was due to the bulk sale of non-performing residential and consumer loans which resulted in a charge-off of \$5.0 million on these loans. Excluding the bulk sale, the ratio was 0.14%.

The increase in charge-offs during 2011 was primarily due to the Company's decision to modify its charge-off policy on problem loans secured by real estate. Historically, the Company established specific valuation reserves for estimated losses for problem real estate related loans when the loans were deemed uncollectible. The specific valuation reserves were based upon the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less costs to sell. The actual loan charge-off was not recorded until the foreclosure process was complete. Under the modified policy, losses on loans secured by real estate are charged-off in the period the loans, or portion thereof, are deemed uncollectible, generally after the loan becomes 120 days delinquent and a recent appraisal is received which reflects a collateral shortfall. The modification to the charge-off policy resulted in additional charge-offs in the fourth quarter 2011 of \$5.7 million. All of these charge-offs were timely identified in previous periods in the Company's allowance for loan losses process as a specific valuation reserve and were included in the Company's loss experience as part of the evaluation of the allowance for loan losses. Accordingly, the additional charge-offs did not affect the Company's provision for loan losses or net income for 2011 or previous periods.

The following table sets forth the Bank's percent of allowance for loan losses to total allowance and the percent of loans to total loans in each of the categories listed at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands).

	At December 31,											
	2014			2013			2012			2011		
	Amount	Percent of Allowance to Total	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Allowance to Total	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Allowance to Total	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Allowance to Total	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Residential real estate	\$ 4,291	26.30%	45.83%	\$ 4,859	23.22%	49.75%	\$ 5,241	25.56%	52.82%	\$ 5,370	29.46%	55.97%
Commercial real estate	8,935	54.76	37.73	10,371	49.55	33.64	8,937	43.57	30.66	8,474	46.48	29.00
Consumer	1,146	7.02	11.57	1,360	6.50	12.76	2,264	11.04	12.78	1,461	8.01	12.14
Commercial and industrial	863	5.29	4.87	1,383	6.61	3.85	1,348	6.57	3.74	900	4.94	2.89
Unallocated	1,082	6.63	—	2,957	14.12	—	2,720	13.26	—	2,025	11.11	—
Total	\$16,317	100.00%	100.00%	\$20,930	100.00%	100.00%	\$20,510	100.00%	100.00%	\$18,230	100.00%	100.00%
										\$19,700	100.00%	100.00%

The reduction in the unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses is due to the improved risk profile of the loan portfolio and related credit metrics, and the lower level of uncertainty relating to future loan losses. As a result of the bulk sale of most non-performing residential loans, the total amount of non-performing loans decreased, non-performing loans as percent of total loans decreased, and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total non-performing loans increased, as compared to December 31, 2013.

Reserve for Repurchased Loans and Loss Sharing Obligations. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company maintained a reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations of \$1.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, related to potential losses on loans sold which may have to be repurchased due to a violation of a representation or warranty. As described below, the reserve also includes an estimate of the Bank's obligation under a loss sharing arrangement with the FHLB relating to loans sold into their Mortgage Partnership Finance ("MPF") program. Provisions for losses are charged to gain on sale of loans and credited to the reserve while actual losses are charged to the reserve. Losses were \$436,000, \$915,000 and \$252,000, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. Included in the losses on loans repurchased are cash settlements in lieu of repurchases. At December 31, 2014, there were no outstanding loan repurchase requests as compared to five outstanding loan repurchase requests with a principal balance of \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013. For the year ended December 31, 2014, three new repurchase requests were received, one repurchase request was resolved at no cost to the Bank, three repurchase requests resulted in the repurchase of the loans and four repurchase requests were resolved through a cash settlement in lieu of repurchase.

In order to estimate an appropriate reserve for repurchased loans, the Company considers recent and historical experience, product type and volume of recent whole loan sales, the general economic environment and an estimated loss on repurchase requests received but not yet resolved.

The method used to calculate the reserve for repurchased loans can generally be described as: volume of loans sold multiplied by the estimated percentage of loans expected to be returned for repurchase multiplied by the estimated loss percentage on loans repurchased.

A specific reserve was established for projected losses on outstanding repurchase requests. The specific reserve was based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral modified by the likelihood of payment which was estimated based on historical experience.

Under the MPF program, the Bank and the FHLB share credit risk for loans sold. The first loss position, equal to 1% of the aggregate amount of the loan pool, is absorbed by the FHLB. The second loss position, generally covering the next 1.5% to 4.0% of the aggregate loan pool, is absorbed by the Bank. Loan losses above the combination of these two thresholds are fully absorbed by the FHLB. In evaluating the loss sharing obligation under the MPF program, the Bank monitors the first loss position and the delinquency characteristics of each MPF loan pool. Similar to the evaluation of the allowance for loan losses, the Bank establishes a specific reserve and a general reserve. For loans 90 days or more delinquent, the Bank identifies a specific reserve representing the difference between the loan principal balance and the fair value of the real estate collateral, less estimated selling costs. For loans which are less than 90 days delinquent, the Bank applies a historical loss rate, adjusted for several economic factors, which were likely to adversely impact the Bank's loss experience. The adjusted loss rate is then multiplied by the average life of the loan pool. The total of the specific and general reserves, by loan pool, are compared to the remaining first loss position. Any excess loss estimate above the first loss position is included in the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations.

Management believes that the Bank has established and maintained the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations at adequate levels, however, future adjustments to the reserve may be necessary due to economic, operating or other conditions beyond the Bank's control.

Investment Activities

Federally-chartered savings institutions have the authority to invest in various types of liquid assets, including United States Treasury obligations, securities of various Federal agencies, certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements and Federal funds. Subject to various restrictions, Federally-chartered savings institutions may also invest in commercial paper, corporate debt securities and mutual funds whose assets conform to the investments that a Federally-chartered savings institution is otherwise authorized to make directly.

The investment policy of the Bank as established by the Board attempts to provide and maintain liquidity, generate a favorable return on investments without incurring undue interest rate and credit risk, and complement the Bank's lending activities. Specifically, the Bank's policies generally limit investments to government and Federal agency-backed securities, municipal securities and corporate debt obligations. The Bank's policies provide that all investment purchases must be evaluated internally for creditworthiness and be approved by two officers (any two of the Senior Vice President/Treasurer, the Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer, and the President/Chief Executive Officer) and must be ratified by the Board. The Company's investment policy mirrors that of the Bank except that it allows for the purchase of equity securities in limited amounts.

Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. If the Bank has the intent and the ability at the time of purchase to hold securities until maturity, they may be classified as held-to-maturity. Investment and mortgage-backed securities identified as held-to-maturity are carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount, which are recognized as adjustments to interest income. Securities to be held for indefinite periods of time, but not necessarily to maturity are classified as available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale include securities that management intends to use as part of its asset/liability management strategy. Such securities are carried at estimated fair value and unrealized gains and losses, net of related tax effect, are excluded from earnings, but are included as a separate component of stockholders' equity. See "Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements".

On December 10, 2013, final rules were released to implement Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act, commonly known as the "Volcker Rule". The Volcker Rule, among other things, prohibits banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading and from sponsoring, having an ownership interest in or having certain relationships with a hedge fund or private equity fund, subject to certain exemptions. Additionally, banking entities must divest themselves of any ownership interest in or cease other prohibited relationships with Covered Funds. At December 31, 2014, the Bank was not engaged in any activities, or did not have any ownership in any funds, that are not permitted under the Volcker Rule.

Mortgage-backed Securities. Mortgage-backed securities represent a participation interest in a pool of single-family or multi-family mortgages, the principal and interest payments on which, in general, are passed from the mortgage originators, through intermediaries that pool and repackage the participation interests in the form of securities, to investors such as the Bank. Such intermediaries may be private issuers, or agencies including FHLMC, FNMA and the Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") that guarantee the payment of principal and interest to investors. Mortgage-backed securities typically are issued with stated principal amounts, and the securities are backed by pools of mortgages that have loans with interest rates that are within a certain range and with varying maturities. The underlying pool of mortgages can be composed of either fixed-rate or ARM loans.

The actual maturity of a mortgage-backed security varies, depending on when the mortgagors repay or prepay the underlying mortgages. Prepayments of the underlying mortgages may shorten the life of the security, thereby affecting its yield to maturity and the related fair value of the mortgage-backed security. The prepayments of the underlying mortgages depend on many factors, including the type of mortgages, the coupon rates, the age of mortgages, the geographical location of the underlying real estate collateralizing the mortgages, the general levels of market interest rates, and general economic conditions. GNMA mortgage-backed securities that are backed by assumable Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") or Department of Veterans Affairs ("VA") loans generally have a longer life than conventional non-assumable loans underlying FHLMC and FNMA mortgage-backed securities. During periods of falling mortgage interest rates, prepayments generally increase, as opposed to periods of increasing interest rates when prepayments generally decrease. If the interest rate of underlying mortgages significantly exceeds the prevailing market interest rates offered for mortgage loans, refinancing generally increases and accelerates the prepayment of the underlying mortgages. Prepayment experience is more difficult to estimate for adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities. Prepayments on mortgage-backed securities after slowing in the second half of 2013 accelerated slightly in the first half of 2014 and were relatively unchanged in the second half of 2014.

The Bank has investments in mortgage-backed securities and has utilized such investments to complement its lending activities. The Bank invests in a large variety of mortgage-backed securities, including ARM, balloon and fixed-rate securities and all were directly insured or guaranteed by either FHLMC, FNMA or GNMA.

The following table sets forth the Bank's mortgage-backed securities activities at amortized cost for the periods indicated.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		
Beginning balance	\$349,550	\$323,414	\$ 354,504
Mortgage-backed securities purchased	35,203	127,582	89,477
Less: Principal repayments	(57,199)	(99,477)	(118,372)
Amortization of premium	(1,437)	(1,969)	(2,195)
Ending balance	<u>\$326,117</u>	<u>\$349,550</u>	<u>\$ 323,414</u>

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Bank's mortgage-backed securities at the dates indicated.

	At December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)					
Mortgage-backed securities:						
FHLMC	\$141,494	\$140,444	\$148,759	\$144,654	\$118,294	\$119,525
FNMA	184,003	187,495	200,070	201,122	204,296	213,302
GNMA	620	739	721	856	824	1,030
Total mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$326,117</u>	<u>\$328,678</u>	<u>\$349,550</u>	<u>\$346,632</u>	<u>\$323,414</u>	<u>\$333,857</u>

Investment Securities. At December 31, 2014, the amortized cost of the Company's investment securities totaled \$175.1 million, and consisted of \$106.3 million of U.S. agency obligations, \$13.8 million of state and municipal obligations, and \$55.0 million of corporate debt securities. An assessment of creditworthiness on investment securities is performed quarterly to determine the issuer's continued ability to repay under the terms of the obligation. Ratings from nationally-recognized rating organizations are but one factor in this assessment. Each of the U.S. agency obligations are rated AA+ by Standard and Poor's and Aaa by Moody's. The state and municipal obligations are issued by government entities in the State of New Jersey with current credit ratings that are considered investment grade ranging from a high of AAA to a low of A. The corporate debt securities are issued by other financial institutions and consist of eleven issues with an amortized cost of \$55.0 million spread between eight issuers. Credit ratings range from a high of A3 to a low of Ba1 as rated by one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services. These floating-rate securities were purchased in 1998 and have paid coupon interest continuously since issuance. Floating-rate debt securities such as these pay a fixed interest rate spread over 90-day LIBOR. Following the purchase of these securities, the required credit spread increased for these types of securities causing a decline in the market price. The Company concluded that corporate debt securities were only temporarily impaired at December 31, 2014. In concluding that the impairments were only temporary, the Company considered several factors in its analysis. The Company noted that each issuer made all the contractually due payments when required. There were no defaults on principal or interest payments and no interest payments were deferred. All of the financial institutions were also considered well-capitalized. Credit spreads have now decreased for these types of securities and market prices have improved. Based on

management's analysis of each individual security, the issuers appear to have the ability to meet debt service requirements over the life of the security. Furthermore, the Company does not have the intent to sell these corporate debt securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities. The Company has held the securities continuously since 1998 and expects to receive its full principal at maturity in 2028 or prior if called by issuer. Historically, the Company has not utilized security sales as a source of liquidity. The Company's long range liquidity plans indicate adequate sources of liquidity outside the securities portfolio.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Company's investment securities at the dates indicated.

	At December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)					
Investment securities:						
U.S. agency obligations	\$106,294	\$106,245	\$117,534	\$117,704	\$138,105	\$139,050
State and municipal obligations	13,829	13,846	21,784	21,785	25,856	25,780
Corporate debt securities	55,000	45,250	55,000	44,250	55,000	43,470
Equity investments	—	—	6,757	8,547	4,992	5,293
Total investment securities	<u>\$175,123</u>	<u>\$165,341</u>	<u>\$201,075</u>	<u>\$192,286</u>	<u>\$223,953</u>	<u>\$213,593</u>

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the amortized cost, weighted average yields and contractual maturities, excluding scheduled principal amortization, of the Bank's investment and mortgage-backed securities as of December 31, 2014. Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. See "Investment Activities – Mortgage-backed Securities".

	At December 31, 2014					
					Total	
	One Year or Less Amortized Cost	More than One Year to Five Years Amortized Cost	More than Five Years to Ten Years Amortized Cost	More than Ten Years Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(dollars in thousands)					
Investment securities:						
U.S. agency obligations	\$40,817	\$65,477	\$ —	\$ —	\$106,294	\$106,245
State and municipal obligations (1)	5,819	7,414	596	—	13,829	13,846
Corporate debt securities (2)	—	—	—	55,000	55,000	45,250
Total investment securities	<u>\$46,636</u>	<u>\$72,891</u>	<u>\$ 596</u>	<u>\$ 55,000</u>	<u>\$175,123</u>	<u>\$165,341</u>
Weighted average yield	<u>0.51%</u>	<u>1.06%</u>	<u>2.69%</u>	<u>0.83%</u>	<u>0.85%</u>	
Mortgage-backed securities:						
FHLMC	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,365	\$139,129	\$141,494	\$140,444
FNMA	—	—	35,335	148,668	184,003	187,495
GNMA	—	—	—	620	620	739
Total mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$37,700</u>	<u>\$288,417</u>	<u>\$326,117</u>	<u>\$328,678</u>
Weighted average yield	<u>—%</u>	<u>—%</u>	<u>3.40%</u>	<u>1.85%</u>	<u>2.03%</u>	

- (1) State and municipal obligations are reported at tax equivalent yield.
(2) All of the Bank's corporate debt securities carry interest rates which adjust to a spread over LIBOR on a quarterly basis.

Sources of Funds

General. Deposits, repayments and prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from sales of loans, investment maturities, cash flows generated from operations and FHLB advances and other borrowings are the primary sources of the Bank's funds for use in lending, investing and for other general purposes.

Deposits. The Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms to retail, government and business customers. The Bank's deposits consist of money market accounts, savings accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts, non-interest-bearing accounts and time deposits. The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in money market rates, prevailing interest rates and competition. The Bank's deposits are obtained predominantly from the areas in which its branch offices are located. The Bank relies on its community-banking focus, stressing customer service and long-standing relationships with its retail and business customers to attract and retain these deposits; however, market interest rates and rates offered by competing financial institutions significantly affect the Bank's ability to attract and retain deposits. The Bank does not currently use brokers to obtain deposits.

At December 31, 2014, the Bank had \$64.4 million in time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more maturing as follows:

<u>Maturity Period</u>	<u>Amount</u> (dollars in thousands)	<u>Weighted Average Rate</u>
Three months or less	\$10,208	1.04%
Over three through six months	8,927	1.34
Over six through 12 months	9,228	0.78
Over 12 months	36,053	2.42
Total	<u>\$64,416</u>	<u>1.82%</u>

The following table sets forth the distribution of the Bank's average deposit accounts and the average rate paid on those deposits for the periods indicated.

For the Year Ended December 31,									
2014				2013			2012		
Average Balance	Percent of Total Average Deposits	Average Rate Paid		Average Balance	Percent of Total Average Deposits	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Percent of Total Average Deposits	Average Rate Paid
(dollars in thousands)									
Money market deposit accounts	\$ 113,406	6.49%	0.08%	\$ 122,136	6.98%	0.14%	\$ 126,502	7.35%	0.29%
Savings accounts	295,289	16.89	0.04	286,068	16.35	0.07	239,578	13.93	0.15
Interest-bearing checking accounts	869,383	49.71	0.11	919,701	52.58	0.15	939,335	54.61	0.31
Non-interest-bearing accounts	257,058	14.70	—	205,855	11.77	—	170,859	9.93	—
Time deposits	213,566	12.21	1.39	215,477	12.32	1.37	243,776	14.18	1.62
Total average deposits	<u>\$1,748,702</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>0.24%</u>	<u>\$1,749,237</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>0.27%</u>	<u>\$1,720,050</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>0.44%</u>

Borrowings. The Bank has obtained advances from the FHLB for cash management purposes or as an alternative to retail deposit funds and may do so in the future as part of its operating strategy. FHLB term advances are also used to acquire certain other assets as may be deemed appropriate for investment purposes. Advances are

collateralized primarily by certain of the Bank's mortgage loans and investment and mortgage-backed securities and secondarily by the Bank's investment in capital stock of the FHLB. The maximum amount that the FHLB will advance to member institutions, including the Bank, fluctuates from time to time in accordance with the policies of the FHLB. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had \$305.2 million in outstanding advances from the FHLB.

The Bank also borrows funds using securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Under this form of borrowing specific U.S. Government agency and/or mortgage-backed securities are pledged as collateral to secure the borrowing. These pledged securities are held by a third-party custodian. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had borrowed \$67.8 million through securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

The Bank can also borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia ("Reserve Bank") under the primary credit program. Primary credit is available on a short-term basis, typically overnight, at a rate above the Federal Open Market Committee's Federal funds target rate. All extensions of credit by the Reserve Bank must be secured. At December 31, 2014, the Bank had no borrowings outstanding with the Reserve Bank.

Subsidiary Activities

At December 31, 2014, the Bank owned three subsidiaries – OceanFirst Services, LLC, OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc. and 975 Holdings, LLC.

OceanFirst Services, LLC was originally organized in 1982. In 1998, the Bank began to sell non-deposit investment products (annuities, mutual funds and insurance) under an agreement with a third-party marketing firm to Bank customers through this subsidiary, recognizing fee income from such sales. Beginning January 1, 2014, the agreement with the third-party marketing firm is now directly with the Bank. OFB Reinsurance, Ltd. was established in 2002 as a subsidiary of OceanFirst Services, LLC to reinsure a percentage of the private mortgage insurance ("PMI") risks on one-to-four family residential mortgages originated by the Bank.

OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc. was established in 2007 and acts as the holding company for OceanFirst Realty Corp. OceanFirst Realty Corp. was established in 1997 and invests in qualifying mortgage loans and is intended to qualify as a real estate investment trust, which may, among other things, be utilized by the Company to raise capital in the future.

975 Holdings, LLC was established in 2010 as a wholly-owned service corporation of the Bank for the purpose of taking legal possession of certain repossessed collateral for resale to third parties.

Personnel

As of December 31, 2014, the Bank had 319 full-time employees and 57 part-time employees. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit and the Bank considers its relationship with its employees to be good.

REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

General

As a savings and loan holding company, the Company is required by Federal law to file reports with, and comply with the rules and regulations of the FRB. As a Federally-chartered savings bank, the Bank is subject to extensive regulation, examination and supervision by the OCC, as its primary Federal regulator, and the FDIC, as the deposit insurer. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System and, with respect to deposit insurance, of the Deposit Insurance Fund managed by the FDIC. The Bank must file reports with the OCC and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition in addition to obtaining regulatory approvals prior to consummating certain transactions such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other insured depository institutions. The OCC conducts periodic examinations to test the Bank's safety and soundness and compliance with various regulatory requirements. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution can engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the insurance fund and depositors and to ensure the safe and sound operation of the Bank. The regulatory structure also gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. The description of statutory provisions and regulations applicable to savings institutions and their holding companies set forth in this Form 10-K does not purport to be a complete description of such statutes and regulations and their effects on the Bank and the Company, is subject to change and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual laws and regulations involved.

The Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly changed the bank regulatory structure and affects the lending, deposit, investment, compliance and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various Federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The Federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act is not yet known.

The Dodd-Frank Act created the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau ("CFPB") with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Savings institutions such as the Bank with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators (the OCC in the case of the Bank), although the CFPB will have back-up authority over such institutions. The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the Federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and Federal savings associations, and gives state attorney generals the ability to enforce Federal consumer protection laws.

Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act includes a series of provisions covering mortgage loan origination standards affecting, among other things, originator compensation, minimum repayment standards and prepayments. The Dodd-Frank Act requires originators to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on documented information that a borrower has a reasonable ability to repay a particular mortgage loan over the long term. If the originator cannot meet this standard, the burden is on the lender to demonstrate the appropriateness of its policies and the strength of its controls. The Dodd-Frank Act contains an exception from this Ability-To-Repay rule for "Qualified Mortgages". A rule issued by the CFPB in January 2013, and effective January 10, 2014, sets forth specific underwriting criteria for a loan to qualify as a Qualified Mortgage. The criteria generally exclude loans that (1) are interest-only, (2) have excessive upfront points or fees, or (3) have negative amortization features, balloon payments, or terms in excess of 30 years. To be defined as an Ability-To-Repay Qualified Mortgage, the underwriting criteria also impose a maximum debt to income ratio of 43%, based upon documented and verifiable information. If a loan meets these criteria and is not a "higher priced loan" as defined in FRB regulations, the CFPB rule establishes a safe harbor preventing a consumer from asserting the failure of the

originator to establish the consumer's Ability-To-Repay. Additionally, conforming fixed-rate loans with a debt-to-income ratio greater than 43% would also qualify as an Ability-To-Repay Qualified Mortgage based upon an automated loan approval from one of the government sponsored mortgage entities. However, a consumer may assert the lender's failure to comply with the Ability-To-Repay rule for all residential mortgage loans other than Qualified Mortgages. See "Risk Factors – The Dodd-Frank Act imposes new obligations on originators of residential mortgage loans, such as the Bank".

The Dodd-Frank Act also directed the FRB to issue rules to limit debit-card interchange fees (the fees that issuing banks charge merchants each time a consumer uses a debit card) collected by banks with assets of \$10 billion or more. On June 29, 2011, the FRB issued a final rule which would cap an issuer's debit-card interchange base fee at twenty-one cents (\$0.21) per transaction and allow an additional 5 basis point charge per transaction to cover fraud losses. The FRB also issued an interim final rule that allows a fraud-prevention adjustment of one cent (\$0.01) per transaction conditioned upon an issuer adopting effective fraud prevention policies and procedures. The rules were effective October 1, 2011. The Bank's average interchange fee per transaction is forty-one cents (\$0.41). The Dodd-Frank Act exempts from the FRB's rule banks with assets less than \$10 billion, such as the Bank. Although exempt from the rule, market forces in future periods may result in reduced fees charged by all issuers, regardless of asset size, which may result in reduced revenues for the Bank. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Bank's revenues from interchange fees was \$2.9 million, no change from 2013.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly-traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called "golden parachute" payments, and allow greater access by shareholders to the company's proxy material by authorizing the SEC to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company's proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal banking agencies to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded. The rules prohibit incentive-based compensation that would encourage inappropriate risks by providing excessive compensation or that would expose the bank to inappropriate risks by providing compensation that could lead to a material financial loss.

On December 10, 2013, final rules were released to implement Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act, commonly known as the "Volcker Rule". The Volcker Rule, among other things, prohibits banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading and from sponsoring, having an ownership interest in or having certain relationships with a hedge fund or private equity fund, subject to certain exemptions. Additionally, banking entities must divest themselves of any ownership interest in or cease other prohibited relationships with Covered Funds. At December 31, 2014, the Bank was not engaged in any activities, or did not have any ownership in any funds, that are not permitted under the Volcker Rule.

It is still uncertain how full implementation of and promulgation of rules under the Dodd-Frank Act, will affect the Bank.

Holding Company Regulation

The Company is a nondiversified unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of Federal law. Generally, a unitary savings and loan holding company, such as the Company, is not restricted as to the types of business activities in which it may engage, provided that the Bank continues to be a qualified thrift lender ("QTL"). See "Federal Savings Institution Regulation – QTL Test". The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 provides that no company may acquire control of a savings association after May 4, 1999 unless it engages only in the financial activities permitted for financial holding companies or for multiple savings and loan holding companies as described below. Further, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act specifies that existing savings and loan holding companies may only engage in such activities. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, however, grandfathered the unrestricted authority for activities with respect to unitary savings and loan holding companies existing prior to May 4, 1999, such as the Company, so long as the Bank continues to comply with the QTL test. The Company

qualifies for the grandfather provision. Upon any non-supervisory acquisition by the Company of another savings institution or savings bank that meets the QTL test and is deemed to be a savings institution, the Company would become a multiple savings and loan holding company (if the acquired institution is held as a separate subsidiary) and would generally be limited to activities permissible for bank holding companies under Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act.

A savings and loan holding company is prohibited from, directly or indirectly, acquiring more than 5% of the voting stock of another savings institution or savings and loan holding company without prior written approval of the FRB and from acquiring or retaining control of a depository institution that is not insured by the FDIC. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings institutions, the FRB considers the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company and institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the deposit insurance funds, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.

Holding Company Capital Requirements. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FRB is authorized and directed to establish capital requirements for savings and loan holding companies. These capital requirements must be countercyclical so that the required amount of capital increases in times of economic expansion and decreases in times of economic contraction, consistent with safety and soundness. Savings and loan holding companies will also be required to serve as a source of financial strength for their depository institution subsidiaries. Within five years after enactment, the Dodd-Frank Act requires the FRB to apply to savings and loan holding companies, consolidated capital requirements that are no less stringent than those applied to depository institutions as of May 19, 2009. Under these standards, trust preferred securities will be excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010 by a bank or savings and loan holding company with less than \$15 billion in assets, like the Company. In addition to these changes mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act, the capital requirements applicable to all depository institutions and depository institutions holding companies will be enhanced due to the implementation of the Basel III accord. See “Federal Savings Institution Regulation – Capital Requirements”.

The FRB has issued a policy statement regarding the payment of dividends and the repurchase of shares of common stock by bank holding companies and savings and loan holding companies. In general, the policy provides that dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the holding company appears consistent with the organization’s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. Regulatory guidance provides for prior regulatory review of capital distributions in certain circumstances such as where the company’s net income for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid over that period is insufficient to fully fund the dividend or the company’s overall rate of earnings retention is inconsistent with the company’s capital needs and overall financial condition. The ability of a holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. The policy statement also states that a holding company should inform the FRB supervisory staff prior to redeeming or repurchasing common stock or perpetual preferred stock if the holding company is experiencing financial weaknesses or if the repurchase or redemption would result in a net reduction, as of the end of the quarter, in the amount of such instruments outstanding compared with the beginning of the quarter in which the redemption or repurchase occurred. These regulatory policies may affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends, repurchase shares of common stock or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Acquisition of the Company. Under the Federal Change in Bank Control Act (“CBCA”) and applicable regulations, a notice must be submitted to the FRB if any person (including a company), or group acting in concert, seeks to acquire 10% or more of the Company’s outstanding voting stock, unless the FRB has found that the acquisition will not result in a change of control of the Company. Under CBCA, the FRB has 60 days from the filing of a complete notice to act, taking into consideration certain factors, including the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer and the anti-trust effects of the acquisition. Any company that so acquires control would then be subject to regulation as a savings and loan holding company.

Federal Savings Institution Regulation

Business Activities. The activities of Federal savings institutions are governed by Federal law and regulations. These laws and regulations delineate the nature and extent of the activities in which Federal savings banks may engage. In particular, many types of lending authority for Federal savings banks, e.g., commercial, non-residential real property loans and consumer loans, are limited to a specified percentage of the institution's capital or assets.

Capital Requirements. Capital regulations require savings institutions to meet three minimum capital standards: a 1.5% tangible capital ratio, a 4% Tier 1 leverage ratio (3% for institutions receiving the highest rating on the regulatory examination rating system and which are not experiencing significant growth) and an 8% risk-based capital ratio. In addition, the prompt corrective action standards discussed below also establish minimum capital standards. The regulations also require that, in meeting the tangible, leverage and risk-based capital standards, institutions must generally deduct investments in and loans to subsidiaries engaged in activities as principal that are not permissible for a national bank.

The risk-based capital standard for savings institutions requires the maintenance of core and total capital (which is defined as core capital and supplementary capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and 8%, respectively. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance-sheet activities, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100%, assigned by the regulations based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset. Core capital is defined as common stockholders' equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus, and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. The components of supplementary capital currently include certain capital instruments that do not qualify as core capital, the allowance for loan losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital. The OCC has authority to establish individual minimum capital requirements in cases where it is determined that a particular institution's capital level is or may become, inadequate in light of the circumstances involved.

In July 2013, the FDIC and the other Federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that will revise their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain "available-for-sale" securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital unless a one-time opt-out is exercised. Additional constraints will also be imposed on the inclusion in regulatory capital of mortgage servicing assets, deferred tax assets and minority interests. The rule limits a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a "capital conservation buffer" consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The final rule becomes effective for the Bank on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective.

The following table presents the Bank's capital position at December 31, 2014. The Bank exceeded all of its capital requirements at that date.

				Capital	
	Actual Capital	Required Capital	Excess Amount	Actual Percent	Required Percent
	(dollars in thousands)				
Tangible	\$223,573	\$ 35,465	\$188,108	9.46%	1.50%
Tier 1 leverage	223,573	94,573	129,000	9.46	4.00
Tier 1 risk-based	223,573	63,663	159,910	14.05	4.00
Total risk-based	239,940	127,325	112,615	15.08	8.00

Under OCC regulations, an institution shall be deemed to be well-capitalized if it has total risk-based capital of 10.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or more, a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 5.0% or more and if it is not subject to any written agreement, order or capital directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. Federal law authorizes the OCC to reclassify a well-capitalized institution as adequately capitalized to comply with supervisory actions as if it were in the next lower category. The Bank is considered well-capitalized at December 31, 2014.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. Deposit accounts at the Bank are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") of the FDIC. The Bank is therefore subject to FDIC deposit insurance assessments which are determined using a risk-based system.

On February 7, 2011 the FDIC approved a final rule that changes the assessment base from domestic deposits to average assets minus average tangible equity, adopts a new large-bank pricing assessment scheme, and sets a target size for the DIF. The changes were effective beginning with the second quarter of 2011. The rule finalizes a target size for the DIF at 2% of insured deposits. It also implements a lower assessment rate schedule when the fund reaches 1.15% (so that the average rate over time should be about 8.5 basis points) and, in lieu of dividends, provides for a lower rate schedule when the reserve ratio reaches 2% and 2.5%. The rule lowers overall assessment rates in order to generate the same approximate amount of revenue under the new larger base as was raised under the old base. The assessment rates in total would be between 2.5 and 9 basis points on the broader base for banks in the lowest risk category, and 30 to 45 basis points for banks in the highest risk category. Deposit accounts are insured by the FDIC generally up to a maximum of \$250,000 per separately insured depositor.

The FDIC may terminate the insurance of an institution's deposits upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. The management of the Bank does not know of any practice, condition or violation that might lead to termination of deposit insurance.

In addition to the FDIC assessments, the Financing Corporation, formed in the 1980s to recapitalize the former Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, is authorized to impose and collect, through the FDIC, assessments for anticipated payments, issuance costs and custodial fees on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation. The bonds issued by the Financing Corporation are due to mature in 2017 through 2019.

The total expense incurred in 2014 and 2013 for the deposit insurance assessment and the Financing Corporation payments was \$1.7 million for each year.

Loans to One Borrower. Federal law provides that savings institutions are generally subject to the limits on loans to one borrower applicable to national banks. Subject to certain exceptions, a savings institution may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of its unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be lent, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if secured by specified readily-marketable collateral. At December 31, 2014, the Bank's limit on loans to one borrower was \$33.5 million and the largest loan exposure to a single borrower was \$19.0 million.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. The Home Owners Loan Act requires savings institutions to meet a qualified thrift lender test. Under the test, a savings association is required to either qualify as a “domestic building and loan association” under the Internal Revenue Code or maintain at least 65% of its “portfolio assets” (total assets less: (1) specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets; (2) intangibles, including goodwill; and (3) the value of property used to conduct business) in certain “qualified thrift investments” (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including certain mortgage-backed securities) in at least nine months out of each 12 month period. Additionally, education loans, credit card loans and small business loans may be considered “qualified thrift investments”.

A savings institution that fails the qualified thrift lender test is subject to certain operating restrictions and may be required to convert to a bank charter. As of December 31, 2014, the Bank met the qualified thrift lender test with a ratio of qualified thrift investments to portfolio assets of 82.3%.

Limitation on Capital Distributions. Applicable regulations impose limitations upon all capital distributions by a savings institution, including cash dividends, payments to repurchase its shares and payments to shareholders of another institution in a cash-out merger. Under the regulations, an application to and the approval of the OCC, is required prior to any capital distribution if the institution does not meet the criteria for “expedited treatment” of applications under the regulations (*i.e.*, generally, examination ratings in the two top categories), the total capital distributions for the calendar year exceed net income for that year plus the amount of retained net income for the preceding two years, the institution would be undercapitalized following the distribution or the distribution would otherwise be contrary to a statute, regulation or agreement with the OCC. If an application is not required, the institution must still provide prior notice to the FRB of the capital distribution if, like the Bank, it is a subsidiary of a holding company. In the event the Bank’s capital fell below its regulatory requirements or the FRB or OCC notified it that it was in need of more than normal supervision, the Bank’s ability to make capital distributions could be restricted. In addition, the FRB or OCC could prohibit a proposed capital distribution by any institution, which would otherwise be permitted by the regulation, if the FRB or OCC determine that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. If the FRB or OCC objects to the Bank’s notice to pay a dividend to the Company, the Company may not have the liquidity necessary to pay a dividend in the future, pay a dividend at the same rate as historically paid, be able to repurchase stock, or to meet current debt obligations. In addition, capital requirements made applicable to the Company as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III may limit the Company’s ability to pay dividends or repurchase stock in the future.

Assessments. Savings institutions are required to pay assessments to fund regulatory operations. The assessments, paid on a semi-annual basis, are based upon the institution’s total assets, including consolidated subsidiaries as reported in the Bank’s latest quarterly regulatory report, as well as the institution’s regulatory rating and complexity component. The assessments paid by the Bank for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$456,000 and \$450,000, respectively.

Transactions with Related Parties. The Bank’s authority to engage in transactions with “affiliates” (*e.g.*, any company that controls or is under common control with an institution, including the Company and its non-savings institution subsidiaries) is limited by Federal law. The aggregate amount of covered transactions with any individual affiliate is limited to 10% of the capital and surplus of the savings institution. The aggregate amount of covered transactions with all affiliates is limited to 20% of the savings institution’s capital and surplus. Certain transactions with affiliates are required to be secured by collateral in an amount and of a type described in Federal law. The purchase of low quality assets from affiliates is generally prohibited. The transactions with affiliates must be on terms and under circumstances, that are at least as favorable to the institution as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated companies. In addition, savings institutions are prohibited from lending to any affiliate that is engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and no savings institution may purchase the securities of any affiliate other than a subsidiary.

Federal Home Loan Bank System

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of 12 regional FHLBs. Each FHLB provides member institutions with a central credit facility. The Bank, as a member of the FHLB-NY is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in that FHLB in an amount at least equal to 0.20% of mortgage-related assets and 4.5% of the specified value of certain transactions with the FHLB. The Bank was in compliance with this requirement with an investment in FHLB-NY stock at December 31, 2014 of \$19.2 million.

Federal Reserve System

The Federal Reserve Board regulations require depository institutions to maintain reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily interest-bearing checking and regular checking accounts). The regulations generally provide that reserves be maintained against aggregate transaction accounts as follows: a 3% reserve ratio is assessed on net transaction accounts up to and including \$89.0 million; a 10% reserve ratio is applied above \$89.0 million. The first \$13.3 million of otherwise reservable balances (subject to adjustments by the FRB) are exempt from the reserve requirements. The amounts are adjusted annually. The Bank complies with the foregoing requirements. For 2015, the FRB has set the 3% reserve limit at \$14.5 million and the exemption at \$103.6 million.

FEDERAL AND STATE TAXATION

Federal Taxation

General. The Company and the Bank report their income on a calendar year basis using the accrual method of accounting, and are subject to Federal income taxation in the same manner as other corporations with some exceptions, including particularly the Bank's reserve for bad debts discussed below. The following discussion of tax matters is intended only as a summary and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to the Bank or the Company. The Bank has not been audited by the IRS in over 10 years. For its 2014 taxable year, the Bank is subject to a maximum Federal income tax rate of 35%.

Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") imposes a tax on alternative minimum taxable income ("AMTI") at a rate of 20%. Only 90% of AMTI can be offset by net operating loss carryovers of which the Bank currently has none. AMTI is increased by an amount equal to 75% of the amount by which the Bank's adjusted current earnings exceeds its AMTI (determined without regard to this preference and prior to reduction for net operating losses). The Bank does not expect to be subject to the AMTI.

Dividends Received Deduction and Other Matters. The Company may exclude from its income 100% of dividends received from the Bank as a member of the same affiliated group of corporations. The corporate dividends received deduction is generally 70% in the case of dividends received from unaffiliated corporations with which the Company and the Bank will not file a consolidated tax return, except that if the Company or the Bank own more than 20% of the stock of a corporation distributing a dividend then 80% of any dividends received may be deducted.

State and Local Taxation

New Jersey Taxation. The Bank files New Jersey income tax returns. For New Jersey income tax purposes, the Bank is subject to a tax rate of 9% of taxable income. For this purpose, "taxable income" generally means Federal taxable income, subject to certain adjustments (including addition of interest income on state and municipal obligations).

The Company is required to file a New Jersey income tax return because it does business in New Jersey. For New Jersey tax purposes, regular corporations are presently taxed at a rate equal to 9% of taxable income. However, if the Company meets certain requirements, it may be eligible to elect to be taxed as a New Jersey Investment Company at a tax rate presently equal to 3.60% (40% of 9%) of taxable income.

OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc. files a New Jersey income tax return which includes income earned by OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc. and by OceanFirst Realty Corp. OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc. qualifies as a New Jersey Investment Company and is taxed at a rate presently equal to 3.60% of taxable income.

Delaware Taxation. As a Delaware holding company not earning income in Delaware, the Company is exempted from Delaware corporate income tax but is required to file an annual report with and pay an annual franchise tax to the State of Delaware.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

A downturn in the local economy or in local real estate values could hurt profits. Most of the Bank's loans are secured by real estate and are made to borrowers in Ocean, Monmouth, Middlesex and Mercer Counties, New Jersey and the surrounding areas. As a result of this concentration, a downturn in the local economy could cause significant increases in non-performing loans, which could hurt profits. A downturn in the local economy or a decline in real estate values could increase the amount of non-performing loans and cause residential and commercial mortgage loans to become inadequately collateralized, which could expose the Bank to a greater risk of loss.

Future natural disasters or hurricanes, or increases to flood insurance premiums could adversely affect asset quality and earnings. The Bank's trade area includes counties in New Jersey with extensive coastal regions. These areas may be vulnerable to flooding or other damage from future storms or hurricanes. This damage may be as bad as, or worse than, that suffered during superstorm Sandy in 2012. Further storms like this, although rare, could negatively impact the Company's results of operations by disrupting operations, adversely impacting the ability of the Company's borrowers to repay their loans, damaging collateral or reducing the value of real estate used as collateral.

In response to the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012, the Federal Emergency Management Agency is making changes to the way the National Flood Insurance Program is run, including modifying insurance rates to reflect market-based flood risk. While legislative initiatives are currently delaying certain rate changes, it is possible that premium rates will increase over time for some of the Company's borrowers. These increases may reduce real estate values or impact borrowers' ability to maintain adequate flood insurance coverage, which may, in turn, adversely impact borrowers ability to repay their loans.

Increased emphasis on commercial lending may expose the Bank to increased lending risks. At December 31, 2014, \$733.9 million, or 42.6%, of the Bank's total loans consisted of commercial real estate, multi-family and land loans, and commercial and industrial loans. This portfolio has grown in recent years and the Bank intends to continue to emphasize these types of lending. These types of loans may expose a lender to greater risk of non-payment and loss than one-to-four family residential mortgage loans because repayment of the loans often depends on the successful operation of the property and the income stream of the borrowers. Such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to one-to-four family residential mortgage loans.

The extended foreclosure timeline could continue to adversely impact the Bank's recoveries on non-performing loans. Over the past few years, foreclosure timelines have lengthened due to, among other reasons, delays associated with the significant increase in the number of foreclosure cases and issues with foreclosure policies at several large mortgage loan servicers. These delays were the result of the economic crisis, additional consumer protection initiatives related to the foreclosure process, increased documentary requirements and judicial scrutiny, and, both voluntary and mandatory programs under which lenders may consider loan modifications or other alternatives to foreclosure. The issues at the largest mortgage loan servicers and the potential legal and regulatory responses could impact the foreclosure process and completion time of foreclosures for residential mortgage lenders more broadly, which may result in a material adverse effect on collateral values and the Bank's ability to minimize its losses. The foreclosure process in New Jersey remains protracted which delays the Company's ability to resolve non-performing loans through the sale of the underlying collateral.

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes new obligations on originators of residential mortgage loans, such as the Bank. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act requires originators to make a reasonable and good faith determination based on documented information that a borrower has a reasonable ability to repay a particular mortgage loan over the long term. If the originator cannot meet this standard, the burden is on the lender to demonstrate the appropriateness of its policies and the strength of its controls. The Dodd-Frank Act contains an exception from this Ability-To-Repay rule for "Qualified Mortgages". A rule issued by the CFPB in January 2013, and effective January 10, 2014, sets forth specific underwriting criteria for a loan to qualify as a Qualified Mortgage. The

criteria generally exclude loans that (1) are interest-only, (2) have excessive upfront points or fees, or (3) have negative amortization features, balloon payments, or terms in excess of 30 years. To be defined as an Ability-To-Repay Qualified Mortgage, the underwriting criteria also impose a maximum debt to income ratio of 43%, based upon documented and verifiable information. If a loan meets these criteria and is not a “higher priced loan” as defined in Federal Reserve regulations, the CFPB rule establishes a safe harbor preventing a consumer from asserting the failure of the originator to establish the consumer’s Ability-To-Repay. Additionally, conforming fixed-rate loans with a debt-to-income ratio greater than 43% would also qualify as an Ability-To-Repay Qualified Mortgage based upon an automated loan approval from one of the government sponsored mortgage entities. However, a consumer may assert the lender’s failure to comply with the Ability-To-Repay rule for all residential mortgage loans other than Qualified Mortgages.

Although the majority of residential mortgages historically originated by the Bank would be considered Qualified Mortgages, the Bank currently originates residential mortgage loans that do not qualify. As a result of the Ability-to-Repay rules, the Bank may experience decreased mortgage loan origination volume, increased compliance costs, loan losses, litigation related expenses and delays in taking title to real estate collateral in a foreclosure proceeding if these loans do not perform and borrowers challenge whether the Bank satisfied the Ability-To-Repay rule upon originating the loan.

The Bank’s allowance for loan losses may be inadequate, which could hurt the Company’s earnings. The Bank’s allowance for loan losses may prove to be inadequate to cover actual loan losses and if the Bank is required to increase its allowance, current earnings may be reduced. The Bank provides for losses by reserving what it believes to be an adequate amount to absorb any probable incurred losses. A “charge-off” reduces the Bank’s reserve for possible loan losses. If the Bank’s reserves were insufficient, it would be required to record a larger reserve, which would reduce earnings for that period.

Changes in interest rates could adversely affect results of operations and financial condition. The Bank’s ability to make a profit largely depends on net interest income, which could be negatively affected by changes in interest rates. The interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities are generally fixed for a contractual period of time. Interest-bearing liabilities generally have shorter contractual maturities than interest-earning assets. This imbalance can create significant earnings volatility, because market interest rates change over time. In a period of rising interest rates, the interest income earned on interest-earning assets may not increase as rapidly as the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

In addition, changes in interest rates can affect the average life of loans and mortgage-backed securities. A reduction in interest rates causes increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities as borrowers refinance their debt to reduce their borrowing costs. This creates reinvestment risk, which is the risk that the Bank may not be able to reinvest the funds from faster prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates earned on the prepaid loans or mortgage-backed securities. Conversely, an increase in interest rates generally reduces prepayments. Additionally, increases in interest rates may decrease loan demand and/or make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable-rate loans.

Changes in interest rates also affect the current estimated fair value of the interest-earning securities portfolio. Generally, the value of securities moves inversely with changes in interest rates. Unrealized net losses on securities available-for-sale are reported as a separate component of equity. To the extent interest rates increase and the value of the available-for-sale portfolio decreases, stockholders’ equity will be adversely affected.

Changes in the fair value of securities may reduce stockholders’ equity and net income. At December 31, 2014, the Company maintained a securities portfolio of \$489.2 million, of which \$19.8 million was classified as available-for-sale. The estimated fair value of the available-for-sale securities portfolio may increase or decrease depending on the credit quality of the underlying issuer, market liquidity, changes in interest rates and other factors. Stockholders’ equity is increased or decreased by the amount of the change in the unrealized gain or loss (difference between the estimated fair value and the amortized cost) of the available-for-sale securities portfolio,

net of the related tax expense or benefit, under the category of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Therefore, a decline in the estimated fair value of this portfolio will result in a decline in reported stockholders' equity, as well as book value per common share. The decrease will occur even though the securities are not sold.

The Company conducts a periodic review and evaluation of the complete securities portfolio to determine if the decline in the estimated fair value of any security below its cost basis is other-than-temporary. Factors which are considered in the analysis include, but are not limited to, the severity and duration of the decline in estimated fair value of the security, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, whether the decline appears to be related to issuer conditions or general market or industry conditions, the intent and ability to retain the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value and the likelihood of any near-term fair value recovery. If such decline is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the security is written down to a new cost basis and the resulting loss is charged to earnings as a component of non-interest income.

At December 31, 2014, the securities portfolio included corporate debt securities issued by national and regional banks. The portfolio consisted of eleven \$5.0 million issues spread among eight issuers. At December 31, 2014, the securities had a book value of \$55.0 million and an estimated fair value of \$45.3 million. The Company may be required to recognize an other-than-temporary impairment charge related to these securities if circumstances change.

The Bank may be required to repurchase mortgage loans for a breach of representations and warranties, which could harm the Company's earnings. The Company enters into loan sale agreements with investors in the normal course of business. The loan sale agreements generally require the repurchase of certain loans previously sold in the event of a violation of various representations and warranties customary to the mortgage banking industry. FNMA, FHLMC and investors continue to carefully examine loan documentation on delinquent loans with the goal of increasing the amount of repurchases by the loan originator. The repurchased mortgage loans could typically only be resold at a significant discount to the unpaid principal balance. The Company maintains a reserve for repurchased loans, however, if repurchase activity is significant, the reserve may need to be increased to cover actual losses which could harm future earnings.

The Company and the Bank operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations. The Company is subject to examination and regulation by the FRB. The Bank is subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by the OCC, its primary Federal regulator, and by the FDIC, as insurer of deposits. Such regulation and supervision governs the activities in which an institution and its holding company may engage. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the imposition of restrictions on operations, the classification of assets and determination of the level of the allowance for loan losses. The purpose of the laws and regulations that govern the Company and the Bank's operations are designed for the protection of depositors and the public, but not the Company's stockholders.

In July of 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted. The Dodd-Frank Act is a broad legislative initiative that is significantly changing the bank regulatory structure and affecting the operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the OCC, which is the primary Federal regulator for national banks, became the primary Federal regulator for Federal thrifts such as the Bank and the FRB now supervises and regulates all savings and loan holding companies, including the Company. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act created the CFPB with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices.

The Dodd-Frank Act also directed the FRB to issue rules to limit debit-card interchange fees, (the fees that issuing banks charge merchants each time a consumer uses a debit card) collected by banks with assets of \$10 billion or more. Although exempt from this rule, market forces in future periods, may result in reduced fees charged by all issuers, regardless of asset size, which may result in reduced revenues for the Bank. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Bank's revenues from interchange fees was \$2.9 million, no change from 2013. See "Regulation and Supervision, General, The Dodd-Frank Act".

In July 2013 the FDIC and the other Federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that revised their leverage and risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. See “Regulation and Supervision, General, The Dodd-Frank Act”.

The USA Patriot and Bank Secrecy Acts require financial institutions to develop programs to prevent financial institutions from being used for money laundering, terrorist financing and other illicit activities. If such activities are detected, financial institutions are obligated to file suspicious activity reports with the U.S. Treasury’s Office of Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These rules require financial institutions to establish procedures for identifying and verifying the identity of customers seeking to open new financial accounts. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in fines or sanctions. Although the Bank has developed policies and procedures designated to comply with these laws and regulations, these policies and procedures may not be totally effective in preventing violations of these laws and regulations.

These provisions, as well as any other aspects of current or proposed regulatory or legislative changes to laws applicable to the financial industry, may impact the profitability of the Company’s business activities and may change certain business practices, including the ability to offer new products, obtain financing, attract deposits, make loans and achieve satisfactory interest spreads, and could expose the Company to additional costs, including increased compliance costs. These changes also may require the Company to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes to operations in order to comply, and could therefore also materially and adversely affect the Company’s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Management is actively reviewing the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III, many of which are to be phased-in over the next several years, and assessing the probable impact on operations. The ultimate effect of these changes on the financial services industry in general, and the Company in particular, is uncertain at this time.

The Bank’s ability to originate mortgage loans for portfolio has been adversely affected by the increased competition resulting from the unprecedented involvement of the U.S. government and GSEs in the residential mortgage market. Over the past few years, the Federal Reserve has been a consistently large purchaser of U.S. Treasury and GSE-backed mortgage-backed securities. This remains true despite the recent decision by the Federal Open Market Committee to end their monthly bond buying program. In addition, the Bank has faced increased competition for mortgage loans due to the unprecedented involvement of the GSEs in the mortgage market as a result of the economic crisis. The actions of the Federal Reserve and the GSEs have caused the interest rate for 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loans that conform to GSE guidelines to remain artificially low. As a result of these factors, it may be difficult for the Bank to originate mortgage loans and grow the residential mortgage loan portfolio, which could have a materially adverse impact on the Bank’s earnings.

There is no guaranty that the Company will be able to continue to pay a dividend or, if continued, will be able to pay a dividend at the current rate. The Board of Directors of the Company determines at its discretion if, when and the amount of dividends that may be paid on the common stock. In making such determination under the Company’s capital management plan, the Board of Directors takes into account various factors including economic conditions, earnings, liquidity needs, the financial condition of the Company, applicable state law, regulatory requirements and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. Although the Company has a history of paying a quarterly dividend on its common stock, there is no guaranty that such dividends will continue to be paid in the future or at what rate.

Competition from other banks and financial institutions in originating loans, attracting deposits and providing various financial services may adversely affect profitability and liquidity. The Company has substantial competition in originating loans, both commercial and consumer, in its market area. This competition comes principally from other banks, savings institutions, mortgage banking companies and other lenders. Many of these competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources and access to capital, stronger regulatory

ratios and higher lending limits, a wider geographic presence, more accessible branch office locations, the ability to offer a wider array of services or more favorable pricing alternatives, as well as lower origination and operating costs. This competition could reduce the Company's net income by decreasing the number and size of loans that the Bank originates and the interest rates charged on these loans.

In attracting business and consumer deposits, the Company faces substantial competition from other insured depository institutions such as banks, savings institutions and credit unions, as well as institutions offering uninsured investment alternatives, including money market funds. Many of its competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources and access to capital, stronger regulatory ratios, stronger asset quality and performance, more aggressive marketing campaigns, better brand recognition and more branch locations. These competitors may offer higher interest rates than the Company, which could decrease the deposits that the Company attracts or require the Company to increase its rates to retain existing deposits or attract new deposits. Increased deposit competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to generate the funds necessary for lending operations. As a result, the Company may need to seek other sources of funds that may be more expensive to obtain which could increase the cost of funds.

The Company's inability to achieve profitability on new branches may negatively affect earnings. The Bank has expanded its presence within the market area through de novo branching and continually evaluates opportunities for new branches. The profitability of this expansion strategy will depend on whether the income from the new branches will offset the increased expenses resulting from operating these branches. It is expected to take a period of time before these branches or any branches to be opened can become profitable. During this period, the expense of operating these branches may negatively affect net income.

The Company must continue to attract and retain qualified personnel and maintain cost controls and asset quality. The Company's ability to manage growth successfully will depend on its ability to continue to attract and retain management and loan officers experienced in banking and financial services and familiar with the communities in its market area. The unexpected loss of service of any key management personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could adversely affect the Company. If the Company grows too quickly and is not able to attract qualified personnel and maintain cost controls and asset quality, this continued growth could adversely affect the Company.

Risks associated with system failures, interruptions, or breaches of security could disrupt businesses, result in the disclosure of confidential information, damage the reputation of, and create significant financial and legal exposure for the Company. Information technology systems are critical to the Company's business. Various systems are used to manage customer relationships, including deposits and loans, general ledger and securities investments.

Although the Company devotes significant resources to maintain and regularly upgrade its systems and processes that are designed to protect the security of the Company's computer systems, software, networks and other technology assets and the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information belonging to the Company and its customers, there is no assurance that all of the Company's security measures will provide absolute security. This risk is evidenced by recent events where financial institutions and companies engaged in data processing have reported breaches in the security of their websites or other systems, some of which have involved sophisticated and targeted attacks intended to obtain unauthorized access to confidential information, destroy data, disrupt or degrade service, sabotage systems or cause other damage, often through the introduction of computer viruses or malware, cyberattacks and other means. Additionally, there is the risk of distributed denial-of-service attacks from technically sophisticated and well-resourced third parties which are intended to disrupt online services, as well as data breaches due to cyberattacks which result in unauthorized access to customer data.

Despite the Company's efforts to ensure the integrity of its systems, it is possible that the Company may not be able to anticipate or to implement effective preventive measures against all security breaches of these types,

especially because the techniques used change frequently or are not recognized until launched, and because cyberattacks can originate from a wide variety of sources, including third parties outside the Company such as persons who are involved with organized crime or associated with external service providers or who may be linked to terrorist organizations or hostile foreign governments. Those parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers or other users of the Company's systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to the Company's data or that of its customers or clients. These risks may increase in the future as the Company continues to increase its mobile and other internet-based product offerings.

In addition, a majority of data processing is outsourced to certain third-party providers. If these third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if there is difficulty communicating with them, the ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and business operations could be adversely affected. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various vendors and their personnel.

The occurrence of any system failures, interruption, or breach of security of the Company's or its vendors' systems could cause serious negative consequences for the Company, including significant disruption of the Company's operations, misappropriation of confidential information of the Company or that of its customers, or damage to computers or systems of the Company and those of its customers and counterparties, and could result in violations of applicable privacy and other laws, financial loss to the Company or to its customers, loss of confidence in the Company's security measures, customer dissatisfaction, significant litigation exposure, and harm to the Company's reputation, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Company's MSR may become impaired which could hurt profits. MSR are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Any impairment is recognized as a reduction to servicing fee income. In the event that loan prepayments accelerate due to increased loan refinancing, the estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights would likely decline which could result in an impairment charge which would reduce earnings.

The value of the Company's deferred tax asset could be reduced if corporate tax rates in the U.S. are decreased. There have been recent discussions in Congress and by the executive branch regarding potentially decreasing the U.S. corporate tax rate. While the Company may benefit in some respects from any decreases in these corporate tax rates, any reduction in the U.S. corporate tax rate would result in a decrease to the value of the net deferred tax asset, which could negatively affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

The Bank conducts its business through its administrative office, which includes a branch office, and 22 other full service offices located in Ocean, Monmouth and Middlesex Counties, and through a wealth management office.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company and the Bank are not involved in any pending legal proceedings other than routine legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. Such other routine legal proceedings in the aggregate are believed by management to be immaterial to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information for Common Stock

OceanFirst Financial Corp.'s common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol OCFC. The table below shows the reported high and low daily closing prices of the common stock during the periods indicated in 2014 and 2013.


<u>2014</u>		<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
High		\$18.88	\$18.33	\$17.09	\$17.35
Low		17.05	15.75	15.88	15.52
<u>2013</u>		<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
High		\$14.70	\$15.55	\$17.78	\$18.60
Low		13.08	13.58	15.89	16.65

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately 2,960 shareholders, including the number of persons or entities holding stock in nominee or street name through various brokers and banks.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph shows a comparison of total stockholder return on OceanFirst Financial Corp.'s common stock, based on the market price of the Company's common stock with the cumulative total return of companies in the Nasdaq Composite Index and the SNL Thrift Index for the period December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014. The graph may not be indicative of possible future performance of the Company's common stock. Cumulative return assumes the reinvestment of dividends and is expressed in dollars based on an initial investment of \$100.

**OceanFirst Financial Corp.
Total Return Performance**



	<u>12/31/09</u>	<u>12/31/10</u>	<u>12/31/11</u>	<u>12/31/12</u>	<u>12/31/13</u>	<u>12/31/14</u>
OceanFirst Financial Corp.	100.00	118.68	124.80	135.90	174.53	179.84
Nasdaq Composite Index	100.00	118.15	117.22	138.02	193.47	222.16
SNL Thrift Index	100.00	104.49	87.90	106.91	137.20	147.56

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company paid an annual cash dividend of \$0.49 and \$0.48 per share, respectively.

On July 24, 2014, the Company announced authorization by the Board of Directors to repurchase up to 5% of the Company's outstanding common stock, or 867,923 shares. Information regarding the Company's common stock repurchases for the three month period ended December 31, 2014 is as follows:

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
October 1, 2014 through				
October 31, 2014	107,400	\$16.62	107,400	727,659
November 1, 2014 through				
November 30, 2014	42,702	16.59	42,702	684,957
December 1, 2014 through				
December 31, 2014	66,559	16.87	66,559	618,398

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected consolidated financial and other data of the Company set forth below is derived in part from, and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and Notes thereto presented elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	At December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)				
Selected Financial Condition Data:					
Total assets	\$2,356,714	\$2,249,711	\$2,269,228	\$2,302,094	\$2,251,330
Securities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value	19,804	43,836	547,450	530,210	433,093
Securities held-to-maturity, net	469,417	495,599	—	—	—
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock . . .	19,170	14,518	17,061	18,160	16,928
Loans receivable, net	1,688,846	1,541,460	1,523,200	1,563,019	1,660,788
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	4,201	785	6,746	9,297	6,674
Deposits	1,720,135	1,746,763	1,719,671	1,706,083	1,663,968
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	305,238	175,000	225,000	266,000	265,000
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings	95,312	95,804	88,291	93,601	95,364
Stockholders' equity	218,259	214,350	219,792	216,849	201,251

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands; except per share amounts)				
Selected Operating Data:					
Interest income	\$ 79,853	\$ 80,157	\$ 87,615	\$ 95,387	\$ 101,367
Interest expense	7,505	9,628	14,103	18,060	24,253
Net interest income	72,348	70,529	73,512	77,327	77,114
Provision for loan losses	2,630	2,800	7,900	7,750	8,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	69,718	67,729	65,612	69,577	69,114
Other income	18,577	16,458	17,724	14,845	14,836
Operating expenses	57,764	59,244	52,389	52,208	53,171
Income before provision for income taxes	30,531	24,943	30,947	32,214	30,779
Provision for income taxes	10,611	8,613	10,927	11,473	10,401
Net income	\$ 19,920	\$ 16,330	\$ 20,020	\$ 20,741	\$ 20,378
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.12
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.12

	At or For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data (1):					
Performance Ratios:					
Return on average assets (2)	0.86%	0.71%	0.87%	0.91%	0.93%
Return on average stockholders' equity (2)	9.18	7.51	9.15	9.88	10.62
Stockholders' equity to total assets	9.26	9.53	9.69	9.42	8.94
Tangible equity to tangible assets	9.26	9.53	9.69	9.42	8.94
Average interest rate spread (3)	3.23	3.16	3.27	3.48	3.56
Net interest margin (4)	3.31	3.24	3.37	3.59	3.69
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	121.21	117.19	115.71	113.15	111.99
Operating expenses to average assets (2)	2.50	2.58	2.29	2.30	2.42
Efficiency ratio (2)(5)	63.53	68.11	57.42	56.64	57.83
Asset Quality Ratios:					
Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans receivable (6)(7)(8)	1.06	2.88	2.80	2.77	2.23
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets (7)(8) ..	0.97	2.21	2.05	2.00	1.77
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans receivable (6)(8)	0.95	1.33	1.32	1.15	1.17
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total non-performing loans (7)(8)	89.13	46.14	47.29	41.42	52.48
Wealth Management:					
Assets under administration (000's)	\$225,234	\$216,144	\$172,879	\$154,851	\$123,570
Per Share Data:					
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48
Stockholders' equity per common share at end of period ..	12.91	12.33	12.28	11.61	10.69
Tangible stockholders' equity per common share at end of period	12.91	12.33	12.28	11.61	10.69
Number of full-service customer facilities:	23	23	24	24	23

- (1) With the exception of end of year ratios, all ratios are based on average daily balances.
- (2) Performance ratios for 2013 include non-recurring expenses relating to the prepayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances of \$4.3 million and the consolidation of two branches into newer, in-market facilities, at a cost of \$579,000. The total after tax cost was \$3.1 million. Performance ratios for 2012 include an additional loan loss provision of \$1.8 million relating to superstorm Sandy and \$687,000 in net severance expense. The total after tax cost was \$1.6 million.
- (3) The average interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
- (5) Efficiency ratio represents the ratio of operating expenses to the aggregate of other income and net interest income.
- (6) Total loans receivable includes loans receivable and loans held-for-sale.
- (7) Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans and real estate acquired through foreclosure. Non-performing loans consist of all loans 90 days or more past due and other loans in the process of foreclosure. It is the Company's policy to cease accruing interest on all such loans and to reverse previously accrued interest.
- (8) As discussed in the section "Allowance for Loan Losses", during the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company modified its charge-off policy on problem loans secured by real estate so that losses are charged off in the period the loans are deemed uncollectable rather than when the foreclosure process is completed. The change in the charge-off policy resulted in additional charge-offs in the fourth quarter of 2011 of \$5.7 million.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

OceanFirst Financial Corp. has been the holding company for OceanFirst Bank since it acquired the stock of the Bank upon the Bank’s Conversion.

The Company conducts business primarily through its ownership of the Bank which operates its administrative/branch office located in Toms River and twenty-two additional branch offices. Eighteen of the offices are located in Ocean County, New Jersey, with four branches in Monmouth County and one in Middlesex County. The Bank also operates a wealth management office in Manchester, New Jersey.

The Company’s results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on the Company’s interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the interest expense on its interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The Company also generates non-interest income such as income from wealth management, Bankcard services, loan sales, loan originations (including reverse mortgages), loan servicing, deposit account services, the sale of alternative investments, Bank Owned Life Insurance and other fees. The Company’s operating expenses primarily consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, marketing, Federal deposit insurance, data processing, check card processing, professional fees and other general and administrative expenses. The Company’s results of operations are also significantly affected by competition, general economic conditions including levels of unemployment and real estate values as well as changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory agencies.

Strategy

The Company operates as a full service community bank delivering commercial and residential financing solutions, wealth management and deposit services throughout the central New Jersey region. The Bank is the largest and oldest community-based financial institution headquartered in Ocean County, New Jersey. The Bank competes with larger and out-of-market financial service providers through its local focus and the delivery of superior service. The Bank also competes with smaller in-market financial service providers by offering a broad array of products.

The Company’s strategy has been to grow profitability while limiting exposure to credit, interest rate and operational risks. To accomplish these objectives, the Bank has sought to (1) grow commercial loans receivable through the offering of commercial lending services to local businesses; (2) increase non-interest income by expanding the menu of fee-based products and services and investing additional resources in these product lines; and (3) grow core deposits (defined as all deposits other than time deposits) through product offerings appealing to a broadened customer base.

Growing Commercial Loans

With industry consolidation eliminating most locally-headquartered competitors, the Company fills a void for locally-delivered commercial loan and deposit services. The Bank has assembled an experienced team of business banking professionals which was further supplemented during 2013 and 2014 through the successful recruitment of several experienced commercial lenders. Additionally, a loan production office was opened in Mercer County in the first quarter of 2015 to better serve the broader central New Jersey market area. These professionals are responsible for offering commercial loan and deposit services and Bankcard services to local businesses. As a result of this initiative, commercial loans represented 42.6% of the Bank’s total loans at December 31, 2014 as compared 28.3% at December 31, 2009 and only 3.6% at December 31, 1997. Commercial loan balances increased by \$144.4 million, or 24.5%, in 2014. Commercial loan products entail a higher degree of credit risk than is involved in one-to-four family residential mortgage lending activity. As a consequence, management continues to employ a well-defined credit policy focusing on quality underwriting and close management and Board monitoring. See “Risk Factors – Increased emphasis on commercial lending may expose the Bank to increased lending risks”.

Enhancing non-interest income

Management continues to diversify the Bank's product line and expand related resources in order to enhance non-interest income. The Bank is focused on growth opportunities in wealth management services and in Bankcard services, which includes interchange revenue, merchant services and ATM fees. The Bank also offers alternative investment products (annuities, mutual funds and life insurance) for sale through its retail branch network. As a result of these initiatives, income from fees and service charges has increased 8.6%, to \$14.3 million, for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the prior year. By comparison, income from fees and service charges was \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and only \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 1997.

Increasing core deposits

The Bank seeks to increase core deposit market share in its primary market area by improving market penetration. Over the past ten years through December 31, 2014, the Bank has opened eight branch offices, six in Ocean County and two in Monmouth County, including a full service Financial Solutions Center in Red Bank. After a comprehensive review of the Bank's branch network in late 2013, two existing branches were consolidated into newer, nearby facilities. The Bank is continually evaluating additional strategic office sites within its existing market area and expects to open a second branch in Jackson Township in the second quarter of 2015. The new Jackson branch will operate with advanced technology, in the form of interactive automated teller machines and a smaller staffing complement than the Bank's traditional branches to more efficiently serve the local market. In 2014, the Bank installed its first interactive automated teller machine at a Deposit Production Office ("DPO") as proof of concept. Rather than the traditional prototype approach, the footprint and staffing model of future branches and DPOs will be independently evaluated to ensure they efficiently serve the local market. Core account development has benefited from Bank efforts to attract business deposits in conjunction with its commercial lending operations and from an expanded mix of retail core account products. As a result of these efforts the Bank's core deposit ratio has grown to 88.0% at December 31, 2014 as compared to 77.1% at December 31, 2009 and only 33.0% at December 31, 1997. Deposits added in 2014 from commercial loan borrowers totaled \$35.7 million, demonstrating the value of relationship based lending. Within the core deposit category, \$72.3 million of deposits formerly in interest bearing checking migrated to non-interest-bearing checking when the Bank streamlined its deposit product structure in the second quarter of 2014. Core deposits are generally considered a less expensive and more stable funding source than certificates of deposit.

Capital Management

In addition to the objectives described above, the Company determined to more actively manage its capital position to improve return on equity. The Company has, over the past few years, implemented or announced, three stock repurchase programs. The most recent plan to repurchase up to 5% of outstanding common stock was announced on July 24, 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company repurchased 551,291 shares of common stock for \$9.2 million. At December 31, 2014, there were 618,398 shares remaining to be repurchased under the existing stock repurchase plan.

Summary

Interest-earning assets, both loans and securities, are generally priced against longer-term indices, while interest-bearing liabilities, primarily deposits and borrowings, are generally priced against shorter-term indices. The Company's net interest margin expanded slightly over the prior year as the Company has succeeded in growing commercial loans resulting in a shift in asset mix from lower-yielding interest-earning deposits and securities into higher-yielding loans. The net interest margin also benefited from the prepayment of \$159.0 million of FHLB advances in the fourth quarter of 2013 and from the rising interest rate environment in 2013 which steepened the yield curve, slowed loan refinance activity and improved yields on newly-originated loans and investments. The increase in longer-term rates and related reduction in loan refinance activity caused a decrease

in the Company's loan sale volume and therefore lower income from the net gain on the sale of loans. Based upon current economic conditions, the Federal Reserve has indicated that it anticipates that short-term interest rates will remain at current levels for a considerable time, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the 2% longer-run goal, and provided that longer-term inflation expectations remain well-anchored. Furthermore, the Federal Reserve concluded its bond buying program in October 2014. Recently, worldwide economic and geopolitical uncertainty has caused longer-term interest rates to decline, which may have an adverse impact on the Company's net interest margin in future periods.

In addition to the interest rate environment, the Company's results are affected by economic conditions. Recent economic indicators point to some improvement in the U.S. economy, which expanded modestly in 2013 and again in 2014. Labor market conditions improved as the national and local unemployment rates in 2014 both decreased compared to prior year levels. Despite these signs, the economic recovery remains modest.

Highlights of the Company's financial results for the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows:

On October 23, 2014, the Company announced a \$0.01 increase in the quarterly cash dividend, to \$0.13 per share.

Total assets increased to \$2.357 billion at December 31, 2014, from \$2.250 billion at December 31, 2013. Loans receivable, net increased \$147.4 million at December 31, 2014, as compared to December 31, 2013 primarily due to growth in commercial loans of \$144.4 million.

On September 30, 2014, the Company completed the bulk sale of certain non-performing residential mortgage loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$23.1 million, for net cash consideration of \$18.7 million. The sale represented 55.7% of the Company's reported non-performing loans at that time and resulted in a total loan charge-off of \$5.0 million through the Allowance for Loan Losses. The non-performing loan sale improved the credit risk profile of the loan portfolio and is expected to increase net interest income and reduce foreclosure-related expenses, lower overhead costs and reduce the FDIC insurance assessment in future periods.

On October 31, 2014, the Company sold the servicing rights on residential mortgage loans serviced for the Federal agencies, recognizing a net gain of \$408,000. The Company anticipates a modest benefit to 2015 earnings as associated expense reductions are expected to exceed foregone servicing revenues.

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$19.9 million, or \$1.19 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$16.3 million, or \$0.95 per diluted share for the prior year. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was adversely impacted by non-recurring expenses related to the prepayment of FHLB advances and the consolidation of two branches. Net income in 2014 benefited from improved net interest income and higher other income. Additionally, earnings per share benefited from a reduction in average shares outstanding.

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased to \$72.3 million, as compared to \$70.5 million in the prior year, reflecting a higher net interest margin and higher interest-earning assets. The net interest margin increased to 3.31% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 3.24% for the prior year.

The provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased to \$2.6 million, as compared to \$2.8 million in the prior year.

Other income increased to \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to \$16.5 million in the prior year. The increase was due to higher fees and service charges, primarily due to a revised deposit fee and product structure, higher gain on sale of investment securities and the net gain on sale of mortgage servicing rights.

The Company remains well-capitalized with a tangible common equity ratio of 9.26%. On July 24, 2014, the Company announced the completion of its 2012 common stock repurchase program and the subsequent authorization by the Board of Directors to repurchase up to 5% of the Company's outstanding common stock, or 867,923 shares. At December 31, 2014, there were 618,398 shares available for repurchase.

Return on average stockholders' equity was 9.18% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 7.51% for the prior year.

Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 to the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 contains a summary of significant accounting policies. Various elements of these accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain assets are carried in the consolidated statements of financial condition at estimated fair value or the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Policies with respect to the methodologies used to determine the allowance for loan losses, the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations, the valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights and judgments regarding securities impairment are the most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, involve a higher degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions and estimates could result in material differences in the results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and their application are reviewed periodically and, at least annually, with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's evaluation of the Company's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and current economic conditions. Additions to the allowance arise from charges to operations through the provision for loan losses or from the recovery of amounts previously charged-off. The allowance is reduced by loan charge-offs.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at an amount management considers sufficient to provide for probable losses. The analysis considers known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio resulting from management's continuing review of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses includes specific allowances and a general allowance, each updated on a quarterly basis. A specific allowance is determined for all non-accrual loans where the value of the underlying collateral can reasonably be evaluated. For these loans, the specific allowance represents the difference between the Bank's recorded investment in the loan, net of any interim charge-offs, and the estimated fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs.

If a loan becomes 90 days delinquent, the Bank obtains an updated collateral appraisal. For residential real estate loans, the appraisal is updated annually if the loan remains delinquent for an extended period. For non-accrual commercial real estate loans, the Bank assesses whether there has likely been an adverse change in the collateral value supporting the loan. The Bank utilizes information based on its knowledge of changes in real estate conditions in its lending area to identify whether a possible deterioration of collateral value has occurred. Based on the severity of the changes in market conditions, management determines if an updated commercial real estate appraisal is warranted or if downward adjustments to the previous appraisal are warranted. If it is determined that the deterioration of the collateral value is significant enough to warrant ordering a new appraisal, an estimate of the downward adjustments to the existing appraised value is used in assessing if additional specific reserves are necessary until the updated appraisal is received.

A general allowance is determined for all loans that are not individually evaluated for a specific allowance. In determining the level of the general allowance, the Bank segments the loan portfolio into various loan segments and classes as follows:

<u>Loan Portfolio Segment</u>	<u>Loan Class</u>
Residential real estate:	– Residential
	– Residential construction
Commercial real estate:	– Commercial
	– Construction and land
Consumer:	– Consumer
Commercial and industrial:	– Commercial and industrial

The loan portfolio is further segmented by delinquency status and risk rating (Pass, Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful). An estimated loss factor is then applied to each risk tranche. To determine the loss factor, the Bank utilizes historical loss experience as a percent of loan principal adjusted for certain qualitative factors and the loss emergence period.

The Bank's historical loss experience is based on a rolling 24-month look-back period for all loan segments. This was selected based on (1) management's judgment that this period captures sufficient loss events (in both dollar terms and number of individual events) to be relevant; and (2) that the Bank's underwriting criteria and risk characteristics have remained relatively stable throughout this period.

The historical loss experience is adjusted for certain qualitative factors including, but not limited to, (1) delinquency trends, (2) net charge-off trends, (3) nature and volume of the loan portfolio, (4) loan policies and underwriting standards, (5) experience and ability of lending personnel, (6) changes in current economic conditions, (7) concentrations of credit, (8) loan review system, and external factors such as (9) local competition and (10) regulation. The Bank considers the applicability of each of these qualitative factors in estimating the general allowance for each loan portfolio segment. Each quarter, the conditions that existed in the 24-month look-back period are compared to current conditions to support a conclusion as to which qualitative adjustments are (or are not) deemed necessary for a particular portfolio segment.

The Bank calculates and analyzes the loss emergence period on an annual basis or more frequently if conditions warrant. The Bank's methodology is to use loss events in the past 8 quarters to determine the loss emergence period for each loan segment. The loss emergence period is specific to each loan segment and determined based on (1) the occurrence of a loss event which resulted in a potential loss and (2) confirmation of the potential loss is deemed to occur when the Bank records an initial charge-off on the loan or downgrades the risk-rating to substandard or doubtful.

The adjusted loss factors are then applied to each risk tranche. Existing economic conditions which the Bank considered to estimate the allowance for loan losses include local and regional trends in economic growth, unemployment and real estate values. In evaluating the qualitative factors as of December 31, 2014, the Company considered the potential adverse impact of actual and proposed increases to flood insurance premiums which may stress borrowers' ability to repay their loans or lower real estate values in certain flood prone areas; the recent recruitment of commercial lenders and the related accelerated growth in commercial real estate loans; and the Company's recent emphasis on construction-to-permanent residential construction loans attributable to local rebuilding after the damage caused by superstorm Sandy.

The Bank also maintains an unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses. The primary purpose of the unallocated component is to account for the inherent imprecision of the overall loss estimation process including the periodic updating of appraisals, commercial loan risk ratings, and continued economic uncertainty that may not be fully captured in the Company's loss history or the qualitative factors.

Upon completion of the aforementioned procedures, an overall management review is performed including ratio analyses to identify divergent trends compared with the Bank's own historical loss experience, the historical loss experience of the Bank's peer group, and management's understanding of general regulatory expectations. Based on that review, management may identify issues or factors that previously had not been considered in the estimation process, which may warrant further analysis or adjustments to estimated loss factors or the allowance for loan losses.

Of the Bank's loan portfolio, 95.1%, is secured by real estate, whether one-to-four family, consumer or commercial. Additionally, most of the Bank's borrowers are located in Ocean and Monmouth Counties, New Jersey and the surrounding area. These concentrations may adversely affect the Bank's loan loss experience should local real estate values decline further or should the markets served continue to experience difficult economic conditions including increased unemployment or should the area be affected by a natural disaster such as a hurricane or flooding.

Management believes the primary risk characteristics for each portfolio segment are a decline in the economy generally, including elevated levels of unemployment, a decline in real estate market values and possible increases in interest rates. Additionally, actual and proposed increases to flood insurance premiums may adversely affect real estate market values. Any one or a combination of these events may adversely affect the borrowers' ability to repay the loans, resulting in increased delinquencies, loan charge-offs and future levels of provisions. Accordingly, the Bank has provided for loan losses at the current level to address the current risk in the loan portfolio.

Although management believes that the Bank has established and maintained the allowance for loan losses at adequate levels, additions may be necessary if future economic and other conditions differ substantially from the current operating environment. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to make additional provisions for loan losses based upon information available to them at the time of their examination. Although management uses what it believes to be the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to economic, operating, regulatory and other conditions beyond the Bank's control.

Reserve for Repurchased Loans and Loss Sharing Obligations

The reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations relates to potential losses on loans sold which may have to be repurchased due to an early payment default, or a violation of representations and warranties. The reserve also includes an estimate of the Bank's obligation under a loss sharing arrangement with the FHLB relating to loans sold into their Mortgage Partnership Finance ("MPF") program. Provisions for losses are charged to gain on sale of loans and credited to the reserve, which is part of other liabilities, while actual losses are charged to the reserve. In order to estimate an appropriate reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations, the Bank considers recent and historical experience, product type and volume of recent whole loan sales and the general economic environment. Management believes that the Bank has established and maintained the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations at adequate levels, however, future adjustments to the reserve may be necessary due to economic, operating or other conditions beyond the Bank's control.

Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights

The estimated origination and servicing costs of mortgage loans sold in which servicing rights are retained is allocated between the loans and the servicing rights based on their estimated fair values at the time of the loan sale. Servicing assets are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, net servicing income. The estimated fair value of MSR is determined through a discounted analysis of future cash flows, incorporating numerous assumptions including servicing income, servicing costs, market discount rates, prepayment speeds and default rates, as well as the information obtained from the Company's recent sale of MSR. Impairment of the MSR is assessed on a quarterly basis on the fair value of those rights with any impairment recognized as a component of loan servicing fee income. Impairment is measured by risk strata based on the interest rate of the underlying mortgage loan.

The estimated fair value of MSR is sensitive to changes in assumptions. Fluctuations in prepayment speed assumptions have the most significant impact on the estimated fair value of MSR. In the event that loan prepayments increase due to increased loan refinancing, the estimated fair value of MSR would likely decline. In the event that loan prepayment activities decrease due to a decline in loan refinancing, the estimated fair value of MSR would likely increase. Additionally, in the event of an economic downturn, default rates and servicing costs may increase in future periods which would result in a decline in the estimated fair value of MSR. Any measurement of MSR is limited by the existing conditions and assumptions utilized at a particular point in time, and would not necessarily be appropriate if applied at a different point in time.

Impairment of Securities

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates whether any securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. In making this determination, the Company considers the extent and duration of the impairment, the nature and financial health of the issuer, the ability and intent to hold the securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in estimated fair value and other factors relevant to specific securities, such as the credit risk of the issuer and whether a guarantee or insurance applies to the security. If a security is determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the credit related component is charged to income with the non-credit related component recognized in other comprehensive income, during the period the impairment is found to exist.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company concluded that any remaining unrealized losses in the securities portfolio were temporary in nature because they were primarily related to market interest rates, market illiquidity and wider credit spreads for these types of securities. Additionally, the Company does not intend to sell the securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost. Future events that could materially change this conclusion and require an impairment loss to be charged to operations include a change in the credit quality of the issuers or a determination that a market recovery in the foreseeable future is unlikely.

Analysis of Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the difference between income on interest-earning assets and expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income also depends upon the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rate earned or paid on them.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods shown except where noted otherwise. Average balances are derived from average daily balances. The yields and costs include fees which are considered adjustments to yields.

(dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,								
	2014			2013			2012		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost
Assets:									
Interest-earning assets:									
Interest-earning deposits and short-term investments	\$ 39,549	\$ 41	0.10%	\$ 50,704	\$ 77	0.15%	\$ 58,277	\$ 92	0.16%
Securities (1)	526,637	8,535	1.62	593,877	9,506	1.60	554,831	10,528	1.90
FHLB-NY stock	15,972	713	4.46	16,492	711	4.31	17,596	827	4.70
Loans receivable, net (2)	1,603,434	70,564	4.40	1,518,288	69,863	4.60	1,551,462	76,168	4.91
Total interest-earning assets	2,185,592	79,853	3.65	2,179,361	80,157	3.68	2,182,166	87,615	4.02
Non-interest-earning assets	120,677			120,074			110,537		
Total assets	\$2,306,269			\$2,299,435			\$2,292,703		
Liabilities and Equity:									
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Money market deposit accounts	\$ 113,406	92	0.08	\$ 122,136	165	0.14	\$ 126,502	361	0.29
Savings accounts	295,289	112	0.04	286,068	187	0.07	239,578	359	0.15
Interest-bearing checking accounts	869,383	925	0.11	919,701	1,408	0.15	939,335	2,878	0.31
Time deposits	213,566	2,974	1.39	215,477	2,949	1.37	243,776	3,949	1.62
Total	1,491,644	4,103	0.28	1,543,382	4,709	0.31	1,549,191	7,547	0.49
FHLB advances	219,847	2,515	1.14	219,102	3,986	1.82	239,707	5,495	2.29
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	64,223	78	0.12	69,621	124	0.18	69,469	201	0.29
Other borrowings	27,500	809	2.94	27,500	809	2.94	27,500	860	3.13
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,803,214	7,505	0.42	1,859,605	9,628	0.52	1,885,867	14,103	0.75
Non-interest-bearing deposits	257,058			205,855			170,859		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	29,082			16,470			17,152		
Total liabilities	2,089,354			2,081,930			2,073,878		
Stockholders' equity	216,915			217,505			218,825		
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,306,269			\$2,299,435			\$2,292,703		
Net interest income		\$72,348			\$70,529			\$73,512	
Net interest rate spread (3)			3.23%			3.16%			3.27%
Net interest margin (4)			3.31%			3.24%			3.37%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	121.21%			117.19%			115.71%		

(1) Amounts are recorded at average amortized cost.

(2) Amount is net of deferred loan fees, undisbursed loan funds, discounts and premiums and estimated loan loss allowances and includes loans held-for-sale and non-performing loans.

(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Rate Volume Analysis

The following table presents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected the Company's interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to: (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate); (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume); and (iii) the net change. The changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013			Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to			Increase (Decrease) Due to		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest-earning assets:						
Interest-earning deposits and short-term investments	\$ (14)	\$ (22)	\$ (36)	\$ (10)	\$ (5)	\$ (15)
Securities	(1,088)	117	(971)	711	(1,733)	(1,022)
FHLB-NY stock	(23)	25	2	(50)	(66)	(116)
Loans receivable, net	3,816	(3,115)	701	(1,595)	(4,710)	(6,305)
Total interest-earning assets	2,691	(2,995)	(304)	(944)	(6,514)	(7,458)
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Money market deposit accounts	(10)	(63)	(73)	(12)	(184)	(196)
Savings accounts	7	(82)	(75)	56	(228)	(172)
Interest-bearing checking accounts	(82)	(401)	(483)	(57)	(1,413)	(1,470)
Time deposits	(23)	48	25	(429)	(571)	(1,000)
Total	(108)	(498)	(606)	(442)	(2,396)	(2,838)
FHLB advances	14	(1,485)	(1,471)	(445)	(1,064)	(1,509)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(9)	(37)	(46)	—	(77)	(77)
Other borrowings	—	—	—	—	(51)	(51)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(103)	(2,020)	(2,123)	(887)	(3,588)	(4,475)
Net change in net interest income	\$ 2,794	\$ (975)	\$ 1,819	\$ (57)	\$ (2,926)	\$ (2,983)

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013

Total assets increased by \$107.0 million to \$2.357 billion at December 31, 2014, from \$2.250 billion at December 31, 2013. Securities, in the aggregate, decreased by \$50.2 million, to \$489.2 million at December 31, 2014, as compared to \$539.4 million at December 31, 2013.

Loans receivable, net, increased by \$147.4 million, to \$1.689 billion at December 31, 2014 from \$1.542 billion at December 31, 2013, primarily due to growth in commercial loans of \$144.4 million and in construction to permanent residential construction loans, net of loans in process, which increased \$12.7 million as homeowners rebuild from superstorm Sandy. The 24.5% annual growth in commercial loans was due to the strategic expansion of the commercial lending group. This growth was partly offset by a decrease in one-to-four family mortgage loans due to prepayments and the sale of most newly-originated 30-year fixed-rate one-to-four family loans. Also, on June 30, 2014, the Company purchased a pool of performing, locally-originated, one-to-four family, non-conforming mortgage loans for \$20.6 million. Separately, on September 30, 2014, the Company sold a pool of non-performing loans with a book balance of \$23.1 million.

Deposits decreased by \$26.6 million, to \$1.720 billion at December 31, 2014, from \$1.747 billion at December 31, 2013 due to a reduction in government deposits of \$50.4 million partly offset by growth in business checking accounts. Deposits added in 2014 from commercial loan borrowers totaled \$35.7 million, demonstrating the value of relationship based lending. Non-interest-bearing deposit accounts grew \$72.3 million for the year relating to a revised fee and product structure adopted in the second quarter of 2014. To fund loan growth, FHLB advances increased \$130.2 million, to \$305.2 million at December 31, 2014, from \$175.0 million at December 31, 2013.

Stockholders' equity increased to \$218.3 million at December 31, 2014, as compared to \$214.4 million at December 31, 2013, as net income for the period was partly offset by the repurchase of 551,291 shares of common stock for \$9.2 million (average cost per share of \$16.65) and the cash dividends on common stock. At December 31, 2014, there were 618,398 shares available for repurchase under the stock repurchase program adopted in July of 2014. Tangible stockholders' equity per common share was \$12.91 at December 31, 2014, as compared to \$12.33 at December 31, 2013.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013

General

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2014, was \$19.9 million, or \$1.19 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$16.3 million, or \$0.95 per diluted share, for the prior year. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was adversely impacted by the non-recurring expenses relating to the prepayment of \$159.0 million of FHLB advances at a cost of \$4.3 million and the consolidation of two branches into newer, in-market facilities, at a cost of \$579,000. The net, after tax amount of these two items reduced net income and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 by \$3.1 million and \$0.19, respectively. Net income benefited from improved net interest income and higher other income. Additionally, earnings per share benefited from a reduction in average shares outstanding.

Interest Income

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$79.9 million, as compared to \$80.2 million for the prior year. The yield on interest-earning assets declined to 3.65% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 3.68% for the prior year. Despite the slight decline in the yield on interest earning assets, the asset yield benefited from a shift in the mix of interest-earning assets as average loans receivable, net increased \$85.1 million while average securities decreased \$67.8 million. Average interest-earning assets increased by \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the prior year.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$7.5 million, as compared to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 0.42% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 0.52% in the prior year. The decrease was partly due to the prepayment of \$159.0 million of FHLB advances with a weighted average cost of 2.31% in the fourth quarter of 2013. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$56.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the prior year primarily due to a decline in average interest-bearing deposits of \$51.7 million. This decline in interest-bearing funding was partly replaced by an increase of \$51.2 million in average non-interest-bearing deposits, as compared to the prior year.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased to \$72.3 million, as compared to \$70.5 million for the prior year, reflecting an increase in the net interest margin and an increase in average interest-earning assets. The net interest margin increased to 3.31% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 3.24% for the prior year primarily due to a reduction in the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

Provision for Loan Losses

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the provision for loan losses was \$2.6 million, as compared to \$2.8 million for the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2014, net charges-offs were \$7.2 million, as compared to \$2.4 million in the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2014, net charge-offs includes a \$5.0 million charge-off relating to the bulk sale of non-performing loans. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2014 and related provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company considered the improved risk profile of the loan portfolio in light of the significant reduction in residential non-performing loans from the bulk sale and an improvement in the collectability of several commercial real estate loans. Non-performing loans amounted to \$18.3 million at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$27.1 million, or 59.6% as compared to December 31, 2013. Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans receivable decreased to 1.06% at December 31, 2014, as compared to 2.88% at December 31, 2013. Additionally, the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total non-performing loans increased to 89.1% at December 31, 2014, from 46.1% at December 31, 2013.

Other Income

For the year ended December 31, 2014, other income increased to \$18.6 million, as compared to \$16.5 million in the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2014, fees and service charges increased \$1.1 million, as compared to the prior year primarily due to a revised deposit fee and product structure. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the net gain on sale of loans decreased to \$772,000, as compared to \$1.2 million in the prior year. The gain on the sale of loans for the year ended December 31, 2013 was adversely impacted by a provision of \$975,000 added to the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations, as compared to no provision in the current year. The prior year provision was related to loans sold to the FHLB as part of its MPF program. Compared to prior years, the gain on sale of loans in 2014 was adversely impacted by a reduction in loans sold, decreasing to \$39.2 million in 2014 (excluding the bulk sale of non-performing loans), as compared to \$106.6 million in the prior year, as increasing longer-term interest rates reduced one-to-four family loan refinance activity. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized gains of \$1.0 million on the sale of equity securities, as compared to a gain of \$46,000 in the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized a net gain of \$408,000 on the sale of loan servicing rights. Finally, for the year ended December 31, 2014, the net loss from real estate operations increased to \$390,000, as compared to a net loss of \$161,000 in the prior year.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses decreased to \$57.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to \$59.2 million in the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to the expenses incurred in the fourth quarter of 2013 associated with the FHLB advance prepayment fee and the branch consolidations totaling \$4.8 million. Compensation and employee benefits expense increased \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily due to personnel additions in revenue producing areas beginning in mid-2013 through 2014. Additionally, compensation and employee benefits expense in 2014 includes \$259,000 in non-recurring severance related expenses due to the Company's strategic decisions to improve efficiency in the residential mortgage loan area and to sell mortgage servicing rights.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$10.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to \$8.6 million for the prior year. The effective tax rate was 34.8% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 34.5% in the prior year.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

General

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$16.3 million, or \$0.95 per diluted share, as compared to net income of \$20.0 million, or \$1.12 per diluted share, for the prior year. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was adversely impacted by the non-recurring expenses relating to the prepayment of \$159.0 million of FHLB advances at a cost of \$4.3 million and the consolidation of two branches into newer, in-market facilities, at a cost of \$579,000. The net, after tax amount of these two items reduced net income and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 by \$3.1 million and \$0.19, respectively. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was adversely impacted by an additional loan loss provision of \$1.8 million relating to superstorm Sandy, which caused substantial disruption to the Bank's market area on October 29, 2012. Additionally, net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 was adversely impacted by \$687,000 in net severance expense recognized in the third quarter of 2012. The net, after tax amount of these items reduced net income and diluted earnings per share by \$1.6 million and \$0.09, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Interest Income

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$80.2 million, as compared to \$87.6 million for the prior year. The yield on interest-earning assets declined to 3.68% for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 4.02% for the prior year. Average interest-earning assets decreased by \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the prior year. The decrease was due to reductions in average loans receivable of \$33.2 million and interest-earning deposits of \$7.6 million, partly offset by increases in average securities of \$39.0 million.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$9.6 million, as compared to \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 0.52% for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 0.75% in the prior year. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$26.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the prior year. The decrease was due to declines in average borrowed funds of \$20.5 million and average time deposits of \$28.3 million, partly offset by an increase in average transaction deposits of \$22.5 million.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased to \$70.5 million, as compared to \$73.5 million for the prior year, reflecting a lower net interest margin and lower interest-earning assets. The net interest margin decreased to 3.24% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 3.37% in the prior year due to a change in the mix of average interest-earning assets from higher-yielding loans receivable into lower-yielding securities. High loan refinance volume earlier in the year also caused yields on loans and mortgage-backed securities to trend downward. The decline in interest-earning assets was balanced by decreases in average interest-bearing deposits and borrowed funds, partly offset by increases in average non-interest-bearing deposits.

Provision for Loan Losses

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the provision for loan losses was \$2.8 million, as compared to \$7.9 million for the prior year. The amount for the year ended December 31, 2012 included a provision of \$1.8 million directly related to superstorm Sandy. Over the past year, the Bank's actual loan loss experience relating to superstorm Sandy has been better than expected, as non-performing loans at December 31, 2013 include only a total of \$3.1 million in loans adversely impacted by Sandy with an expected loss of \$416,000. An additional positive effect was recognized from recent improvements in the local economy and from a reduction of \$3.2

million in net charge-offs, as compared to the same prior year period. Although non-performing loans increased \$2.0 million at December 31, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012, excluding loans impacted by superstorm Sandy, non-performing loans decreased \$1.1 million. Finally, in evaluating the allowance for loan losses, the Bank also considered the adverse impact of actual and proposed changes to flood insurance premiums in the Bank's market area, and the growth in both commercial real estate loans and construction to permanent residential construction loans.

Other Income

For the year ended December 31, 2013, other income decreased to \$17.0 million, as compared to \$18.2 million in the prior year. The decrease in other income was primarily caused by the net gain on sales of loans decreasing \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2013, Bankcard services revenue increased \$484,000 and wealth management revenue increased \$662,000, as compared to the prior year. The increase in wealth management revenue was partly due to an increase in assets under administration to \$216.1 million at December 31, 2013 from \$172.9 million at December 31, 2012. For year ended December 31, 2013, the net gain on the sale of loans decreased to \$1.2 million, as compared to \$4.0 million in the prior year due to decreased mortgage loan demand as a result of increased market rates for longer-term mortgage products. As a result of this trend, the amount of loans sold decreased to \$106.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$166.8 million for the prior year. The decrease in the net gain on the sale of loans was also due to the reclassification of reverse mortgage income into fees and service charges. Additionally, the net gain on sale of loans for the year ended December 31, 2013 was adversely impacted by an addition of \$975,000 to the reserve for repurchased loans, as compared to an addition of \$750,000 in the prior year. Effective January 1, 2013, income from the origination of reverse mortgage loans is classified as part of fees and service charges as compared to inclusion in the net gain on sale of loans in prior periods as the Bank no longer closes these loans in its name. The amount of reverse mortgage fees included in fees and service charges for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$714,000. The results from other real estate operations declined \$151,000, for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the prior year. Finally, for the year ended December 31, 2013, the net gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale decreased to \$46,000 from \$226,000 in the prior year.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses amounted to \$59.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$52.9 million in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the expenses associated with the FHLB advance prepayment fee and the branch consolidations, totaling \$4.8 million. For the year ended December 31, 2013, compensation and employee benefits expense, net of the non-recurring severance expense of \$687,000 included in the total for the year ended December 31, 2012, increased \$1.8 million, as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the opening of the Red Bank Financial Solutions Center in April 2013 and personnel additions in the second half of the year in revenue producing areas and related recruiting costs.

Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$8.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$10.9 million for the prior year. The effective tax rate was 34.5% for year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 35.3%, in the prior year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sales of loans, FHLB advances and other borrowings and, to a lesser extent, investment maturities. While scheduled amortization of loans is a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Company has other sources of liquidity if a need for additional funds arises, including advances from the FHLB and various lines of credit.

At December 31, 2014, the Bank had \$111.0 million in outstanding overnight borrowings from the FHLB, compared to \$35.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2013. The Bank utilizes overnight borrowings from time-to-time to fund short-term liquidity needs. FHLB advances, including the overnight borrowings, totaled \$305.2 million at December 31, 2014, an increase from \$175.0 million at December 31, 2013.

The Company's cash needs for the year ended December 31, 2014 were primarily satisfied by principal payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans held-for-sale, proceeds from maturities of investment securities and increased FHLB borrowings. The cash was principally utilized for loan originations, the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities and to fund deposit outflows. The Company's cash needs for the year ended December 31, 2013 were primarily satisfied by principal payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans held-for-sale, proceeds from maturities of investment securities and deposit growth. The cash was principally utilized for loan originations, the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities and to reduce FHLB borrowings.

In the normal course of business, the Bank routinely enters into various commitments, primarily relating to the origination and sale of loans. At December 31, 2014, outstanding commitments to originate loans totaled \$70.4 million; outstanding unused lines of credit totaled \$320.2 million; and, outstanding commitments to sell loans totaled \$6.9 million. The Bank expects to have sufficient funds available to meet current commitments in the normal course of business.

Time deposits scheduled to mature in one year or less totaled \$109.4 million at December 31, 2014. Based upon historical experience, management estimates that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with the Bank.

The Company has a detailed contingency funding plan and comprehensive reporting of trends on a monthly and quarterly basis which is reviewed by management. Management also monitors cash on a daily basis to determine the liquidity needs of the Bank. Additionally, management performs multiple liquidity stress test scenarios on a quarterly basis. The Bank continues to maintain significant liquidity under all stress scenarios.

Under the Company's stock repurchase program, shares of OceanFirst Financial Corp. common stock may be purchased in the open market and through other privately-negotiated transactions, from time-to-time, depending on market conditions. The repurchased shares are held as treasury stock for general corporate purposes. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company repurchased 551,291 shares of common stock at a total cost of \$9.2 million, compared to 533,018 shares of common stock at a total cost of \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2014 there were 618,398 shares remaining to be repurchased under the current stock repurchase authorization.

Cash dividends on common stock declared and paid during the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$8.2 million, no change from the prior year. On January 22, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of thirteen cents (\$0.13) per common share. The dividend was payable on February 13, 2015 to common stockholders of record at the close of business on February 2, 2015. The quarterly dividend rate was increased by \$0.01 per share in October 2014.

The primary sources of liquidity specifically available to the Company are capital distributions from the Bank and the issuance of preferred and common stock and long-term debt. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company received dividend payments of \$16.0 million from the Bank. At December 31, 2014, the Company had received notice from the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia that it does not object to the payment of \$12.0 million in dividends from the Bank to the Company over the first three quarters of 2015, although the Federal Reserve Bank reserved the right to revoke the approval at any time if a safety and soundness concern arises. The Company's ability to continue to pay dividends will be largely dependent upon capital distributions from the Bank, which may be adversely affected by capital restraints imposed by the applicable regulations. The Company cannot predict whether the Bank will be permitted under applicable regulations to pay a dividend to the

Company. If applicable regulations or regulatory bodies prevent the Bank from paying a dividend to the Company, the Company may not have the liquidity necessary to pay a dividend in the future or pay a dividend at the same rate as historically paid, or be able to meet current debt obligations. At December 31, 2014, OceanFirst Financial Corp. held \$22.8 million in cash.

As of December 31, 2014, the Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements as follows (in thousands):

	Actual		Required	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Tangible capital	\$223,573	9.46%	\$ 35,465	1.50%
Tier 1 leverage	223,573	9.46	94,573	4.00
Tier 1 risk-based capital	223,573	14.05	63,663	4.00
Total risk-based capital	239,940	15.08	127,325	8.00

The Bank is considered a “well-capitalized” institution under the Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Effective January 1, 2015, certain changes to the capital requirements applicable to the Bank, implementing the BASEL III accord, begin to phase in. See “Regulation and Supervision – Federal Savings Institution Regulation – Capital Requirements”.

At December 31, 2014, the Company maintained tangible common equity of \$218.3 million for a tangible common equity to assets ratio of 9.26%.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of operations, the Bank engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements, or are recorded in amounts that differ from the notional amounts. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used for general corporate purposes or for customer needs. Corporate purpose transactions are used to help manage credit, interest rate, and liquidity risk or to optimize capital. Customer transactions are used to manage customers’ requests for funding. These financial instruments and commitments include unused consumer lines of credit and commitments to extend credit and are discussed in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Bank also has outstanding commitments to sell loans amounting to \$6.9 million.

The Company entered into loan sale agreements with investors in the normal course of business. The loan sale agreements generally required the Company to repurchase loans previously sold in the event of a violation of various representations and warranties customary to the mortgage banking industry. The Company is also obligated under a loss sharing arrangement with the FHLB relating to loans sold into the MPF program. In the opinion of management, the potential exposure related to the loan sale agreements and loans sold to the FHLB is adequately provided for in the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations included in other liabilities. At December 31, 2014 and 2013 the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations amounted to \$1.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Refer to Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The following table shows the contractual obligations of the Bank by expected payment period as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands). Further discussion of these commitments is included in Notes 9 and 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

<u>Contractual Obligation</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Less than one year</u>	<u>1-3 years</u>	<u>3-5 years</u>	<u>More than 5 years</u>
Debt Obligations	\$400,550	\$190,665	\$43,809	\$143,576	\$22,500
Commitments to Originate Loans	70,395	70,395	—	—	—
Commitments to Fund Unused Lines of Credit	320,225	320,225	—	—	—
Operating Lease Obligations	19,240	1,678	3,302	3,137	11,123
Purchase Obligations	15,265	3,192	6,383	5,690	711

Debt obligations include borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank and other borrowings and have defined terms.

Commitments to originate loans and commitments to fund unused lines of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company's exposure to credit risk is represented by the contractual amount of the instruments.

Operating leases represent obligations entered into by the Bank for the use of land and premises. The leases generally have escalation terms based upon certain defined indexes.

Purchase obligations represent legally binding and enforceable agreements to purchase goods and services from third parties and consist primarily of contractual obligations under data processing servicing agreements. Actual amounts expended vary based on transaction volumes, number of users and other factors.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-04, "Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40) Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure", which applies to all creditors who obtain physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan in satisfaction of a receivable. The amendments in this update clarify when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs and requires disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by a creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure. The amendments in ASU 2014-04 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollar amounts without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of the Company's operations. Unlike industrial companies, nearly all of the assets and liabilities of the Company are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on the Company's performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Management of Interest Rate Risk (“IRR”)

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. The Company’s market risk arises primarily from IRR inherent in its lending, investment and deposit-taking activities. The Company’s profitability is affected by fluctuations in interest rates. A sudden and substantial change in interest rates may adversely impact the Company’s earnings to the extent that the interest rates borne by assets and liabilities do not change at the same speed, to the same extent or on the same basis. To that end, management actively monitors and manages IRR.

The principal objectives of the Company’s IRR management function are to evaluate the IRR inherent in certain balance sheet accounts; determine the level of risk appropriate given the Company’s business focus, operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives; and manage the risk consistent with Board approved guidelines. Through such management, the Company seeks to reduce the exposure of its operations to changes in interest rates. The Company monitors its IRR as such risk relates to its operating strategies. The Bank’s Board has established an Asset Liability Committee (“ALCO”) consisting of members of the Bank’s management, responsible for reviewing the asset liability policies and IRR position. ALCO meets monthly and reports trends and the Company’s IRR position to the Board on a quarterly basis. The extent of the movement of interest rates, higher or lower, is an uncertainty that could have a substantial impact on the earnings of the Company.

The Bank utilizes the following strategies to manage IRR: (1) emphasizing the origination for portfolio of fixed-rate mortgage loans generally having terms to maturity of not more than fifteen years, adjustable-rate loans, floating-rate and balloon maturity commercial loans, and consumer loans consisting primarily of home equity loans and lines of credit; (2) attempting to reduce the overall interest rate sensitivity of liabilities by emphasizing core and longer-term deposits; and (3) managing the maturities of wholesale borrowings. The Bank may also sell fixed-rate mortgage loans into the secondary market. In determining whether to retain fixed-rate mortgages or to purchase fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities, management considers the Bank’s overall IRR position, the volume of such loans originated or the amount of MBS to be purchased, the loan or MBS yield and the types and amount of funding sources. The Bank periodically retains fixed-rate mortgage loan production or purchases fixed-rate MBS in order to improve yields and increase balance sheet leverage. During periods when fixed-rate mortgage loan production is retained, the Bank generally attempts to extend the maturity on part of its wholesale borrowings. For the past few years, the Bank has sold most 30 year fixed-rate mortgage loan originations in the secondary market. The Company currently does not participate in financial futures contracts, interest rate swaps or other activities involving the use of off-balance-sheet derivative financial instruments, but may do so in the future to manage IRR.

The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are “interest rate sensitive” and by monitoring an institution’s interest rate sensitivity “gap.” An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets maturing or repricing within a specific time period and the amount of interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within that time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. Accordingly, during a period of rising interest rates, an institution with a negative gap position theoretically would not be in as favorable a position, compared to an institution with a positive gap, to invest in higher-yielding assets. This may result in the yield on the institution’s assets increasing at a slower rate than the increase in its cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Conversely, during a period of falling interest rates, an institution with a negative gap might experience a repricing of its assets at a slower rate than its interest-bearing liabilities, which, consequently, may result in its net interest income growing at a faster rate than an institution with a positive gap position.

The Company’s interest rate sensitivity is monitored through the use of an IRR model. The following table sets forth the amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2014, which were anticipated by the Company, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in each of the

future time periods shown. At December 31, 2014, the Company's one-year gap was negative 2.73% as compared to negative 10.81% at December 31, 2013. The change was primarily due to the term extension of new and existing FHLB advances. Except as stated below, the amount of assets and liabilities which reprice or mature during a particular period were determined in accordance with the earlier of term to repricing or the contractual maturity of the asset or liability. The table is intended to provide an approximation of the projected repricing of assets and liabilities at December 31, 2014, on the basis of contractual maturities, anticipated prepayments, and scheduled rate adjustments within a three month period and subsequent selected time intervals. Loans receivable reflect principal balances expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of contractual amortization and anticipated prepayments of adjustable-rate loans and fixed-rate loans, and as a result of contractual rate adjustments on adjustable-rate loans. Loans were projected to prepay at rates between 10% and 18% annually. Mortgage-backed securities were projected to prepay at rates between 12% and 16% annually. Money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and interest-bearing checking accounts are assumed to have average lives of 7.8 years, 6.2 years and 6.2 years, respectively. Prepayment and average life assumptions can have a significant impact on the Company's estimated gap.

There can be no assurance that projected prepayment rates for loans and mortgage-backed securities will be achieved or that projected average lives for deposits will be realized.

<u>At December 31, 2014</u>	<u>3 Months or Less</u>	<u>More than 3 Months to 1 Year</u>	<u>More than 1 Year to 3 Years</u>	<u>More than 3 Years to 5 Years</u>	<u>More than 5 Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
(dollars in thousands)						
Interest-earning assets (1):						
Interest-earning deposits and short-term investments	\$ 3,134	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,134
Investment securities	66,415	35,221	61,655	11,236	596	175,123
Mortgage-backed securities	43,463	40,430	94,591	78,004	69,629	326,117
FHLB stock	—	—	—	—	19,170	19,170
Loans receivable (2)	<u>305,692</u>	<u>375,309</u>	<u>444,212</u>	<u>308,699</u>	<u>272,245</u>	<u>1,706,157</u>
Total interest-earning assets	<u>418,704</u>	<u>450,960</u>	<u>600,458</u>	<u>397,939</u>	<u>361,640</u>	<u>2,229,701</u>
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Money market deposit accounts	3,200	8,950	19,727	14,930	48,856	95,663
Savings accounts	61,199	25,620	52,114	39,445	122,812	301,190
Interest-bearing checking accounts	455,277	53,712	101,628	82,593	142,910	836,120
Time deposits	38,534	70,911	51,698	44,387	1,688	207,218
FHLB advances	111,460	6,393	43,809	143,576	—	305,238
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings	<u>90,312</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>95,312</u>
Total interest-bearing liabilities	<u>759,982</u>	<u>170,586</u>	<u>268,976</u>	<u>324,931</u>	<u>316,266</u>	<u>1,840,741</u>
Interest sensitivity gap (3)	<u>\$(341,278)</u>	<u>\$280,374</u>	<u>\$331,482</u>	<u>\$ 73,008</u>	<u>\$ 45,374</u>	<u>\$ 388,960</u>
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap	<u>\$(341,278)</u>	<u>\$ (60,904)</u>	<u>\$270,578</u>	<u>\$343,586</u>	<u>\$388,960</u>	<u>\$ 388,960</u>
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap as a percent of total interest-earning assets	(15.31)%	(2.73)%	12.14%	15.41%	17.44%	17.44%

(1) Interest-earning assets are included in the period in which the balances are expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of anticipated prepayments, scheduled rate adjustments and contractual maturities.

- (2) For purposes of the gap analysis, loans receivable includes loans held-for-sale and non-performing loans gross of the allowance for loan losses, unamortized discounts and deferred loan fees.
- (3) Interest sensitivity gap represents the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in gap analysis. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate loans, have features which restrict changes in interest rates both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, loan prepayment rates and average lives of deposits would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in the calculation. Finally, the ability of many borrowers to service their adjustable-rate loans may be impaired in the event of an interest rate increase.

Another method of analyzing an institution's exposure to IRR is by measuring the change in the institution's economic value of equity ("EVE") and net interest income under various interest rate scenarios. EVE is the difference between the net present value of assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet contracts. The EVE ratio, in any interest rate scenario, is defined as the EVE in that scenario divided by the fair value of assets in the same scenario. The Company's interest rate sensitivity is monitored by management through the use of an IRR model which measures IRR by modeling the change in EVE and net interest income over a range of interest rate scenarios. The following table sets forth the Company's EVE and net interest income projections as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands). For purposes of this table, the Company used prepayment and average life assumptions similar to those used in calculating the Company's gap.

Change in Interest Rates in Basis Points (Rate Shock)	December 31, 2014						Change in Interest Rates in Basis Points (Rate Shock)	December 31, 2013					
	Economic Value of Equity			Net Interest Income				Economic Value of Equity			Net Interest Income		
		%	EVE		%				%	EVE		%	
	Amount	Change	Ratio	Amount	Change			Amount	Change	Ratio	Amount	Change	
300	\$242,356	(12.9)%	11.0%	\$68,025	(4.8)%	300	\$249,034	(15.4)%	11.8%	\$58,521	(14.6)%		
200	260,338	(6.4)	11.5	70,013	(2.0)	200	267,316	(9.2)	12.4	62,558	(8.7)		
100	272,499	(2.1)	11.7	70,992	(0.6)	100	282,633	(4.0)	12.8	65,691	(4.2)		
Static	278,222	—	11.7	71,420	—	Static	294,381	—	13.0	68,554	—		
(100)	275,644	(0.9)	11.3	67,779	(5.1)	(100)	299,481	1.7	12.9	66,487	(3.0)		

As is the case with the gap calculation, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodology used in the EVE and net interest income IRR measurements. The model requires the making of certain assumptions which may tend to oversimplify the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. First, the model assumes that the composition of the Company's interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured. Second, the model assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration to maturity or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Third, the model does not take into account the Company's business or strategic plans. Accordingly, although the above measurements do provide an indication of the Company's IRR exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on the Company's EVE and net interest income and can be expected to differ from actual results.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
OceanFirst Financial Corp.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”), and our report dated March 13, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey
March 13, 2015

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
OceanFirst Financial Corp.:

We have audited OceanFirst Financial Corp.'s and subsidiary (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of financial condition of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated March 13, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey
March 13, 2015

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<u>December 31, 2014</u>	<u>December 31, 2013</u>
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 36,117	\$ 33,958
Securities available-for-sale (encumbered \$9,915 at December 31, 2014 and \$35,128 at December 31, 2013) (notes 3, 9 and 10)	19,804	43,836
Securities held-to-maturity, net (estimated fair value of \$474,215 at December 31, 2014 and \$495,082 at December 31, 2013) (encumbered \$421,427 at December 31, 2014 and \$390,622 at December 31, 2013) (notes 3, 9 and 10)	469,417	495,599
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost (note 9)	19,170	14,518
Loans receivable, net (notes 4, 9 and 13)	1,688,846	1,541,460
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	4,201	785
Interest and dividends receivable (note 6)	5,506	5,380
Other real estate owned	4,664	4,345
Premises and equipment, net (note 7)	24,738	23,684
Servicing asset (note 5)	701	4,178
Bank Owned Life Insurance	56,048	54,571
Deferred tax asset (note 10)	15,589	15,354
Other assets (note 10)	11,913	12,043
Total assets	<u>\$2,356,714</u>	<u>\$2,249,711</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Deposits (notes 3 and 8)	\$1,720,135	\$1,746,763
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase with retail customers (notes 3 and 9)	67,812	68,304
Federal Home Loan Bank advances (note 9)	305,238	175,000
Other borrowings (note 9)	27,500	27,500
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	6,323	6,471
Other liabilities (note 13)	11,447	11,323
Total liabilities	<u>2,138,455</u>	<u>2,035,361</u>
Commitments and contingencies (note 13)		
Stockholders' equity: (notes 2, 10, 11 and 12)		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, \$1,000 liquidation preference, 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 55,000,000 shares authorized, 33,566,772 shares issued and 16,901,653 and 17,387,049 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	336	336
Additional paid-in capital	265,260	263,319
Retained earnings	217,714	206,201
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,109)	(6,619)
Less: Unallocated common stock held by Employee Stock Ownership Plan	(3,330)	(3,616)
Treasury stock, 16,665,119 and 16,179,723 shares at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	(254,612)	(245,271)
Common stock acquired by Deferred Compensation Plan	(304)	(665)
Deferred Compensation Plan Liability	304	665
Total stockholders' equity	<u>218,259</u>	<u>214,350</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$2,356,714</u>	<u>\$2,249,711</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.
Consolidated Statements of Income
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Interest income:			
Loans	\$70,564	\$69,863	\$76,168
Mortgage-backed securities	6,845	7,403	8,509
Investment securities and other	2,444	2,891	2,938
Total interest income	79,853	80,157	87,615
Interest expense:			
Deposits (note 8)	4,103	4,709	7,547
Borrowed funds (note 9)	3,402	4,919	6,556
Total interest expense	7,505	9,628	14,103
Net interest income	72,348	70,529	73,512
Provision for loan losses (note 4)	2,630	2,800	7,900
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	69,718	67,729	65,612
Other income:			
Bankcard services revenue	3,478	3,584	3,100
Wealth management revenue	2,280	2,174	1,512
Fees and services charges	8,589	7,451	7,542
Loan servicing income (note 5)	816	748	538
Net gain on sale of loan servicing	408	—	—
Net gain on sales of loans available-for-sale (note 14)	772	1,163	3,466
Net gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale (note 3)	1,031	46	226
Net loss from other real estate operations	(390)	(161)	(10)
Income from Bank Owned Life Insurance	1,477	1,404	1,338
Other	116	49	12
Total other income	18,577	16,458	17,724
Operating expenses:			
Compensation and employee benefits (notes 11 and 12)	31,427	28,762	27,610
Occupancy (note 13)	5,510	5,562	5,074
Equipment	3,278	2,724	2,632
Marketing	1,795	1,632	1,633
Federal deposit insurance	2,128	2,141	2,113
Data processing	4,239	3,996	3,632
Check card processing	1,934	1,768	1,455
Professional fees	2,267	2,449	2,546
Other operating expense	5,186	5,366	5,694
Federal Home Loan Bank advance prepayment fee (note 9)	—	4,265	—
Branch consolidation expense	—	579	—
Total operating expenses	57,764	59,244	52,389
Income before provision for income taxes	30,531	24,943	30,947
Provision for income taxes (note 10)	10,611	8,613	10,927
Net income	\$19,920	\$16,330	\$20,020
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.13
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.19	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.12
Average basic shares outstanding (note 15)	16,687	17,071	17,730
Average diluted shares outstanding (note 15)	16,797	17,157	17,829

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net income	<u>\$19,920</u>	<u>\$16,330</u>	<u>\$20,020</u>
Other comprehensive income:			
Unrealized (loss) gain on securities (net of tax benefit of \$415 in 2014 and \$4,674 in 2013 and tax expense of \$1,831 in 2012)	(601)	(6,768)	2,651
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income (net of tax expense of \$421, \$19 and \$92 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively)	(610)	(27)	(134)
Accretion of unrealized loss on securities reclassified to held-to-maturity (net of tax expense of \$497 and \$88 in 2014 and 2013, respectively)	<u>721</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>—</u>
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	<u>(490)</u>	<u>(6,668)</u>	<u>2,517</u>
Total comprehensive income	<u><u>\$19,430</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 9,662</u></u>	<u><u>\$22,537</u></u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Gain	Employee Stock Ownership Plan	Treasury Stock	Common Stock Acquired by Deferred Compensation Plan	Deferred Compensation Plan Liability	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$—	\$336	\$262,812	\$186,666	\$ (2,468)	\$ (4,193)	\$ (226,304)	\$ (871)	\$ 871	\$216,849
Net income	—	—	—	20,020	—	—	—	—	—	20,020
Other comprehensive gain, net of tax	—	—	—	—	2,517	—	—	—	—	2,517
Stock awards	—	—	591	—	—	—	—	—	—	591
Tax expense of stock plans	—	—	(608)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(608)
Treasury stock allocated to restricted stock plan	—	—	(282)	42	—	—	240	—	—	—
Allocation of ESOP stock	—	—	191	—	—	289	—	—	—	480
Cash dividend – \$0.48 per share	—	—	—	(8,579)	—	—	—	—	—	(8,579)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	(40)	—	—	459	—	—	419
Purchase of 843,370 shares of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	(11,897)	—	—	(11,897)
Sale of stock for the deferred compensation plan, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	224	(224)	—
Balance at December 31, 2012	—	336	262,704	198,109	49	(3,904)	(237,502)	(647)	647	219,792
Net income	—	—	—	16,330	—	—	—	—	—	16,330
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(6,668)	—	—	—	—	(6,668)
Stock awards	—	—	671	—	—	—	—	—	—	671
Tax expense of stock plans	—	—	(31)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(31)
Treasury stock allocated to restricted stock plan	—	—	(275)	6	—	—	269	—	—	—
Allocation of ESOP stock	—	—	250	—	—	288	—	—	—	538
Cash dividend – \$0.48 per share	—	—	—	(8,239)	—	—	—	—	—	(8,239)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	(5)	—	—	70	—	—	65
Purchase of 533,018 shares of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	(8,108)	—	—	(8,108)
Purchase of stock for the deferred compensation plan, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(18)	18	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	—	336	263,319	206,201	(6,619)	(3,616)	(245,271)	(665)	665	214,350
Net income	—	—	—	19,920	—	—	—	—	—	19,920
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(490)	—	—	—	—	(490)
Stock awards	—	—	928	—	—	—	—	—	—	928
Tax benefit of stock plans	—	—	51	—	—	—	—	—	—	51
Treasury stock allocated to restricted stock plan	—	—	678	(99)	—	—	(579)	—	—	—
Allocation of ESOP stock	—	—	284	—	—	286	—	—	—	570
Cash dividend – \$0.49 per share	—	—	—	(8,241)	—	—	—	—	—	(8,241)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	(67)	—	—	416	—	—	349
Purchase of 551,291 shares of common stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	(9,178)	—	—	(9,178)
Sale of stock for the deferred compensation plan, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	361	(361)	—
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$—	\$336	\$265,260	\$217,714	\$ (7,109)	\$ (3,330)	\$ (254,612)	\$ (304)	\$ 304	\$218,259

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 19,920	\$ 16,330	\$ 20,020
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	2,916	2,832	2,626
Allocation of ESOP stock	570	538	480
Stock awards	928	671	591
Amortization of servicing asset	1,016	1,385	1,633
Net premium amortization in excess of discount accretion on securities	2,816	3,667	3,466
Net premium amortization of deferred fees and discounts on loans	63	501	819
Provision for loan losses	2,630	2,800	7,900
Provision for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations	—	975	750
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	103	(1,750)	649
Net (gain) loss on sales of other real estate owned	(46)	105	(295)
Net gain on sales of loans	(772)	(2,138)	(4,718)
Net gain on sales of investment securities available for sale	(1,031)	(46)	(226)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage servicing rights	3,155	—	—
Net gain on sale of loan servicing	(408)	—	—
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held-for-sale	39,641	106,719	176,903
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(42,571)	(99,614)	(170,999)
Purchase of Bank Owned Life Insurance	—	—	(10,000)
Proceeds from Bank Owned Life Insurance	—	—	158
Increase in value of Bank Owned Life Insurance	(1,477)	(1,404)	(1,338)
(Increase) decrease in interest and dividends receivable	(126)	596	456
Decrease in other assets	130	2,031	937
Increase in other liabilities	124	1,260	1,076
Total adjustments	7,661	19,128	10,868
Net cash provided by operating activities	27,581	35,458	30,888
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Net (increase) decrease in loans receivable	(152,852)	(24,917)	27,050
Purchase of loans receivable	(20,574)	—	—
Proceeds from sale of non-performing loans	19,058	—	—
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	8,439	1,244	1,221
Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale	(20,547)	(28,292)	(74,390)
Purchase of investment securities held-to-maturity	(5,003)	(847)	—
Purchase of mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale	—	(127,582)	(94,663)
Purchase of mortgage-backed securities held-to-maturity	(35,203)	—	—
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available-for-sale	35,005	45,395	28,049
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	7,711	3,725	—
Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale	—	75,460	118,372
Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities held-to-maturity	57,199	24,017	—
(Increase) decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	(4,652)	2,543	1,099
Net proceeds from sale and acquisition of other real estate owned	4,016	2,116	3,105
Purchases of premises and equipment	(3,970)	(4,283)	(2,600)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(111,373)	(31,421)	7,243
Cash flows from financing activities:			
(Decrease) increase in deposits	(26,628)	27,092	13,588
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	24,746	112,513	(5,310)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	215,000	70,000	—
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(110,000)	(225,000)	(41,000)
(Increase) decrease in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	(148)	(915)	273
Exercise of stock options	349	65	419
Purchase of treasury stock	(9,178)	(8,108)	(11,897)
Dividends paid	(8,241)	(8,239)	(8,579)
Tax benefit (expense) of stock plans	51	(31)	(608)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	85,951	(32,623)	(53,114)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	2,159	(28,586)	(14,983)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	33,958	62,544	77,527
Cash and due from banks at end of year	\$ 36,117	\$ 33,958	\$ 62,544
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 7,243	\$ 9,969	\$ 14,151
Income taxes	11,801	8,136	9,018
Non-cash investing activities:			
Reclassification of securities available-for-sale to held-to-maturity	—	536,010	—
Accretion of unrealized loss on securities reclassified to held-to-maturity	1,218	206	—
Loans charged-off, net	7,243	2,380	5,620
Transfer of loans receivable to other real estate owned	4,289	3,356	4,050

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, OceanFirst Bank (the “Bank”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc, and its wholly-owned subsidiary OceanFirst Realty Corp., OceanFirst Services, LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary OFB Reinsurance, Ltd., 975 Holdings, LLC. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform to the current year’s presentation.

Business

The Bank provides a range of community banking services to customers through a network of branches and offices in Ocean, Monmouth, Middlesex and Mercer Counties in New Jersey. The Bank is subject to competition from other financial institutions; it is also subject to the regulations of certain regulatory agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements in conformity with these accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the determination of the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights and the evaluation of securities for other-than-temporary impairment. These estimates and assumptions are based on management’s best estimates and judgment. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Such estimates and assumptions are adjusted when facts and circumstances dictate. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in those estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and loans of Federal funds. For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Securities

Securities include securities held-to-maturity and securities available-for-sale. Management determines the appropriate classification at the time of purchase. If management has the positive intent not to sell and the Company would not be required to sell prior to maturity, the securities are classified as held-to-maturity securities. Such securities are stated at amortized cost. During 2013, the Company transferred \$536.0 million of previously designated available-for-sale securities to held-to-maturity designation at estimated fair value. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities as an investment until maturity or call. The securities

transferred had an unrealized loss of \$13.3 million at the time of transfer which continues to be reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of subsequent amortization, which is being recognized over the life of the securities. Securities in the available-for-sale category are securities which the Company may sell prior to maturity as part of its asset/liability management strategy and all marketable equity securities. Such securities are carried at estimated fair value and unrealized gains and losses, net of related tax effect, are excluded from earnings, but are included as a separate component of stockholders' equity and as part of comprehensive income. Discounts and premiums on securities are accreted or amortized using the level-yield method over the estimated lives of the securities, including the effect of prepayments. Gains or losses on the sale of such securities are included in other income using the specific identification method.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Securities

One of the significant estimates related to securities is the evaluation for other-than-temporary impairments. If a determination is made that a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company will estimate the amount of the unrealized loss that is attributable to credit and all other non-credit related factors. The credit related component will be recognized as an other-than-temporary impairment charge in non-interest income as a component of gain (loss) on securities, net. The non-credit related component will be recorded as an adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. If a determination is made that an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, the unrealized loss will be recognized as an other-than-temporary impairment charge in non-interest income as a component of gain (loss) on securities, net.

The evaluation of securities for impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties and is intended to determine whether declines in the estimated fair value of investments should be recognized in current period earnings. The risks and uncertainties include changes in general economic conditions, the issuer's financial condition and/or future prospects, the effects of changes in interest rates or credit spreads and the expected recovery period.

On a quarterly basis the Company evaluates the securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment. Securities that are in an unrealized loss position are reviewed to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment is present based on certain quantitative factors. The primary factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: (a) the length of time and extent to which the estimated fair value has been less than cost or amortized cost and the expected recovery period of the security, (b) the financial condition, credit rating and future prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the debtor is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments and (d) whether the Company intends to sell the security and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security.

Loans Receivable

Loans receivable, other than loans held-for-sale, are stated at unpaid principal balance, plus unamortized premiums less unearned discounts, net of deferred loan origination and commitment fees and costs, and the allowance for loan losses.

Loan origination and commitment fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and the net fee or cost is recognized in interest income using the level-yield method over the contractual life of the specifically identified loans, adjusted for actual prepayments. For each loan class, a loan is considered past due when a payment has not been received in accordance with the contractual terms. Loans which are more than 90 days past due, including impaired loans, and other loans in the process of foreclosure are placed on non-accrual status. Interest income previously accrued on these loans, but not yet received, is reversed in the current period. Any interest subsequently collected is credited to income in the period of recovery only after the full principal balance has been brought current. A loan is returned to accrual status when all amounts due have been received and the remaining principal balance is deemed collectible.

A loan is considered impaired when it is deemed probable that the Company will not collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company has defined the population of impaired

loans to be all non-accrual commercial real estate, multi-family, land, construction and commercial and industrial loans in excess of \$250,000. Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine that the loan's carrying value is not in excess of the estimated fair value of the collateral or the present value of the loan's expected future cash flows. Smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, are specifically excluded from the impaired loan portfolio, except when they are modified in a trouble debt restructuring.

Loan losses are charged-off in the period the loans, or portion, thereof are deemed uncollectible, generally after the loan becomes 120 days delinquent. The Company will record a loan charge-off (including a partial charge-off) to reduce a loan to the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less cost to sell, if it is determined that it is probable that recovery will come primarily from the sale of the collateral.

Mortgage Loans Held-for-sale

The Company regularly sells part of its mortgage loan originations in order to manage interest rate risk and liquidity. The Bank has generally sold fixed-rate mortgage loans with final maturities in excess of 15 years.

In determining whether to retain mortgages, management considers the Company's overall interest rate risk position, the volume of such loans, the loan yield and the types and amount of funding sources. The Company may also retain mortgage loan production in order to improve yields and increase balance sheet leverage.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of unpaid principal balance, net, or estimated fair value on an aggregate basis. Estimated fair value is determined based on bid quotations from securities dealers.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's evaluation of the Company's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated fair value of any underlying collateral and current economic conditions. Additions to the allowance arise from charges to operations through the provision for loan losses or from the recovery of amounts previously charged-off. The allowance is reduced by loan charge-offs.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at an amount management considers sufficient to provide for probable losses. The analysis considers known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio resulting from management's continuing review of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses includes specific allowances and a general allowance, each updated on a quarterly basis. A specific allowance is determined for all non-accrual loans where the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral can reasonably be evaluated. For these loans, the specific allowance represents the difference between the Bank's recorded investment in the loan, net of any interim charge-offs, and the estimated fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs.

If a loan becomes 90 days delinquent, the Bank obtains an updated collateral appraisal. For residential real estate loans, the appraisal is updated annually if the loan remains delinquent for an extended period. For non-accrual commercial real estate loans, the Bank assesses whether there has been an adverse change in the collateral value supporting the loan. The Bank utilizes information based on its knowledge of changes in real estate conditions in its lending area to identify whether a possible deterioration of collateral value has occurred. Based on the severity of the changes in market conditions, management determines if an updated commercial real estate appraisal is warranted or if downward adjustments to the previous appraisal are warranted. If it is determined that the deterioration of the collateral value is significant enough to warrant ordering a new appraisal, an estimate of the

downward adjustments to the existing appraised value is used in assessing if additional specific reserves are necessary until the updated appraisal is received.

A general allowance is determined for all loans that are not individually evaluated for a specific allowance. In determining the level of the general allowance, the Bank segments the loan portfolio into various loan segments and classes as follows:

<u>Loan Portfolio Segment</u>		<u>Loan Class</u>
Residential real estate:	–	Residential
	–	Residential construction
Commercial real estate:	–	Commercial
	–	Construction and land
Consumer:	–	Consumer
Commercial and industrial:	–	Commercial and industrial

The loan portfolio is further segmented by delinquency status and risk rating (Pass, Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful). An estimated loss factor is then applied to each risk tranche. To determine the loss factor, the Bank utilizes historical loss experience as a percent of loan principal adjusted for certain qualitative factors and the loss emergence period.

The Bank's historical loss experience is based on a rolling 24-month look-back period for all loan segments. This was selected based on (1) management's judgment that this period captures sufficient loss events (in both dollar terms and number of individual events) to be relevant; and (2) that the Bank's underwriting criteria and risk characteristics have remained relatively stable throughout this period.

The historical loss experience is adjusted for certain qualitative factors including, but not limited to, (1) delinquency trends, (2) net charge-off trends, (3) nature and volume of the loan portfolio, (4) loan policies and underwriting standards, (5) experience and ability of lending personnel, (6) changes in current economic conditions, (7) concentrations of credit, (8) loan review system, and external factors such as (9) local competition and (10) regulation. The Bank considers the applicability of each of these qualitative factors in estimating the general allowance for each loan portfolio segment. Each quarter, the conditions that existed in the 24-month look-back period are compared to current conditions to support a conclusion as to which qualitative adjustments are (or are not) deemed necessary for a particular portfolio segment.

The Bank calculates and analyzes the loss emergence period on an annual basis or more frequently if conditions warrant. The Bank's methodology is to use loss events in the past 8 quarters to determine the loss emergence period for each loan segment. The loss emergence period is specific to each loan segment and determined based on (1) the occurrence of a loss event which resulted in a potential loss and (2) confirmation of the potential loss is deemed to occur when the Bank records an initial charge-off on the loan or downgrades the risk-weighting to substandard or doubtful.

The adjusted loss factors are then applied to each risk tranche. Existing economic conditions which the Bank considered to estimate the allowance for loan losses include local and regional trends in economic growth, unemployment and real estate values. In evaluating the qualitative factors as of December 31, 2014, the Company considered the potential adverse impact of actual and proposed increases to flood insurance premiums which may stress borrowers' ability to repay their loans or lower real estate values in certain flood prone areas; the recent recruitment of commercial lenders and the related accelerated growth in commercial real estate loans; and the Company's recent emphasis on construction-to-permanent residential construction loans attributable to local rebuilding after the damage caused by superstorm Sandy.

The Bank also maintains an unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses. The primary purpose of the unallocated component is to account for the inherent imprecision of the overall loss estimation process including

the periodic updating of appraisals, commercial loan risk ratings, and continued economic uncertainty that may not be fully captured in the Company's loss history or the qualitative factors.

Upon completion of the aforementioned procedures, an overall management review is performed including ratio analyses to identify divergent trends compared with the Bank's own historical loss experience, the historical loss experience of the Bank's peer group and management's understanding of general regulatory expectations. Based on that review, management may identify issues or factors that previously had not been considered in the estimation process, which may warrant further analysis or adjustments to estimated loss factors or the allowance for loan losses.

Reserve for Repurchased Loans and Loss Sharing Obligations

The reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations relates to potential losses on loans sold which may have to be repurchased due to a violation of representations and warranties and an estimate of the Bank's obligation under a loss sharing arrangement for loans sold to the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"). Provisions for losses are charged to gain on sale of loans and credited to the reserve while actual losses are charged to the reserve. The reserve represents the Company's estimate of the total losses expected to occur and is considered to be adequate by management based upon the Company's evaluation of the potential exposure related to the loan sale agreements over the period of repurchase risk. The reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations is included in other liabilities on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition.

Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSR")

The Company recognizes as a separate asset the rights to service mortgage loans, whether those rights are acquired through purchase or loan origination activities. MSR are amortized in proportion to and over the estimated period of net servicing income. The estimated fair value of MSR is determined through a discounted analysis of future cash flows, incorporating numerous assumptions including servicing income, servicing costs, market discount rates, prepayment speeds and default rates, as well as the information obtained from the Company's recent sale of MSR. Impairment of the MSR is assessed on a quarterly basis on the estimated fair value of those rights with any impairment recognized as a component of loan servicing fee income. Impairment is measured by risk strata based on the interest rate of the underlying mortgage loans. Fees earned for servicing loans are reported as income when the related mortgage loan payments are collected.

Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO")

Other real estate owned is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell. When a property is acquired, the excess of the loan balance over estimated fair value is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Operating results from real estate owned, including rental income, operating expenses, gains and losses realized from the sales of other real estate owned and subsequent write-downs are recorded as incurred.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost and premises and equipment, including leasehold improvements, are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or leases. Depreciable lives are as follows: computer equipment: 3 years; furniture, fixtures and other electronic equipment: 5 years; building improvements: 10 years; and buildings: 30 years. Repair and maintenance items are expensed and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on dispositions are reflected in current operations.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the

financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Any interest and penalties on taxes payable are included as part of the provision for income taxes.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-04, “Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40) Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure”, which applies to all creditors who obtain physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan in satisfaction of a receivable. The amendments in this update clarify when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs and requires disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by a creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure. The amendments in ASU 2014-04 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes items recorded directly in equity, such as unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale and accretion of unrealized loss on securities reclassified to held-to-maturity.

Bank Owned Life Insurance (“BOLI”)

Bank Owned Life Insurance is accounted for using the cash surrender value method and is recorded at its realizable value. The Company’s BOLI is invested in a separate account insurance product which is invested in a fixed income portfolio. The separate account includes stable value protection which maintains realizable value at book value with investment gains and losses amortized over future periods. The change in the net asset value is included in other non-interest income.

Segment Reporting

As a community-oriented financial institution, substantially all of the Bank’s operations involve the delivery of loan and deposit products to customers. The Bank makes operating decisions and assesses performance based on an ongoing review of these community banking operations, which constitute the only operating segment for financial reporting purposes.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus potential common stock, utilizing the treasury stock method. All share amounts exclude unallocated shares of stock held by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”) and the Incentive Plan.

(2) Regulatory Matters

Applicable regulations require the Bank to maintain minimum levels of regulatory capital. Under the regulations in effect at December 31, 2014, the Bank was required to maintain a minimum ratio of tangible capital to total

adjusted assets of 1.5%; a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets of 4.0%; and, a minimum ratio of total (core and supplementary) capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0%.

Under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, Federal regulators are required to take certain supervisory actions (and may take additional discretionary actions) with respect to an undercapitalized institution. Such actions could have a direct material effect on the institution's financial statements. The regulations establish a framework for the classification of banking institutions into five categories: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Generally an institution is considered well-capitalized if it has a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of at least 6.0% and a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10.0%. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Bank was considered well-capitalized.

The following is a summary of the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 compared to the regulatory minimum capital adequacy requirements and the regulatory requirements for classification as a well-capitalized institution (in thousands).

	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes		To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2014						
Tangible capital	\$223,573	9.46%	\$ 35,465	1.50%	\$ —	—%
Tier 1 leverage	223,573	9.46	94,573	4.00	118,217	5.00
Tier 1 risk-based capital	223,573	14.05	63,663	4.00	95,494	6.00
Total risk-based capital	239,940	15.08	127,325	8.00	159,157	10.00
	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes		To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2013						
Tangible capital	\$217,776	9.66%	\$ 33,830	1.50%	\$ —	—%
Tier 1 leverage	217,776	9.66	90,215	4.00	112,768	5.00
Tier 1 risk-based capital	217,776	14.72	59,190	4.00	88,785	6.00
Total risk-based capital	236,304	15.97	118,380	8.00	147,975	10.00

Applicable regulations impose limitations upon all capital distributions by the Bank, such as dividends and payments to repurchase or otherwise acquire shares. The Bank may not declare or pay cash dividends on or repurchase any of its shares of common stock if the effect thereof would cause stockholders' equity to be reduced below applicable regulatory capital maintenance requirements or if such declaration and payment would otherwise violate regulatory requirements.

(3) Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

	At December 31, 2014			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-sale:				
Investment securities:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 19,900	\$ —	\$ (96)	\$ 19,804
Held-to-maturity:				
Investment securities:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 86,394	\$ 97	\$ (50)	\$ 86,441
State and municipal obligations	13,829	25	(8)	13,846
Corporate debt securities	55,000	—	(9,750)	45,250
Total investment securities	155,223	122	(9,808)	145,537
Mortgage-backed securities:				
FHLMC	141,494	609	(1,659)	140,444
FNMA	184,003	4,674	(1,182)	187,495
GNMA	620	119	—	739
Total mortgage-backed securities	326,117	5,402	(2,841)	328,678
Total held-to-maturity	\$481,340	\$5,524	\$(12,649)	\$474,215
Total securities	\$501,240	\$5,524	\$(12,745)	\$494,019
	At December 31, 2013			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available-for-sale:				
Investment securities:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 35,128	\$ 161	\$ —	\$ 35,289
Equity investments	6,757	1,790	—	8,547
Total investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 41,885	\$1,951	\$ —	\$ 43,836
Held-to-maturity:				
Investment securities:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 82,406	\$ 153	\$ (144)	\$ 82,415
State and municipal obligations	21,784	36	(35)	21,785
Corporate debt securities	55,000	—	(10,750)	44,250
Total investment securities	159,190	189	(10,929)	148,450
Mortgage-backed securities:				
FHLMC	148,759	447	(4,552)	144,654
FNMA	200,070	4,659	(3,607)	201,122
GNMA	721	135	—	856
Total mortgage-backed securities	349,550	5,241	(8,159)	346,632
Total held-to-maturity	\$508,740	\$5,430	\$(19,088)	\$495,082
Total securities	\$550,625	\$7,381	\$(19,088)	\$538,918

For the year ended December 31, 2013, \$536.0 million of previously-designated available-for-sale securities were transferred to a held-to-maturity designation at estimated fair value. The reclassification for the year ended December 31, 2013 is permitted as the Company has appropriately determined the ability and intent to hold these securities as an investment until maturity or call. The securities transferred had an unrealized net loss of \$13.3 million at the time of transfer which continues to be reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet, net of subsequent amortization, which is being recognized over the life of the securities. The carrying value of the held-to-maturity investment securities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Amortized cost	\$481,340	\$508,740
Net loss on date of transfer from available-for-sale	(13,347)	(13,347)
Accretion of unrealized loss on securities reclassified to held- to-maturity	1,424	206
Carrying value	<u>\$469,417</u>	<u>\$495,599</u>

Realized gains on the sale of securities were \$1,031,000, \$46,000 and \$226,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no realized losses during 2014, 2013 and 2012 on the sale of securities available-for-sale.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at December 31, 2014 by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. At December 31, 2014, corporate debt securities with an amortized cost and estimated fair value of \$55.0 million and \$45.3 million, respectively, were callable prior to the maturity date.

<u>December 31, 2014</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>
Less than one year	\$ 46,636	\$ 46,678
Due after one year through five years	72,891	72,815
Due after five years through ten years	596	598
Due after ten years	55,000	45,250
	<u>\$175,123</u>	<u>\$165,341</u>

Mortgage-backed securities are excluded from the above table since their effective lives are expected to be shorter than the contractual maturity date due to principal prepayments.

The estimated fair value of securities pledged as required security for deposits and for other purposes required by law amounted to \$360,388,000 and \$347,238,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The estimated fair value of securities pledged as collateral for reverse repurchase agreements amounted to \$70,954,000 and \$78,512,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The estimated fair value and unrealized loss for securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, segregated by the duration of the unrealized loss, are as follows (in thousands):

		At December 31, 2014					
		Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available-for-sale:							
Investment securities:							
U.S. agency obligations		\$19,804	\$ (96)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 19,804	\$ (96)
Held-to-maturity:							
Investment securities:							
U.S. agency obligations		15,134	(9)	25,409	(41)	40,543	(50)
State and municipal obligations		947	(1)	1,827	(7)	2,774	(8)
Corporate debt securities		—	—	45,250	(9,750)	45,250	(9,750)
Total investment securities		16,081	(10)	72,486	(9,798)	88,567	(9,808)
Mortgage-backed securities:							
FHLMC		9,155	(34)	96,975	(1,625)	106,130	(1,659)
FNMA		—	—	64,932	(1,182)	64,932	(1,182)
Total mortgage-backed securities		9,155	(34)	161,907	(2,807)	171,062	(2,841)
Total held-to-maturity		25,236	(44)	234,393	(12,605)	259,629	(12,649)
Total securities		\$45,040	\$(140)	\$234,393	\$(12,605)	\$279,433	\$(12,745)
		At December 31, 2013					
		Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
		Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Held-to-maturity:							
Investment securities:							
U.S. agency obligations		\$ 35,747	\$ (144)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 35,747	\$ (144)
State and municipal obligations		3,526	(31)	1,153	(4)	4,679	(35)
Corporate debt securities		—	—	44,250	(10,750)	44,250	(10,750)
Total investment securities		39,273	(175)	45,403	(10,754)	84,676	(10,929)
Mortgage-backed securities:							
FHLMC		122,365	(4,552)	—	—	122,365	(4,552)
FNMA		84,467	(3,607)	—	—	84,467	(3,607)
Total mortgage-backed securities		206,832	(8,159)	—	—	206,832	(8,159)
Total held-to-maturity		\$246,105	\$(8,334)	\$45,403	\$(10,754)	\$291,508	\$(19,088)

At December 31, 2014, the amortized cost, estimated fair value and credit rating of the individual corporate debt securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than one year are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Security Description</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>	<u>Credit Rating Moody's/ S&P</u>
BankAmerica Capital	\$15,000	\$12,000	Ba1/BB
Chase Capital	10,000	8,400	Baa2/BBB-
Wells Fargo Capital	5,000	4,200	A3/BBB+
Huntington Capital	5,000	4,000	Baa3/BB
Keycorp Capital	5,000	4,100	Baa3/BB+
PNC Capital	5,000	4,200	Baa2/BBB-
State Street Capital	5,000	4,250	A3/BBB
SunTrust Capital	5,000	4,100	Baa3/BB+
	<u>\$55,000</u>	<u>\$45,250</u>	

At December 31, 2014, the estimated fair value of each corporate debt security was below cost. However, the total estimated fair value of the corporate debt securities has steadily increased over the past several years. The corporate debt securities are issued by other financial institutions with credit ratings ranging from a high of A3 to a low of Ba1 as rated by one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services. These floating-rate securities were purchased in 1998 and have paid coupon interest continuously since issuance. Floating-rate debt securities such as these pay a fixed interest rate spread over 90-day LIBOR. Following the purchase of these securities, the required credit spread increased for these types of securities causing a decline in the market price. The Company concluded that unrealized losses on corporate debt securities were only temporarily impaired at December 31, 2014. In concluding that the impairments were only temporary, the Company considered several factors in its analysis. The Company noted that each issuer made all the contractually due payments when required. There were no defaults on principal or interest payments and no interest payments were deferred. All of the financial institutions were also considered well-capitalized. Credit spreads have now decreased for these types of securities and market prices have improved. Based on management's analysis of each individual security, the issuers appear to have the ability to meet debt service requirements over the life of the security. Furthermore, the Company does not have the intent to sell these corporate debt securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities. The Company has held the securities continuously since 1998 and expects to receive its full principal at maturity in 2028 or prior if called by the issuer. Historically, the Company has not utilized security sales as a source of liquidity. The Company's long range liquidity plans indicate adequate sources of liquidity outside the securities portfolio.

The mortgage-backed securities are issued and guaranteed by either the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC") or Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"), corporations which are chartered by the United States government and whose debt obligations are typically rated AA+ by one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services. The Company considers the unrealized losses to be the result of changes in interest rates which over time can have both a positive or negative impact on the estimated fair value of the mortgage-backed securities. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost. As a result, the Company concluded that these securities were only temporarily impaired at December 31, 2014.

(4) Loans Receivable, Net

A summary of loans receivable at December 31, 2014 and 2013 follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Real estate mortgage:		
One-to-four family	\$ 737,889	\$ 750,585
Commercial real estate, multi-family and land	649,951	528,945
Residential construction	47,552	30,821
	<u>1,435,392</u>	<u>1,310,351</u>
Consumer	199,349	200,683
Commercial and industrial	83,946	60,545
	<u>1,718,687</u>	<u>1,571,579</u>
Loans in process	(16,731)	(12,715)
Deferred origination costs, net	3,207	3,526
Allowance for loan losses	(16,317)	(20,930)
	<u>(29,841)</u>	<u>(30,119)</u>
	<u>\$1,688,846</u>	<u>\$1,541,460</u>

The Bank's mortgage loans are pledged to secure FHLB advances.

At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 loans in the amount of \$18,307,000, \$45,360,000, and \$43,374,000, respectively, were three or more months delinquent or in the process of foreclosure and the Company was not accruing interest income on these loans and has reversed previously accrued interest. There were no loans ninety days or greater past due and still accruing interest. Non-accrual loans include both smaller balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The Company defines an impaired loan as all non-accrual commercial real estate, multi-family, land, construction and commercial and industrial loans in excess of \$250,000. Impaired loans also include all loans modified as troubled debt restructurings. At December 31, 2014, the impaired loan portfolio totaled \$36,979,000 for which there was a specific allocation in the allowance for loan losses of \$2,161,000. At December 31, 2013, the impaired loan portfolio totaled \$39,903,000 for which there was a specific allocation in the allowance for loan losses of \$3,647,000. The average balance of impaired loans for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$41,023,000, \$38,587,000, and \$36,574,000, respectively. If interest income on non-accrual loans and impaired loans had been current in accordance with their original terms, approximately \$1,630,000, \$2,513,000 and \$2,370,000, of interest income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, would have been recorded. At December 31, 2014, there were no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans are in non-accrual status.

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$20,930	\$20,510	\$18,230
Provision charged to operations	2,630	2,800	7,900
Charge-offs	(7,827)	(3,521)	(7,084)
Recoveries	584	1,141	1,464
Balance at end of year	<u>\$16,317</u>	<u>\$20,930</u>	<u>\$20,510</u>

The following table presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Consumer	Commercial and Industrial	Unallocated	Total
<u>For the year ended December 31, 2014</u>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,859	\$ 10,371	\$ 1,360	\$ 1,383	\$ 2,957	\$ 20,930
Provision (benefit) charged to operations	5,862	(1,122)	211	(446)	(1,875)(A)	2,630
Charge-offs	(6,955)	(323)	(471)	(78)	—	(7,827)
Recoveries	525	9	46	4	—	584
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 4,291</u>	<u>\$ 8,935</u>	<u>\$ 1,146</u>	<u>\$ 863</u>	<u>\$ 1,082</u>	<u>\$ 16,317</u>
<u>For the year ended December 31, 2013</u>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,241	\$ 8,937	\$ 2,264	\$ 1,348	\$ 2,720	\$ 20,510
Provision (benefit) charged to operations	1,236	1,383	(297)	241	237	2,800
Charge-offs	(2,444)	—	(842)	(235)	—	(3,521)
Recoveries	826	51	235	29	—	1,141
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 4,859</u>	<u>\$ 10,371</u>	<u>\$ 1,360</u>	<u>\$ 1,383</u>	<u>\$ 2,957</u>	<u>\$ 20,930</u>
<u>December 31, 2014</u>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Ending allowance balance attributed to loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 88	\$ 1,741	\$ 332	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,161
Collectively evaluated for impairment	4,203	7,194	814	863	1,082	14,156
Total ending allowance balance	<u>\$ 4,291</u>	<u>\$ 8,935</u>	<u>\$ 1,146</u>	<u>\$ 863</u>	<u>\$ 1,082</u>	<u>\$ 16,317</u>
<u>Loans:</u>						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 12,879	\$ 21,165	\$ 2,221	\$ 714	\$ —	\$ 36,979
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	772,562	628,786	197,128	83,232	—	1,681,708
Total ending loan balance	<u>\$785,441</u>	<u>\$649,951</u>	<u>\$199,349</u>	<u>\$83,946</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$1,718,687</u>
<u>December 31, 2013</u>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Ending allowance balance attributed to loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2	\$ 3,612	\$ 33	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,647
Collectively evaluated for impairment	4,857	6,759	1,327	1,383	2,957	17,283
Total ending allowance balance	<u>\$ 4,859</u>	<u>\$ 10,371</u>	<u>\$ 1,360</u>	<u>\$ 1,383</u>	<u>\$ 2,957</u>	<u>\$ 20,930</u>
<u>Loans:</u>						
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 18,192	\$ 17,643	\$ 2,961	\$ 1,107	\$ —	\$ 39,903
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	763,214	511,302	197,722	59,438	—	1,531,676
Total ending loan balance	<u>\$781,406</u>	<u>\$528,945</u>	<u>\$200,683</u>	<u>\$60,545</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$1,571,579</u>

(A) The reduction in the unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses is due to the improved risk profile of the loan portfolio and related credit metrics, and the lower level of uncertainty relating to future loan losses. As a result of the bulk sale of most non-performing residential loans, the total amount of non-performing loans decreased, non-performing loans as percent of total loans decreased, and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total non-performing loans increased, as compared to December 31, 2013

A summary of impaired loans at December 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Year-end impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	\$26,487	\$24,457
Year-end impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses	10,492	15,446
	<u>\$36,979</u>	<u>\$39,903</u>
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	<u>\$ 2,161</u>	<u>\$ 3,647</u>

At December 31, 2014, impaired loans include troubled debt restructuring loans of \$23,493,000 of which \$21,462,000 were performing in accordance with their restructured terms and were accruing interest. At December 31, 2013, impaired loans include troubled debt restructuring loans of \$31,119,000 of which \$21,456,000 were performing in accordance with their restructured terms and were accruing interest.

The summary of loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 follows (in thousands):

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
<u>As of December 31, 2014</u>			
With no related allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$12,351	\$11,931	\$ —
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial	12,174	12,142	—
Construction and land	—	—	—
Consumer	2,243	1,700	—
Commercial and industrial	714	714	—
	<u>\$27,482</u>	<u>\$26,487</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
With an allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$ 948	\$ 948	\$ 88
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial	9,023	9,023	1,741
Construction and land	—	—	—
Consumer	521	521	332
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—
	<u>\$10,492</u>	<u>\$10,492</u>	<u>\$2,161</u>

	<u>Unpaid Principal Balance</u>	<u>Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated</u>
As of December 31, 2013			
With no related allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$19,559	\$18,130	\$ —
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial	2,303	2,292	—
Construction and land	—	—	—
Consumer	3,435	2,928	—
Commercial and industrial	1,107	1,107	—
	<u>\$26,404</u>	<u>\$24,457</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
With an allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$ 62	\$ 62	\$ 2
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial	15,128	15,042	3,389
Construction and land	309	309	223
Consumer	33	33	33
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—
	<u>\$15,532</u>	<u>\$15,446</u>	<u>\$3,647</u>

For the years ended of December 31,				
	<u>2014</u>		<u>2013</u>	
	<u>Average Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>	<u>Average Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>
With no related allowance recorded:				
Residential real estate	\$16,253	\$ 662	\$20,697	\$ 813
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial	11,396	384	2,704	110
Construction and land	76	—	—	—
Consumer	1,982	96	3,425	124
Commercial and industrial	386	10	606	8
	<u>\$30,093</u>	<u>\$1,152</u>	<u>\$27,432</u>	<u>\$1,055</u>
With an allowance recorded:				
Residential real estate	\$ 990	\$ 59	\$ 36	\$ 1
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial	9,196	77	10,683	217
Construction and land	152	—	391	—
Consumer	592	48	45	2
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—	—
	<u>\$10,930</u>	<u>\$ 184</u>	<u>\$11,155</u>	<u>\$ 220</u>

The following table presents the recorded investment in non-accrual loans by class of loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands). The decrease from the prior year was primarily due to the bulk sale of most non-performing residential and consumer mortgage loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$23.1 million.

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Residential real estate:		
Residential	\$ 3,115	\$28,213
Residential construction	—	—
Commercial real estate:		
Commercial	12,558	11,995
Construction and land	200	309
Consumer	1,877	4,328
Commercial and industrial	557	515
	<u>\$18,307</u>	<u>\$45,360</u>

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 by class of loans (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	Total
December 31, 2014						
Residential real estate:						
Residential	\$7,365	\$1,695	\$ 1,619	\$10,679	\$ 727,210	\$ 737,889
Residential construction	—	—	—	—	47,552	47,552
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial	119	—	12,558	12,677	587,387	600,064
Construction and land	—	—	200	200	49,687	49,887
Consumer	845	232	1,833	2,910	196,439	199,349
Commercial and industrial	—	—	557	557	83,389	83,946
	<u>\$8,329</u>	<u>\$1,927</u>	<u>\$16,767</u>	<u>\$27,023</u>	<u>\$1,691,664</u>	<u>\$1,718,687</u>
December 31, 2013						
Residential real estate:						
Residential	\$6,304	\$2,634	\$25,296	\$34,234	\$ 716,350	\$ 750,584
Residential construction	195	—	—	195	30,626	30,821
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial	985	849	9,217	11,051	491,817	502,868
Construction and land	—	—	309	309	25,769	26,078
Consumer	864	298	4,219	5,381	195,302	200,683
Commercial and industrial	—	—	515	515	60,030	60,545
	<u>\$8,348</u>	<u>\$3,781</u>	<u>\$39,556</u>	<u>\$51,685</u>	<u>\$1,519,894</u>	<u>\$1,571,579</u>

The Company categorizes all commercial and industrial, and commercial real estate loans, except for small business loans, into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation and current economic trends, among other factors. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans classified as Special Mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the Bank's credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as Substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be Pass related loans. Loans not rated are included in groups of homogeneous loans. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Special Mention</u>	<u>Substandard</u>	<u>Doubtful</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>December 31, 2014</u>					
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial	\$562,756	\$12,684	\$24,624	\$ —	\$600,064
Construction and land	49,231	—	656	—	49,887
Commercial and industrial	82,693	173	1,080	—	83,946
	<u>\$694,680</u>	<u>\$12,857</u>	<u>\$26,360</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$733,897</u>
<u>December 31, 2013</u>					
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial	\$471,435	\$ —	\$30,576	\$857	\$502,868
Construction and land	25,018	—	1,059	—	26,077
Commercial and industrial	59,089	1,070	386	—	60,545
	<u>\$555,542</u>	<u>\$ 1,070</u>	<u>\$32,021</u>	<u>\$857</u>	<u>\$589,490</u>

For residential and consumer loan classes, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans based on payment activity as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	<u>Residential Real Estate</u>		
	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Residential construction</u>	<u>Consumer</u>
<u>December 31, 2014</u>			
Performing	\$734,774	\$47,552	\$197,472
Non-performing	3,115	—	1,877
	<u>\$737,889</u>	<u>\$47,552</u>	<u>\$199,349</u>
<u>December 31, 2013</u>			
Performing	\$722,371	\$30,821	\$196,355
Non-performing	28,213	—	4,328
	<u>\$750,584</u>	<u>\$30,821</u>	<u>\$200,683</u>

The Company classifies certain loans as troubled debt restructurings (“TDR”) when credit terms to a borrower in financial difficulty are modified. The modifications may include a reduction in rate, an extension in term and/or the capitalization of past due amounts. One-to-four family and consumer loans where the borrower’s debt is discharged in a bankruptcy filing are also considered troubled debt restructurings. For these loans, the Bank retains its security interest in the real estate collateral. Included in the non-accrual loan total at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,031,000, \$9,663,000 and \$18,160,000, respectively, of troubled debt restructurings.

At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company has allocated \$419,000, \$1,816,000 and \$2,418,000, respectively, of specific reserves to loans which are classified as troubled debt restructurings. Non-accrual loans which become troubled debt restructurings are generally returned to accrual status after six months of performance. In addition to the troubled debt restructurings included in non-accrual loans, the Company also has loans classified as troubled debt restructuring which are accruing at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 which totaled \$21,462,000, \$21,456,000 and \$17,733,000, respectively. All troubled debt restructurings, regardless of payment status, are considered impaired loans and are individually evaluated as part of the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents information about troubled debt restructurings which occurred during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and troubled debt restructurings modified within the previous year and which defaulted during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Pre-modification Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Post-modification Recorded Investment</u>
<u>Year ended December 31, 2014</u>			
Troubled Debt Restructurings:			
Residential real estate	10	\$2,313	\$1,901
Consumer	10	234	178
	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Recorded Investment</u>	
Troubled Debt Restructurings Which Subsequently Defaulted:			
Consumer	1	\$ 40	
	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Pre-modification Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Post-modification Recorded Investment</u>
<u>Year ended December 31, 2013</u>			
Troubled Debt Restructurings:			
Residential real estate	6	\$1,704	\$1,696
Consumer	12	601	439
	<u>Number of Loans</u>	<u>Recorded Investment</u>	
Troubled Debt Restructurings Which Subsequently Defaulted:			
Residential real estate	2	\$ 300	
Consumer	1	12	

(5) Servicing Asset

An analysis of the servicing asset for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,178	\$ 4,568	\$ 4,836
Capitalized mortgage servicing rights	286	995	1,365
Amortization	(1,016)	(1,385)	(1,633)
Mortgage servicing rights sold	(2,747)	—	—
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 701</u>	<u>\$ 4,178</u>	<u>\$ 4,568</u>

Loans serviced for others amounted to \$197,791,000 and \$806,810,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, all of which relate to residential loans. At December 31, 2014, the servicing asset had an estimated

fair value of \$1,143,000 and was valued based on expected future cash flows considering a weighted average discount rate of 9.7%, a weighted average constant prepayment rate on mortgages of 11.9% and a weighted average life of 7.3 years. At December 31, 2013, the servicing asset had an estimated fair value of \$7,458,000 and was valued based on expected future cash flows, considering a weighted average discount rate of 9.5%, a weighted average constant prepayment rate on mortgages of 8.6% and a weighted average life of 9.5 years. As of December 31, 2014, estimated future servicing amortization through 2019 based on the prepayment assumptions utilized in the December 31, 2014 valuation, is as follows: \$244,000 for 2015, \$166,000 for 2016, \$95,000 for 2017, \$66,000 for 2018 and \$48,000 for 2019. Actual results will vary depending upon the level of repayments on the loans currently serviced.

(6) Interest and Dividends Receivable

A summary of interest and dividends receivable at December 31, 2014 and 2013 follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Loans	\$4,199	\$3,960
Investment securities	634	691
Mortgage-backed securities	673	729
	<u>\$5,506</u>	<u>\$5,380</u>

(7) Premises and Equipment, Net

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Land	\$ 5,124	\$ 5,124
Buildings and improvements	27,372	26,897
Leasehold improvements	2,487	2,423
Furniture and equipment	23,672	21,743
Automobiles	451	281
Construction in progress	1,667	779
Total	60,773	57,247
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(36,035)	(33,563)
	<u>\$ 24,738</u>	<u>\$ 23,684</u>

(8) Deposits

Deposits, including accrued interest payable of \$6,000 and \$9,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2014		2013	
	Amount	Weighted Average Cost	Amount	Weighted Average Cost
Non-interest-bearing accounts	\$ 279,944	—%	\$ 207,608	—%
Interest-bearing checking accounts	836,120	0.09	913,753	0.12
Money market deposit accounts	95,663	0.06	116,947	0.12
Savings accounts	301,190	0.03	290,512	0.05
Time deposits	207,218	1.45	217,943	1.37
	<u>\$1,720,135</u>	<u>0.23%</u>	<u>\$1,746,763</u>	<u>0.25%</u>

Included in time deposits at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, is \$64,416,000 and \$64,380,000 in deposits of \$100,000 and over.

Time deposits at December 31, 2014 mature as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31,	
2015	\$109,445
2016	33,614
2017	18,084
2018	15,427
2019	28,960
Thereafter	1,688
	<u>\$207,218</u>

Interest expense on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Interest-bearing checking accounts	\$ 925	\$1,408	\$2,878
Money market deposit accounts	92	165	361
Savings accounts	112	187	359
Time deposits	2,974	2,949	3,949
	<u>\$4,103</u>	<u>\$4,709</u>	<u>\$7,547</u>

(9) Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2014		2013	
	Amount	Weighted Average Rate	Amount	Weighted Average Rate
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$305,238	1.18%	\$175,000	0.69%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	67,812	0.12	68,304	0.15
Other borrowings	27,500	2.75	27,500	2.76
	<u>\$400,550</u>	<u>1.11%</u>	<u>\$270,804</u>	<u>0.76%</u>

Information concerning FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase ("reverse repurchase agreements") is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	FHLB Advances		Reverse Repurchase Agreements	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Average balance	\$219,847	\$219,102	\$64,223	\$69,621
Maximum amount outstanding at any month end	305,238	282,500	68,856	73,067
Average interest rate for the year	1.14%	1.82%	0.12%	0.18%
Amortized cost of collateral:				
Mortgage-backed securities	—	—	\$70,449	\$78,474
Estimated fair value of collateral:				
Mortgage-backed securities	—	—	70,954	78,512

The securities collateralizing the reverse repurchase agreements are delivered to the lender with whom each transaction is executed or to a third-party custodian. The lender, who may sell, loan or otherwise dispose of such securities to other parties in the normal course of their operations, agrees to resell to the Company substantially the same securities at the maturity of the reverse repurchase agreements. (See note 3)

FHLB advances and reverse repurchase agreements have contractual maturities at December 31, 2014 as follows (in thousands):

	<u>FHLB Advances</u>	<u>Reverse Repurchase Agreements</u>
Year Ended December 31,		
2015	\$117,853	\$67,812
2016	6,887	—
2017	36,922	—
2018	71,958	—
2019	71,618	—
	<u>\$305,238</u>	<u>\$67,812</u>

On October 17, 2013, the Company prepaid \$159.0 million of FHLB advances with a weighted average cost of 2.31% and a weighted average term to maturity of 16 months, incurring a prepayment fee of \$4.3 million.

During 2007, the Company issued \$10.0 million of trust preferred securities which carry a floating rate of 175 basis points over 3-month LIBOR adjusted quarterly. Accrued interest is due quarterly with principal due at the maturity date of September 1, 2037. During 2006, the Company issued \$12.5 million of trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities carry a floating rate of 166 basis points over 3-month LIBOR adjusted quarterly. Accrued interest is due quarterly with principal due at the maturity date in 2036. On August 4, 2005, the Company issued \$5.0 million of subordinated debt at a fixed interest rate of 6.35%. Accrued interest is due quarterly with principal due at the maturity date of November 23, 2015.

Interest expense on borrowings for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$2,515	\$3,986	\$5,495
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	78	124	201
Other borrowings	809	809	860
	<u>\$3,402</u>	<u>\$4,919</u>	<u>\$6,556</u>

All FHLB advances are secured by the Bank's mortgage loans, securities and FHLB stock. As a member of the FHLB of New York, the Bank is required to maintain a minimum investment in the capital stock of the FHLB, at cost, in an amount equal to 0.20% of the Bank's mortgage-related assets, plus 4.5% of the specified value of certain transactions between the Bank and the FHLB.

(10) Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 consists of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Current:			
Federal	\$ 9,512	\$ 9,039	\$ 8,893
State	996	1,324	1,385
Total current	10,508	10,363	10,278
Deferred:			
Federal	30	(1,421)	664
State	73	(329)	(15)
Total deferred	103	(1,750)	649
	<u>\$10,611</u>	<u>\$ 8,613</u>	<u>\$10,927</u>

Included in other comprehensive income is income tax (benefit) expense attributable to net unrealized (losses) gains on securities available-for-sale arising during the year in the amount of \$(338,000), \$(4,605,000) and \$1,739,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in stockholders' equity is income tax benefit (expense) attributable to stock plans in the amount of \$51,000, \$(31,000) and \$(608,000) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A reconciliation between the provision for income taxes and the expected amount computed by multiplying income before the provision for income taxes times the applicable statutory Federal income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Income before provision for income taxes	\$30,531	\$24,943	\$30,947
Applicable statutory Federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Computed "expected" Federal income tax expense	\$10,686	\$ 8,730	\$10,831
Increase (decrease) in Federal income tax expense resulting from:			
ESOP	99	87	66
ESOP dividends	(229)	(233)	(233)
Earnings on BOLI	(517)	(491)	(468)
State income taxes net of Federal benefit	695	642	757
Other items, net	(123)	(122)	(26)
	<u>\$10,611</u>	<u>\$ 8,613</u>	<u>\$10,927</u>

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are presented in the following table (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 6,668	\$ 8,894
Reserve for repurchased loans	422	600
Valuation allowances for repurchased loans	—	179
Reserve for uncollected interest	449	393
FHLB advance prepayment fee	—	385
Incentive compensation	1,273	1,066
Deferred compensation	605	754
Other reserves	43	113
Stock plans	1,517	1,250
ESOP	165	125
Intangible assets	22	60
Other real estate owned	379	311
Capital loss carryover	—	35
Unrealized loss on securities	4,909	4,571
State alternative minimum tax	1,160	1,160
Total gross deferred tax assets	<u>17,612</u>	<u>19,896</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Excess servicing on sale of mortgage loans	(136)	(1,122)
Investments, discount accretion	(435)	(439)
Deferred loan and commitment costs, net	(1,217)	(1,349)
Premises and equipment, differences in depreciation	(235)	(388)
Undistributed REIT income	—	(1,244)
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	<u>(2,023)</u>	<u>(4,542)</u>
Net deferred tax assets	<u>\$15,589</u>	<u>\$15,354</u>

At December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company determined that it is not required to establish a valuation reserve for the remaining net deferred tax assets since it is “more likely than not” that the net deferred tax assets will be realized through future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable income and tax planning strategies. The conclusion that it is “more likely than not” that the remaining net deferred tax assets will be realized is based on the history of earnings and the prospects for continued growth. Management will continue to review the tax criteria related to the recognition of deferred tax assets.

Retained earnings at December 31, 2014 includes approximately \$10,750,000 for which no provision for income tax has been made. This amount represents an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only. Events that would result in taxation of these reserves include failure to qualify as a bank for tax purposes, distributions in complete or partial liquidation, stock redemptions and excess distributions to shareholders. At December 31, 2014, the Company had an unrecognized deferred tax liability of \$4,391,000 with respect to this reserve.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The tax years that remain subject to examination by the Federal government include the year ended December 31, 2011 and forward. The tax years that remain subject to examination by the States of New Jersey and New York include the years ended December 31, 2010 and forward.

(11) Employee Stock Ownership Plan

As part of its mutual to stock conversion, the Bank established an Employee Stock Ownership Plan and in 2006 the Bank established a Matching Contribution Employee Stock Ownership Plan (collectively the “ESOP”) to provide retirement benefits for eligible employees. All full-time employees are eligible to participate in the ESOP after they attain age 21 and complete one year of service during which they work at least 1,000 hours. ESOP shares are allocated among participants on the basis of compensation earned during the year. Employees are fully vested in their ESOP account after the completion of five years of credited service or completely if service was terminated due to death, retirement, disability or change in control of the Company. ESOP participants are entitled to receive distributions from the ESOP account only upon termination of service, which includes retirement and death except that a participant may elect to have dividends distributed as a cash payment on a quarterly basis.

The ESOP originally borrowed \$13,421,000 from the Company to purchase 2,013,137 shares of common stock issued in the conversion. On May 12, 1998, the initial loan agreement was amended to allow the ESOP to borrow an additional \$8,200,000 in order to fund the purchase of 633,750 shares of common stock. At the same time the term of the loan was extended from the initial twelve years to thirty years. As part of the establishment of the Matching Contribution Employee Stock Ownership Plan the term of the loan was reduced by one year and now expires in 2026. The amended loan is to be repaid from contributions by the Bank to the ESOP trust. The Bank is required to make contributions to the ESOP in amounts at least equal to the principal and interest requirement of the debt, assuming a fixed interest rate of 8.25%.

The Bank’s obligation to make such contributions is reduced to the extent of any dividends paid by the Company on unallocated shares and any investment earnings realized on such dividends. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, contributions to the ESOP, which were used to fund principal and interest payments on the ESOP debt, totaled \$512,000 and \$515,000, respectively. During 2014 and 2013, \$209,000 and \$221,000, respectively, of dividends paid on unallocated ESOP shares were used for debt service. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the loan had an outstanding balance of \$3,707,000 and \$3,897,000, respectively, and the ESOP had unallocated shares of 394,816 and 428,749, respectively. At December 31, 2014, the unallocated shares had a fair value of \$6,767,000. The unamortized balance of the ESOP is shown as unallocated common stock held by the ESOP and is reflected as a reduction of stockholders’ equity.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Bank recorded compensation expense related to the ESOP of \$570,000, \$538,000 and \$480,000, respectively, including \$284,000, \$250,000 and \$191,000, respectively, representing additional compensation expense to reflect the increase in the average fair value of committed to be released and allocated shares in excess of the Bank’s cost. As of December 31, 2014, 2,218,138 shares had been allocated to participants and 33,932 shares were committed to be released.

(12) Incentive Plan

The Company has established the Amended and Restated OceanFirst Financial Corp. 1997 Incentive Plan (the “Incentive Plan”) which authorizes the granting of stock options and awards of Common Stock and the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2000 Stock Option Plan which authorizes the granting of stock options. On April 24, 2003 the Company’s shareholders ratified an amendment of the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2000 Stock Option Plan which increased the number of shares available under option. On April 20, 2006 the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan was approved which authorizes the granting of stock options or awards of common stock. On May 5, 2011, the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan was approved which also authorizes the granting of stock options or awards of common stock. The purpose of these plans is to attract and retain qualified personnel in key positions, provide officers, employees and non-employee directors (“Outside Directors”) with a proprietary interest in the Company as an incentive to contribute to the success of the Company, align the interests of management with those of other stockholders and reward employees for outstanding performance. All officers, other employees and Outside Directors of the Company and its affiliates are eligible to receive awards under the plans.

Under the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, the Company is authorized to issue up to an additional 2,400,000 shares subject to option or, in lieu of options, up to 960,000 shares in the form of stock awards. At December 31, 2014, 1,483,039 options or 593,216 awards remain to be issued. Under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, the Company is authorized to issue up to an additional 1,000,000 shares subject to options, or in lieu of options, up to 333,333 shares in the form of stock awards. At December 31, 2014, 36,815 options or 12,272 awards remain to be issued. All options expire 10 years from the date of grant and generally vest at the rate of 20% per year. The exercise price of each option equals the closing market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The Company typically issues Treasury shares to satisfy stock option exercises.

The Company recognizes the grant-date fair value of stock options and other stock-based compensation issued to employees in the income statement. The modified prospective transition method was adopted and, as a result, the income statement includes \$657,000, \$502,000 and \$442,000, of expense for stock option grants for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2014, the Company had \$1.9 million in compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized. This cost will be recognized over the remaining vesting period of 2.6 years.

The fair value of stock options granted by the Company was estimated through the use of the Black-Scholes option pricing model applying the following assumptions:

	2014	2013	2012
Risk-free interest rate	2.29%	1.47%	1.40%
Expected option life	7 years	7 years	7 years
Expected volatility	29%	29%	29%
Expected dividend yield	2.71%	3.27%	3.47%
Weighted average fair value of an option share granted during the year	\$ 4.17	\$ 3.01	\$ 2.69
Intrinsic value of options exercised during the year (in thousands)	131	11	106

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rate with a term equal to the expected option life. The expected option life conforms to the Company's actual experience. Expected volatility is based on actual historical results. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight line basis over the vesting period.

A summary of option activity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 follows:

	2014		2013		2012	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	1,740,580	\$16.47	1,732,694	\$17.62	2,043,933	\$18.21
Granted	280,375	17.72	277,625	14.68	270,250	13.83
Exercised	(27,634)	12.66	(5,147)	12.63	(36,218)	11.55
Forfeited	(234,300)	22.44	(29,916)	13.89	(202,928)	18.28
Expired	(7,751)	13.30	(234,676)	23.28	(342,343)	17.92
Outstanding at end of year	<u>1,751,270</u>	<u>\$15.94</u>	<u>1,740,580</u>	<u>\$16.47</u>	<u>1,732,694</u>	<u>\$17.62</u>
Options exercisable	<u>1,003,075</u>		<u>1,062,786</u>		<u>1,113,185</u>	

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2014:

Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number of Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Of Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$10.00 to 12.28	296,541	4.9 years	\$10.60	250,621	4.9 years	\$10.70
13.83 to 14.62	672,750	7.2	14.13	258,850	6.9	14.00
16.06 to 17.75	454,855	7.0	17.37	166,480	3.2	16.81
20.25 to 22.17	150,570	2.1	21.51	150,570	2.1	21.51
22.24 to 23.47	176,554	1.1	23.42	176,554	1.1	23.42
	<u>1,751,270</u>	<u>5.7 years</u>	<u>\$15.94</u>	<u>1,003,075</u>	<u>4.0 years</u>	<u>\$16.42</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value for stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at December 31, 2014 is \$4,027,000 and \$2,483,000, respectively.

A summary of the granted but unvested stock award activity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 follows:

	2014		2013		2012	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number Of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at beginning of year:	59,962	\$13.84	48,733	\$13.10	49,148	\$13.25
Granted	40,380	17.70	28,228	14.78	19,513	13.83
Vested	(16,438)	13.31	(14,296)	13.21	(14,393)	14.52
Forfeited	(2,119)	14.18	(2,703)	13.85	(5,535)	13.20
Outstanding at end of year	<u>81,785</u>	<u>\$15.85</u>	<u>59,962</u>	<u>\$13.84</u>	<u>48,733</u>	<u>\$13.10</u>

(13) Commitments, Contingencies and Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company, in the normal course of business, is party to financial instruments and commitments which involve, to varying degrees, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements. These financial instruments and commitments include unused consumer lines of credit and commitments to extend credit.

At December 31, 2014, the following commitments and contingent liabilities existed which are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements (in thousands):

	<u>December 31, 2014</u>
Unused consumer and construction loan lines of credit (primarily floating-rate)	\$120,205
Unused commercial loan lines of credit (primarily floating-rate)	200,020
Other commitments to extend credit:	
Fixed-Rate	61,868
Adjustable-Rate	3,033
Floating-Rate	5,494

The Company's fixed-rate loan commitments expire within 90 days of issuance and carried interest rates ranging from 3.0% to 5.49% at December 31, 2014.

The Company's maximum exposure to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by the other party to these financial instruments and commitments is represented by the contractual amounts. The Company uses the same credit policies in granting commitments and conditional obligations as it does for financial instruments recorded in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

These commitments and obligations do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, is based on management's assessment of risk. Substantially all of the unused consumer and construction loan lines of credit are collateralized by mortgages on real estate.

At December 31, 2014, the Company is obligated under noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment. Rental expense under these leases aggregated approximately \$1,984,000, \$2,378,000 and \$2,034,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The projected minimum rental commitments as of December 31, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
2015	\$ 1,678
2016	1,646
2017	1,656
2018	1,585
2019	1,552
Thereafter	11,123
	<u>\$19,240</u>

The Company grants one-to-four family and commercial first mortgage real estate loans to borrowers primarily located in Ocean, Monmouth, Middlesex and Mercer Counties, New Jersey. The Company previously offered interest-only one-to-four family mortgage loans on a limited basis, in which the borrower makes only interest payments for the first five, seven or ten years of the mortgage loan term. This feature will result in future increases in the borrower's loan repayment when the contractually required repayments increase due to the required amortization of the principal amount. These payment increases could affect a borrower's ability to repay the loan. The amount of interest-only one-to-four family mortgage loans at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$17.6 million and \$28.8 million, respectively. There were no interest-only one-to-four family mortgage loans on non-accrual status at December 31, 2014, compared to \$2.2 million at December 31, 2013. The Company previously originated stated income loans on a limited basis through November 2010. These loans were only offered to self-employed borrowers for purposes of financing primary residences and second home properties. The amount of stated income loans at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$27.3 million and \$36.6 million, respectively. The amount of stated income loans on non-accrual status at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$259,000 and \$6.4 million, respectively. The ability of borrowers to repay their obligations is dependent upon various factors including the borrowers' income and net worth, cash flows generated by the underlying collateral, value of the underlying collateral and priority of the Company's lien on the property. Such factors are dependent upon various economic conditions and individual circumstances beyond the Company's control; the Company is, therefore, subject to risk of loss. A decline in real estate values could cause some residential and commercial mortgage loans to become inadequately collateralized, which would expose the Bank to a greater risk of loss.

The Company believes its lending policies and procedures adequately minimize the potential exposure to such risks. Collateral and/or guarantees are required for all loans.

The Company is a defendant in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Management and its legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

(14) Reserve for Repurchased Loans and Loss Sharing Obligations

The reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations was established to provide for expected losses related to repurchase requests which may be received on residential mortgage loans previously sold to investors and other loss sharing obligations. The Company prepares a comprehensive analysis of the adequacy of the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations at each quarter-end. The reserve includes a specific loss estimate on the outstanding loan repurchase requests based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral modified by the likelihood of loss which is estimated based on historical experience. The reserve also includes a general loss estimate based on an estimate of loans likely to be returned for repurchase and the estimated loss on those loans. Finally, the reserve also includes an estimate of the Bank's obligation under a loss sharing arrangement with the FHLB relating to loans sold into their Mortgage Partnership Finance ("MPF") program. Under this program, the Bank and the FHLB share credit risk for loans sold. The first loss position, equal to 1% of the aggregate amount of the loan pool, is absorbed by the FHLB through a reduction in credit enhancement fees paid to the Bank. The second loss position, generally covering the next 1.5% to 4.0% of the aggregate loan pool, is absorbed by the Bank. Loan losses above the combination of these two thresholds are fully absorbed by the FHLB. In establishing the reserve, the Company considered recent and historical experience, product type and volume of loan sales and the general economic environment.

An analysis of the reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 follows (in thousands).

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$1,468	\$1,203	\$ 705
Provision charged to operations	—	975	750
Loss on loans repurchased, settlements or payments under loss sharing arrangements	(436)	(915)	(252)
Recoveries	—	205	—
Balance at end of year	<u>\$1,032</u>	<u>\$1,468</u>	<u>\$1,203</u>

The reserve for repurchased loans and loss sharing obligations was \$1.0 million at December 31, 2014, a \$436,000 decrease from December 31, 2013 due to incurred losses of \$143,000 relating to the FHLB loan sales and settlements of \$293,000 with one investor relating to existing repurchase requests. The reserve was \$1.5 million at December 31, 2013, a \$265,000 increase from December 31, 2012 due to a provision of \$100,000 for repurchase requests, an additional provision of \$875,000 relating to loans sold to the FHLB, incurred losses of \$465,000 relating to the FHLB loan sales, a comprehensive settlement of \$450,000 with one investor relating to existing and anticipated loan repurchase requests, and recoveries of \$205,000 of previously charged-off amounts.

At December 31, 2014, there were no outstanding loan repurchase requests, a reduction from five outstanding loan repurchase requests on loans with a total principal balance of \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013.

(15) Earnings Per Share

The following reconciles average shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Weighted average shares outstanding	17,197	17,614	18,303
Less: Unallocated ESOP shares	(412)	(446)	(480)
Unallocated Incentive award shares and shares held by deferred compensation plan	(98)	(97)	(93)
Average basic shares outstanding	16,687	17,071	17,730
Add: Effect of dilutive securities:			
Incentive awards and shares held by deferred compensation plan	110	86	99
Average diluted shares outstanding	16,797	17,157	17,829

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, 767,000, 852,000 and 1,253,000, respectively, antidilutive stock options were excluded from earnings per share calculations.

(16) Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair market measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or the most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii) knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact and (iv) willing to transact.

The Company uses valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets and liabilities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount on a discounted basis. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement costs). Valuation techniques should be consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability and developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability and developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. In that regard, a fair value hierarchy has been established for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. Movements within the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the end of the applicable reporting period. There were no transfers between the levels of the fair value hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs – Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlations or other means.

Level 3 Inputs – Significant unobservable inputs that reflect an entity's own assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below. Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

Securities Available-for-Sale

Securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 and Level 2 inputs. In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. Most of the Company's investment and mortgage-backed securities, however, are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but are bought and sold in active markets. Prices for these instruments are obtained through third-party pricing services or security industry sources that actively participate in the buying and selling of securities. Prices obtained from these sources include market quotations and matrix pricing. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities.

Fair value estimates are made at a point in time, based on relevant market data as well as the best information available about the security. Illiquid credit markets have resulted in inactive markets for certain of the Company's securities. As a result, there is limited observable market data for these assets. Fair value estimates for securities for which limited observable market data is available are based on judgments regarding current economic conditions, liquidity discounts, credit and interest rate risks, and other factors. These estimates involve significant uncertainties and judgments and cannot be determined with precision. As a result, such calculated fair value estimates may not be realizable in a current sale or immediate settlement of the security.

The Company utilizes third-party pricing services to obtain market values for its corporate bonds. Management's policy is to obtain and review all available documentation from the third-party pricing service relating to their market value determinations, including their methodology and summary of inputs. Management reviews this documentation, makes inquiries of the third-party pricing service and makes a determination as to the level of the valuation inputs. Based on the Company's review of the available documentation from the third-party pricing service, management concluded that Level 2 inputs were utilized. The significant observable inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, other market information and observations of equity and credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Other Real Estate Owned and Impaired Loans

Other real estate owned and loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs of 15%. Fair value is based on independent appraisals.

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
	Total Fair Value	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
December 31, 2014				
Items measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$19,804	\$—	\$19,804	\$ —
Items measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Other real estate owned	4,664	—	—	4,664
Loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral	11,675	—	—	11,675
		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
	Total Fair Value	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
December 31, 2013				
Items measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. agency obligations	\$35,289	\$ —	\$35,289	\$ —
Equity investments	8,547	8,547	—	—
Items measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Other real estate owned	4,345	—	—	4,345
Loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral	18,902	—	—	18,902

Assets and Liabilities Disclosed at Fair Value

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities disclosed at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy is set forth below.

Cash and Due from Banks

For cash and due from banks, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Securities Held-to-Maturity

Securities classified as held-to-maturity are carried at amortized cost, as the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity. The Company determines the fair value of the securities utilizing Level 1, Level 2 and, infrequently, Level 3 inputs. In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. Most of the Company's investment and mortgage-backed securities, however, are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but are bought and sold in active markets. Prices for these instruments are obtained through third-party pricing vendors or security industry sources that actively participate in the buying and selling of securities. Prices obtained from these sources include market quotations and matrix pricing. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities.

Fair value estimates are made at a point in time, based on relevant market data as well as the best information available about the security. Illiquid credit markets have resulted in inactive markets for certain of the Company's securities. As a result, there is limited observable market data for these assets. Fair value estimates

for securities for which limited observable market data is available are based on judgments regarding current economic conditions, liquidity discounts, credit and interest rate risks, and other factors. These estimates involve significant uncertainties and judgments and cannot be determined with precision. As a result, such calculated fair value estimates may not be realizable in a current sale or immediate settlement of the security.

The Company utilizes third-party pricing services to obtain fair values for most of its securities held-to-maturity. Management's policy is to obtain and review all available documentation from the third-party pricing service relating to their fair value determinations, including their methodology and summary of inputs. Management reviews this documentation, makes inquiries of the third-party pricing service and makes a determination as to the level of the valuation inputs. Based on the Company's review of the available documentation from the third-party pricing service, management concluded that Level 2 inputs were utilized for all securities except for certain state and municipal obligations known as bond anticipation notes ("BANs") where management utilized Level 3 inputs. In the case of the Level 2 securities, the significant observable inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, other market information and observations of equity and credit default swap curves related to the issuer. Management based its fair value estimate of the BANs on the local nature of the issuing entities, the short-term life of the security and current economic conditions.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York Stock

The fair value for Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock is its carrying value since this is the amount for which it could be redeemed. There is no active market for this stock and the Company is required to maintain a minimum investment based upon the outstanding balance of mortgage related assets and outstanding borrowings.

Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as residential mortgage, construction, consumer and commercial. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms.

Fair value of performing and non-performing loans was estimated by discounting the future cash flows, net of estimated prepayments, at a rate for which similar loans would be originated to new borrowers with similar terms. Fair values estimated in this manner do not fully incorporate an exit price approach to fair value, but instead are based on a comparison to current market rates for comparable loans.

Deposits Other than Time Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, and interest-bearing checking accounts and money market accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand. The related insensitivity of the majority of these deposits to interest rate changes creates a significant inherent value which is not reflected in the fair value reported.

Time Deposits

The fair value of time deposits are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase with Retail Customers

Fair value approximates the carrying amount as these borrowings are payable on demand and the interest rate adjusts monthly.

Borrowed Funds

Fair value estimates are based on discounting contractual cash flows using rates which approximate the rates offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

The book value and estimated fair value of the Bank's significant financial instruments not recorded at fair value as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are presented in the following tables (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
	Book Value	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
December 31, 2014				
Financial Assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 36,117	\$36,117	\$ —	\$ —
Securities held-to-maturity	469,417	—	474,215	—
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	19,170	—	—	19,170
Loans receivable and mortgage loans held-for-sale	1,693,047	—	—	1,709,819
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits other than time deposits	1,512,917	—	1,512,917	—
Time deposits	207,218	—	208,651	—
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase with retail customers	67,812	67,812	—	—
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	332,738	—	332,432	—
		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
	Book Value	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
December 31, 2013				
Financial Assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 33,958	\$33,958	\$ —	\$ —
Securities held-to-maturity	495,599	—	493,432	1,650
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	14,518	—	—	14,518
Loans receivable and mortgage loans held-for-sale	1,542,245	—	—	1,561,208
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits other than time deposits	1,528,820	—	1,528,820	—
Time deposits	217,943	—	220,409	—
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase with retail customers	68,304	68,304	—	—
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings	202,500	—	201,393	—

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because a limited market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other significant unobservable inputs. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets or liabilities include deferred

tax assets, and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

(17) Parent-Only Financial Information

The following condensed statements of financial condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013 and condensed statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 for OceanFirst Financial Corp. (parent company only) reflects the Company's investment in its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank, using the equity method of accounting.

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<u>Assets</u>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 7	\$ 7
Advances to subsidiary Bank	22,776	16,753
Investment securities	—	8,547
ESOP loan receivable	3,707	3,897
Investment in subsidiary Bank	219,221	212,957
Other assets	229	568
Total assets	<u>\$245,940</u>	<u>\$242,729</u>
<u>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</u>		
Borrowings	\$ 27,500	\$ 27,500
Other liabilities	181	879
Stockholders' equity	218,259	214,350
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$245,940</u>	<u>\$242,729</u>

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Dividend income – subsidiary Bank	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$20,500
Dividend income – investment securities	279	287	227
Net gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale	1,031	46	226
Interest income – advances to subsidiary Bank	44	40	39
Interest income – ESOP loan receivable	322	336	349
Total income	17,676	16,709	21,341
Interest expense – borrowings	767	766	818
Operating expenses	1,365	1,358	1,323
Income before income taxes and undistributed earnings of subsidiary Bank	15,544	14,585	19,200
Benefit for income taxes	229	567	511
Income before undistributed earnings of subsidiary Bank	15,773	15,152	19,711
Undistributed earnings of subsidiary Bank	4,147	1,178	309
Net Income	<u>\$19,920</u>	<u>\$16,330</u>	<u>\$20,020</u>

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 19,920	\$ 16,330	\$ 20,020
(Increase) decrease in advances to subsidiary Bank	(6,023)	3,264	(1,921)
Undistributed earnings of subsidiary Bank	(4,147)	(1,178)	(309)
Net (gain) on sales of investment securities available for sale	(1,031)	(46)	(226)
Change in other assets and other liabilities	373	(547)	2,799
Net cash provided by operating activities	9,092	17,823	20,363
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available-for-sale	8,439	1,244	1,221
Purchase of investment securities	(651)	(2,964)	(1,694)
Repayments on ESOP loan receivable	190	179	167
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	7,978	(1,541)	(306)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Dividends paid	(8,241)	(8,239)	(8,579)
Purchase of treasury stock	(9,178)	(8,108)	(11,897)
Exercise of stock options	349	65	419
Net cash used in financing activities	(17,070)	(16,282)	(20,057)
Net increase in cash and due from banks	—	—	—
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	7	7	7
Cash and due from banks at end of year	\$ 7	\$ 7	\$ 7

(18) Subsequent Event

On February 25, 2015, the Company announced an agreement to acquire Colonial American Bank (“Colonial”), headquartered in Middletown, New Jersey, in an all stock transaction valued at approximately \$11.3 million. Under the terms of the agreement, each outstanding share of Colonial common stock and preferred stock will be exchanged for 0.3736 shares of the Company’s common stock, subject to possible adjustment as provided for in the merger agreement. The transaction is expected to close before year-end 2015, subject to certain conditions, including the approval by Colonial’s stockholders and customary regulatory approvals.

Colonial operates two full-service banking offices in Middletown and Shrewsbury, New Jersey with total assets of \$144 million, including \$127 million in total loans and \$129 million in total deposits of as of December 31, 2014. The combined institution will have \$2.5 billion in assets, \$1.8 billion in loans and \$1.8 billion in deposits, with 25 offices serving the central New Jersey market.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Quarters ended			
	Dec. 31	Sept. 30	June 30	March 31
<u>2014</u>				
Interest income	\$20,067	\$20,142	\$19,898	\$19,746
Interest expense	2,043	2,042	1,739	1,681
Net interest income	18,024	18,100	18,159	18,065
Provision for loan losses	825	1,000	275	530
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	17,199	17,100	17,884	17,535
Other income	4,620	5,286	4,830	3,841
Operating expenses	14,396	14,431	14,830	14,107
Income before provision for income taxes	7,423	7,955	7,884	7,269
Provision for income taxes	2,491	2,790	2,767	2,563
Net income	<u>\$ 4,932</u>	<u>\$ 5,165</u>	<u>5,117</u>	<u>\$ 4,706</u>
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.30</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.27</u>
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.30</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.30</u>	<u>\$ 0.28</u>
<u>2013</u>				
Interest income	\$19,960	\$19,984	\$20,161	\$20,052
Interest expense	1,709	2,440	2,617	2,862
Net interest income	18,251	17,544	17,544	17,190
Provision for loan losses	200	700	800	1,100
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	18,051	16,844	16,744	16,090
Other income	4,283	4,566	4,741	3,409
Operating expenses	19,611	13,784	13,724	12,666
Income before provision for income taxes	2,723	7,626	7,761	6,833
Provision for income taxes	784	2,658	2,774	2,397
Net income	<u>\$ 1,939</u>	<u>\$ 4,968</u>	<u>\$ 4,987</u>	<u>\$ 4,436</u>
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.12</u>	<u>\$ 0.29</u>	<u>\$ 0.29</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.11</u>	<u>\$ 0.29</u>	<u>\$ 0.29</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and

procedures were effective. Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

(b) Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. This assessment was based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2014, the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 58.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information relating to directors, executive officers and corporate governance and the Registrant's compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act required by Part III is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 6, 2015 under the captions "Corporate Governance", "Proposal 1. Election of Directors" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information relating to executive compensation required by Part III is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 6, 2015 under the captions "Compensation Discussion and Analysis", "Executive Compensation", "Director Compensation", "Compensation Committee Report", and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation".

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information relating to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters required by Part III is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 6, 2015 under the caption "Stock Ownership."

Information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans existing as of December 31, 2014 is as follows:

<u>Plan category</u>	<u>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)</u>	<u>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</u>	<u>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,751,270	\$15.94	1,519,854
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Total	<u>1,751,270</u>	<u>\$15.94</u>	<u>1,519,854</u>

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information relating to certain relationships and related transactions and director independence required by Part III is incorporated herein by reference from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 6, 2015 under the caption "Transactions with Management."

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information relating to the principal accounting fees and services is incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting to be held on May 6, 2015 under the caption "Proposal 3. Ratification of Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	57
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2014 and 2013	59
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	60
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	61
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	63
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	64

(a) (2) Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules are omitted because they are not required or applicable, or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

(a) (3) Exhibits

- 2.1 Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Richard S. Pardes (the sole stockholder of Columbia Home Loans, LLC) and Columbia Home Loans, LLC and OceanFirst Bank as buyer, dated June 27, 2000 (without exhibits) (2)
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (1)
- 3.1(a) Certificate of Designations (15)
- 3.2 Bylaws of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (24)
- 3.2(a) Warrant to Purchase up to 380,583 shares of Common Stock (15)
- 3.3 Certificate of Ownership Merging Ocean Interim, Inc. into OceanFirst Financial Corp. (6)
- 4.0 Stock Certificate of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (1)
- 10.1 Form of OceanFirst Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan (1)
- 10.1(a) Amendment to OceanFirst Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan (3)
- 10.1(b) Amended Employee Stock Ownership Plan (13)
- 10.1(c) Form of Matching Contribution Employee Stock Ownership Plan (13)
- 10.1(d) Letter Agreement dated January 16, 2009, including Securities Purchase Agreement – Standard Terms incorporated by reference therein, between Company and the United States Department of the Treasury (15)
- 10.2 OceanFirst Bank Employees' Savings and Profit Sharing Plan (1)
- 10.2(a) Form of Waiver executed by each of Messrs. John R. Garbarino, Vito R. Nardelli, Michael J. Fitzpatrick, Joseph R. Iantosca and Joseph J. Lebel, III (15)
- 10.3 OceanFirst Bank 1995 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (1)

- 10.3(a) OceanFirst Bank Executive Supplemental Retirement Income Agreement (14)
- 10.3(b) Form of Senior Executive Officer Agreement executed by each of Messrs. John R. Garbarino, Vito R. Nardelli, Michael J. Fitzpatrick, Joseph R. Iantosca and Joseph J. Lebel, III (15)
- 10.3(c) Amendment to the Executive Supplemental Retirement Income Agreement between OceanFirst Bank and John R. Garbarino (16)
- 10.4 OceanFirst Bank Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors (1)
- 10.4(a) OceanFirst Bank New Executive Deferred Compensation Master Agreement (14)
- 10.5 OceanFirst Bank Deferred Compensation Plan for Officers (1)
- 10.5(a) OceanFirst Bank New Director Deferred Compensation Master Agreement (14)
- 10.8 Amended and Restated OceanFirst Financial Corp. 1997 Incentive Plan (4)
- 10.9 Form of Employment Agreement between OceanFirst Bank and certain executive officers (1)
- 10.10 Form of Employment Agreement between OceanFirst Financial Corp. and certain executive officers (1)
- 10.13 2000 Stock Option Plan (5)
- 10.14 Form of Employment Agreement between Columbia Home Loans, LLC and Robert M. Pardes (6)
- 10.15 Amendment of the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2000 Stock Option Plan (7)
- 10.16 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2000 Stock Option Plan Non-Statutory Option Award Agreement (9)
- 10.17 Form of Amended and Restated OceanFirst Financial Corp. 1997 Incentive Plan Stock Award Agreement (9)
- 10.18 Amendment and form of OceanFirst Bank Employee Severance Compensation Plan (10)
- 10.19 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp. Deferred Incentive Compensation Award Program (11)
- 10.20 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (12)
- 10.21 Form of Employment Agreement between OceanFirst Financial Corp. and certain executive officers, including Michael J. Fitzpatrick and John R. Garbarino. (13)
- 10.21(a) Amendment to form of Employment Agreement between OceanFirst Financial Corp and certain executive officers, including Michael J. Fitzpatrick and John R. Garbarino (19)
- 10.22 Form of Employment Agreement between OceanFirst Bank and certain executive officers, including Michael J. Fitzpatrick and John R. Garbarino (13)
- 10.23 Form of Change in Control Agreement between OceanFirst Financial Corp. and certain executive officers, including Joseph J. Lebel, III and Joseph R. Iantosca (13)
- 10.23(a) Amendment to form of Change in Control Agreement between OceanFirst Financial Corp. and certain executive officers, including Joseph J. Lebel, III and Joseph R. Iantosca (19)
- 10.24 Form of Change in Control Agreement between OceanFirst Bank and certain executive officers, including Joseph J. Lebel, III and Joseph R. Iantosca (13)
- 10.25 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Award Agreement for Stock Options (17)
- 10.26 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Award Agreement for Stock Awards (17)
- 10.27 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2011 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan Award Agreement (17)
- 10.28 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (18)
- 10.29 2011 Cash Incentive Compensation Plan (18)
- 10.30 Amended and restated Employment Agreement between Christopher D. Maher and OceanFirst Financial Corp. dated April 23, 2014 (20)
- 10.31 Amended and restated Employment Agreement between Christopher D. Maher and OceanFirst Bank dated April 23, 2014 (20)
- 10.32 Supplemental Executive Retirement Account Agreement between Christopher D. Maher and OceanFirst Bank dated June 18, 2013 (21)
- 10.33 Letter Agreement between Craig C. Spengemen and OceanFirst Bank (22)
- 10.34 Form of OceanFirst Financial Corp 2011 Stock Incentive Plan Award Agreement for Stock Awards (23)

14.0	OceanFirst Financial Corp. Code of Ethics and Standards of Personal Conduct (8)
21.0	Subsidiary information is incorporated herein by reference to “Part I – Subsidiary Activities”
23.0	Consent of KPMG LLP (filed herewith)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
32.1	Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as added by Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
101.0	The following materials from the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document

-
- (1) Incorporated herein by reference from the Exhibits to Form S-1, Registration Statement, effective May 13, 1996 as amended, Registration No. 33-80123.
 - (2) Incorporated herein by reference from the Exhibits to Form 8-K filed on June 28, 2000.
 - (3) Incorporated herein by reference from the Exhibits to Form 10-K filed on March 25, 1997.
 - (4) Incorporated herein by reference from Schedule 14-A Definitive Proxy Statement filed on March 19, 1998.
 - (5) Incorporated herein by reference from Schedule 14-A Definitive Proxy Statement filed on March 17, 2000.
 - (6) Incorporated herein by reference from the Exhibits to Form 10-K filed on March 23, 2003.
 - (7) Incorporated herein by reference from the Schedule 14-A Definitive Proxy Statement filed on March 21, 2003.
 - (8) Incorporated herein by reference from the Exhibits to Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2004.
 - (9) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibits to Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2005.
 - (10) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibits to Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2005.
 - (11) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibits to Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2006.
 - (12) Incorporated herein by reference from Schedule 14-A Definitive Proxy Statement filed on March 14, 2006.
 - (13) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit to Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2008.
 - (14) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2008.
 - (15) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed January 20, 2009.
 - (16) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed December 22, 2010.
 - (17) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed May 10, 2011.
 - (18) Incorporated herein by reference from Schedule 14-A Revised Definitive Proxy Statement filed on March 31, 2011.
 - (19) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2011.
 - (20) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed April 25, 2014.
 - (21) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed June 20, 2013.
 - (22) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed December 5, 2013.
 - (23) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on January 17, 2014.
 - (24) Incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit to Form 8-K filed on January 23, 2015.

CONFORMED

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

OCEANFIRST FINANCIAL CORP.

By: /s/ Christopher D. Maher
Christopher D. Maher
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 9, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Christopher D. Maher</u> Christopher D. Maher President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick</u> Michael J. Fitzpatrick Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal accounting and financial officer)	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ John R. Garbarino</u> John R. Garbarino Chairman of the Board and Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ Joseph J. Burke</u> Joseph J. Burke Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ Angelo Catania</u> Angelo Catania Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ John W. Chadwick</u> John W. Chadwick Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ Donald E. McLaughlin</u> Donald E. McLaughlin Director	March 9, 2015

<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Diane F. Rhine</u> Diane F. Rhine Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ Mark G. Solow</u> Mark G. Solow Director	March 9, 2015
<u>/s/ John E. Walsh</u> John E. Walsh Director	March 9, 2015

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors
OceanFirst Financial Corp.:

We consent to incorporation by reference in the registration statement (No. 333-177243) on Form S-8, pertaining to the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, in the registration statement (No. 333-141746) on Form S-8, pertaining to the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, and in the registration statement (No. 333-42088) on Form S-8, pertaining to the OceanFirst Financial Corp. 2000 Stock Option Plan, of our reports dated March 13, 2015 with respect to the consolidated statements of financial condition of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, which reports are incorporated by reference in the December 31, 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K of OceanFirst Financial Corp.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey
March 13, 2015

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Christopher D. Maher, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)), and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 13, 2015

/s/ Christopher D. Maher

Christopher D. Maher
Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Michael J. Fitzpatrick certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)), and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared; and
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 13, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADDED BY
SECTION 906 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of OceanFirst Financial Corp. and subsidiary (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), the undersigned certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as added by §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the period covered by the Report.

/s/ Christopher D. Maher

Christopher D. Maher
Chief Executive Officer
March 13, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Chief Financial Officer
March 13, 2015

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Banking Offices — Phone number for all offices 888-OCEAN33 (extension as noted)

BARNEGAT

Gunning River Mall
Ext. 4150
Diane Troast, Manager

BAYVILLE

791 Route 9
Ext. 4550
Roberta L. Timmons, Manager

BRICK

321 Chambers Bridge Road
Ext. 4100
Patricia M. Siciliano, Manager

70 Brick Boulevard
Ext. 4700
Carol A. Daniels, Manager

385 Adamston Road
Ext. 5400
Lori Cozzino, Manager

CONCORDIA

Concordia Shopping Mall
Ext. 4600
Cheryl E. Goode, Manager

FREEHOLD

Freehold Marketplace
308 West Main Street
Ext. 5950
Jennifer Eng, Manager

JACKSON

Jackson Plaza Shopping Center
260 North County Line Road
Ext. 5700
Angela M. Cali, Manager

Opening 2015
10 Leesville Road

LACEY

900 Lacey Road
Ext. 5000
Cynthia Presti, Manager

LAKEWOOD

Harrogate
400 Locust Street
Ext. 5150
Jill Flynn, Manager

LITTLE EGG HARBOR

425 Route 9 South
Ext. 4350
Donna Hollenback, Manager

MANAHAWKIN

205 Route 72 West
Ext. 5500
Patrick Carrano, Manager

POINT PLEASANT BEACH

701 Arnold Avenue
Ext. 4200
Kathleen Scardilli, Manager

POINT PLEASANT BORO

2400 Bridge Avenue
Ext. 4300
Stefanie Nolan, Manager

3100 Route 88

Ext. 5600
Alyson Woll, Manager

RED BANK

Financial Solutions Center
73 Broad Street
Ext. 5550
Maureen Gentile, Manager

SPRING LAKE HEIGHTS

2401 Route 71
Ext. 5300
Patricia Hernandez, Manager

TOMS RIVER

975 Hooper Avenue
Ext. 7609
Maureen Webb, Manager

The Shoppes at Lake Ridge
147 Route 70, Suite 1
Ext. 5100
Jill Flynn, Manager

Route 37 West
Ext. 4800
Lois A. Velardo, Manager

WALL

2443 Route 34
Ext. 5200
Diane Haake, Manager

WARETOWN

501 Route 9, Suite 500
Ext. 4650
Rosemarie Horvath, Manager

WHITING

Whiting Commons
400 Lacey Road
Ext. 4250
Catherine R. Rollo, Manager

LOAN PRODUCTION OFFICE

Ewing
810 Bear Tavern Road, Suite 103
Ext. 7133

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

OceanFirst Bank

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

OceanFirst Bank

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Joseph J. Burke, CPA
Retired
KPMG LLP

Angelo Catania
Managing Member
Homestar Services, LLC

Jack M. Farris
Vice President and
Deputy General Counsel
Verizon Communications Inc.

John R. Garbarino
Chairman of the Board

Christopher D. Maher
Chief Executive Officer
President

Donald E. McLaughlin, CPA
Retired

Diane F. Rhine
Broker Sales Representative
Childers Sotheby's International
Realty

Mark G. Solow
Retired
GarMark Advisors, LLC

John E. Walsh
Senior Vice President
T & M Associates

DIRECTORS EMERITIS

Thomas F. Curtin
Robert E. Knemoller
James T. Snyder

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

CORPORATE OFFICERS

Christopher D. Maher
President
Chief Executive Officer

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Executive Vice President
Chief Financial Officer

Steven J. Tsimbinos
First Senior Vice President
General Counsel
Corporate Secretary

Jill Apito Hewitt
Senior Vice President
Investor Relations Officer

Robert A. Laskowski
Senior Vice President
Treasurer

Linda L. Blakaitis
Assistant Corporate Secretary

OceanFirst Bank

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Christopher D. Maher
President
Chief Executive Officer

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Executive Vice President
Chief Financial Officer

Joseph R. Iantosca
Executive Vice President
Chief Administrative Officer

Joseph J. Lebel, III
Executive Vice President
Chief Lending Officer

Craig C. Spengeman
Executive Vice President
Director of Wealth Management

FIRST SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS

Mark A. Tasy
Director Retail Sales & Services

Steven J. Tsimbinos
General Counsel
Corporate Secretary

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS

Thomas A. Ando
Commercial Lending

Nina Anuario
Wealth Management

Barbara E. Baldwin
Retail Operations

S. Joseph Casella
Commercial Lending

Vincent M. D'Alessandro
Commercial Lending

George Destafney
Commercial Lending

James J. Flynn
Residential Lending

Bradley J. Fouss
Commercial Lending

Gary S. Hett
Human Resources

Jill Apito Hewitt
Marketing

Gayle S. Hoffman
Internal Audit

David R. Howard
Risk Management

Sean D. Kauffman
Commercial Lending

Joseph A. LaDuca
Accounting

Robert A. Laskowski
Treasurer

Fred M. McConnell
Commercial Lending

Michael M. O'Brien
Wealth Management

Steven L. Pellegrinelli
Commercial Lending

Carol E. Strang
Credit Administration

David A. Williams
Information Technology

VICE PRESIDENTS

Robert W. Baechler Jr.
Risk Management

Michelle J. Berry
Residential Lending

Elaine G. Boyko
Retail Administration

Robert A. Brennan
General Services

Catherine Colobert
Retail Banking

Colleen A. Connolly
Retail Administration

Lydia J. D'Amore
Retail Banking

Sharon L. Danielson
Retail Customer Service

Michael DellaBarca
Commercial Lending

Lorraine L. Dellert
Retail Banking

Keryn J. Dettlinger
Small Business Lending

Lauren Dezzi
Retail Banking

Catherine Farley
Wealth Management

Edward J. Fitzpatrick
Accounting

Jill G. Flynn
Retail Banking

Michael L. Frankovich
Residential Lending

Erin K. Garrabrant
Wealth Management

Philip M. Gogarty
BankCard Services

Michele E. Hart
Bank Counsel

Denise A. Horner
Bank Counsel

Brandon C. Kaletkowski
Wealth Management

Sharon Labash
Retail Banking

Cara M. Larned
Marketing

Marc A. Mosco
Human Resources

Jeffrey J. Muller
Accounting

Lisa A. Natale
Marketing

Elizabeth A. Nugent
Risk Management

Neil O'Connor
Retail Administration

Rita E. Permuko
Retail Banking

Rachel M. Rinninsland
Wealth Management

Catherine R. Rollo
Retail Banking

Kenneth L. Rosshirt
Retail Banking

Frank A. Scarpone
Retail Banking

Christine L. Schiess
Loan Servicing

Patricia M. Siciliano
Retail Banking

Beth P. Stefanelli
Retail Banking

Christine Tamke
Wealth Management

Lois A. Velardo
Retail Banking

Suzanne L. Węryn
Commercial Lending

Barbara A. Wright
Retail Banking

ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENTS

Elizabeth M. Alexander

Angela M. Cali

Patrick Carrano

Lisa A. Chandler

Lori A. Cozzino

Carol A. Daniels

Jennifer L. Eng

Maureen A. Gentile

Cheryl E. Goode

Christine R. Gray

Diane M. Haake

Melissa A. Harmon

Patricia G. Hernandez

Donna Hollenback

Rosemarie Horvath

Arnold L. Juliano

Robert L. Kilgour

Laurie A. Kolan

George Maceli

Andrew M. Martin

Sally A. Matics

John R. Murray

Stefanie A. Nolan

Loretta E. Petrocco

Cynthia A. Presti

Maureen A. Purcell

Karen N. Rack

Michael A. Savarese

Kathleen Scardilli

Jonathan R. Seidel

Roberta L. Timmons

Diane Troast

Maureen Webb

Lynn Wingender

Alyson J. Woll

ASSISTANT SECRETARIES

Linda L. Blakaitis

Laurel A. Fluet

Katherine A. Pongracz

ASSISTANT CASHIERS

Janet Verdura

Stephanie Villari

Shareholder Information

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES

975 Hooper Avenue
Toms River, NJ 08754-2009
(732)240-4500
www.oceanfirst.com

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held on May 6, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. at Crystal Point Yacht Club, 3900 River Road, Point Pleasant, New Jersey.

INVESTOR RELATIONS

Copies of the Company's earnings releases and financial publications, including the annual report on Form 10-K (without exhibits) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission are available without charge by contacting:

Jill Apito Hewitt, Senior Vice President,
Extension 7516 or
investorrelations@oceanfirst.com

STOCK TRANSFER AND REGISTRAR

Shareholders wishing to change the name, address or ownership of stock, to report lost certificates or to consolidate accounts are asked to contact the Company's stock registrar and transfer agent directly:

American Stock Transfer & Trust Co.

Shareholder Relations Department
59 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(800)937-5449

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

KPMG LLP
51 John F. Kennedy Parkway
Short Hills, NJ 07078



OceanFirst Financial Corp.
975 Hooper Avenue
Toms River, NJ 08754-2009
732-240-4500

www.oceanfirst.com

NASDAQ • OCFC

Member FDIC • Equal Housing Lender  • Equal Opportunity Lender