

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-11713

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

22-3412577
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

975 Hooper Avenue, Toms River, NJ
(Address of principal executive offices)

08754-2009
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (732)240-4500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ☒ NO ☐ .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES ☐ NO ☐ .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer	☐	Accelerated Filer	☒
Non-accelerated Filer	☐	Smaller Reporting Company	☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES ☐ NO ☒ .

As of August 5, 2010, there were 18,822,556 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	<u>PAGE</u>
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	1
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	2
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	21
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	22
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 1A. Risk Factors	22
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	23
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	23
Item 4. Removed and Reserved	23
Item 5. Other Information	23
Item 6. Exhibits	24
Signatures	25

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp. Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	June 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 30,952	\$ 23,016
Investment securities available for sale	38,958	37,267
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost	21,404	19,434
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	359,974	213,622
Loans receivable, net	1,667,472	1,629,284
Mortgage loans held for sale	2,945	5,658
Interest and dividends receivable	6,949	6,059
Real estate owned, net	2,607	2,613
Premises and equipment, net	21,721	22,088
Servicing asset	5,795	6,515
Bank Owned Life Insurance	40,374	39,970
Other assets	20,531	24,502
Total assets	<u>\$2,219,682</u>	<u>\$2,030,028</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits	\$1,539,972	\$1,364,199
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase with retail customers	72,433	64,573
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	370,000	333,000
Other borrowings	27,500	27,500
Due to brokers	—	40,684
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	8,267	7,453
Other liabilities	6,682	9,083
Total liabilities	<u>2,024,854</u>	<u>1,846,492</u>
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, \$1,000 liquidation preference, 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 55,000,000 shares authorized, 33,566,772 shares issued and 18,822,556 and 18,821,956, shares outstanding at June 30, 2010 and at December 31, 2009, respectively	336	336
Additional paid-in capital	260,138	260,130
Retained earnings	168,038	163,063
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,597)	(10,753)
Less: Unallocated common stock held by Employee Stock Ownership Plan	(4,630)	(4,776)
Treasury stock, 14,744,216 and 14,744,816 shares at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	(224,457)	(224,464)
Common stock acquired by Deferred Compensation Plan	947	986
Deferred Compensation Plan Liability	(947)	(986)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>194,828</u>	<u>183,536</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$2,219,682</u>	<u>\$2,030,028</u>

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Interest income:				
Loans	\$22,226	\$22,791	\$44,209	\$45,963
Mortgage-backed securities	3,185	873	5,947	1,641
Investment securities and other	396	552	726	1,002
Total interest income	<u>25,807</u>	<u>24,216</u>	<u>50,882</u>	<u>48,606</u>
Interest expense:				
Deposits	3,480	4,777	6,911	9,873
Borrowed funds	<u>2,630</u>	<u>3,285</u>	<u>5,305</u>	<u>6,918</u>
Total interest expense	<u>6,110</u>	<u>8,062</u>	<u>12,216</u>	<u>16,791</u>
Net interest income	19,697	16,154	38,666	31,815
Provision for loan losses	<u>2,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>4,400</u>	<u>2,000</u>
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	<u>17,497</u>	<u>14,954</u>	<u>34,266</u>	<u>29,815</u>
Other income:				
Loan servicing income (loss)	113	9	159	(221)
Fees and service charges	2,801	2,585	5,358	5,103
Net gain on sales of loans and securities available for sale	502	1,352	1,005	2,025
Net (loss) gain from other real estate operations	(28)	6	(364)	5
Income from Bank Owned Life Insurance	208	201	404	431
Other	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>
Total other income	<u>3,598</u>	<u>4,155</u>	<u>6,566</u>	<u>7,349</u>
Operating expenses:				
Compensation and employee benefits	7,051	5,738	13,581	11,565
Occupancy	1,328	1,814	2,792	3,289
Equipment	537	501	1,012	950
Marketing	523	380	827	704
Federal deposit insurance	686	1,405	1,320	1,907
Data processing	833	858	1,662	1,693
Legal	267	520	563	1,097
Check card processing	309	254	626	505
Accounting and audit	179	171	322	331
General and administrative	<u>1,547</u>	<u>1,599</u>	<u>3,256</u>	<u>2,982</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>13,260</u>	<u>13,240</u>	<u>25,961</u>	<u>25,023</u>
Income before provision for income taxes	7,835	5,869	14,871	12,141
Provision for income taxes	<u>2,884</u>	<u>2,270</u>	<u>5,515</u>	<u>4,589</u>
Net income	4,951	3,599	9,356	7,552
Dividends on preferred stock and warrant accretion	—	538	—	996
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 4,951</u>	<u>\$ 3,061</u>	<u>\$ 9,356</u>	<u>\$ 6,556</u>
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>	<u>\$ 0.52</u>	<u>\$ 0.56</u>
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>	<u>\$ 0.51</u>	<u>\$ 0.56</u>
Average basic shares outstanding	<u>18,135</u>	<u>11,710</u>	<u>18,133</u>	<u>11,703</u>
Average diluted shares outstanding	<u>18,183</u>	<u>11,757</u>	<u>18,182</u>	<u>11,750</u>

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of
Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Employee Stock Ownership Plan	Treasury Stock	Common Stock Acquired by Deferred Compensation Plan	Deferred Compensation Plan Liability	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ —	\$ 272	\$204,298	\$160,267	\$ (14,462)	\$ (5,069)	\$(225,523)	\$ 981	\$ (981)	\$119,783
Comprehensive income:										
Net income	—	—	—	7,552	—	—	—	—	—	7,552
Other comprehensive income:										
Unrealized gain on securities (net of tax expense \$764)	—	—	—	—	1,106	—	—	—	—	1,106
Total comprehensive income										8,658
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrants	36,921	—	1,342	—	—	—	—	—	—	38,263
Accretion of discount on preferred stock	119	—	—	(119)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Treasury stock allocated to restricted stock plan	—	—	(63)	(13)	—	—	76	—	—	—
Stock awards	—	—	311	—	—	—	—	—	—	311
Allocation of ESOP stock	—	—	—	—	—	146	—	—	—	146
ESOP adjustment	—	—	61	—	—	—	—	—	—	61
Cash dividend - \$0.40 per share	—	—	—	(4,707)	—	—	—	—	—	(4,707)
Cash dividend on preferred stock	245	—	—	(877)	—	—	—	—	—	(632)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	(15)	—	—	41	—	—	26
Sale of stock for the deferred compensation plan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(11)	11	—
Balance at June 30, 2009	<u>\$37,285</u>	<u>\$ 272</u>	<u>\$205,949</u>	<u>\$162,088</u>	<u>\$ (13,356)</u>	<u>\$ (4,923)</u>	<u>\$(225,406)</u>	<u>\$ 970</u>	<u>\$ (970)</u>	<u>\$161,909</u>
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ —	\$ 336	\$260,130	\$163,063	\$ (10,753)	\$ (4,776)	\$(224,464)	\$ 986	\$ (986)	\$183,536
Comprehensive income:										
Net income	—	—	—	9,356	—	—	—	—	—	9,356
Other comprehensive income:										
Unrealized gain on securities (net of tax expense \$4,144)	—	—	—	—	6,156	—	—	—	—	6,156
Total comprehensive income										15,512
Expenses of common stock offering	—	—	(109)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(109)
Tax expense of stock plans	—	—	(23)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23)
Stock awards	—	—	515	—	—	—	—	—	—	515
Redemption of warrants	—	—	(431)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(431)
Allocation of ESOP stock	—	—	—	—	—	146	—	—	—	146
ESOP adjustment	—	—	56	—	—	—	—	—	—	56
Cash dividend - \$0.24 per share	—	—	—	(4,381)	—	—	—	—	—	(4,381)
Exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	—	—	7
Sale of stock for the deferred compensation plan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(39)	39	—
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 336</u>	<u>\$260,138</u>	<u>\$168,038</u>	<u>\$ (4,597)</u>	<u>\$ (4,630)</u>	<u>\$(224,457)</u>	<u>\$ 947</u>	<u>\$ (947)</u>	<u>\$194,828</u>

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (dollars in thousands)

	For the six months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 9,356	\$ 7,552
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	1,065	972
Allocation of ESOP stock	146	146
ESOP adjustment	56	61
Stock awards	515	311
Amortization and impairment of servicing asset	1,006	1,387
Net premium amortization in excess of discount accretion on securities	672	281
Net amortization of deferred costs and discounts on loans	432	442
Provision for loan losses	4,400	2,000
Net gain on sale of real estate owned	(29)	(45)
Recovery from reserve for repurchased loans	—	(245)
Net gain on sales of loans and securities	(1,005)	(1,780)
Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held for sale	50,872	132,163
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(47,441)	(140,926)
Increase in value of Bank Owned Life Insurance	(404)	(431)
Increase in interest and dividends receivable	(890)	(113)
Increase in other assets	(173)	(529)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(2,401)	5,261
Total adjustments	6,821	(1,045)
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,177	6,507
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net (increase) decrease in loans receivable	(43,689)	1,491
Proceeds from maturity or sale of investment securities available for sale	303	1,823
Purchase of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(203,481)	(59,468)
Principal payments on mortgage-backed securities available for sale	24,403	11,699
Purchase of investment securities available for sale	(323)	—
(Increase) decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	(1,970)	4,722
Proceeds from sales of real estate owned	704	579
Purchases of premises and equipment	(698)	(852)
Net cash used in investing activities	(224,751)	(40,006)

continued

Table of Contents

OceanFirst Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)
(dollars in thousands)

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)	
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Increase in deposits	\$175,773	\$ 90,438
Decrease in short-term borrowings	(29,140)	(52,076)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	119,000	28,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(45,000)	(63,000)
Increase in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	814	1,135
Exercise of stock options	7	26
Dividends paid – common stock	(4,381)	(4,707)
Dividends paid – preferred stock	—	(632)
Redemption of warrants	(431)	—
Tax expense of stock plans	(23)	—
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and warrants	—	38,263
Expenses of common stock offering	(109)	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>216,510</u>	<u>37,447</u>
Net increase in cash and due from banks	7,936	3,948
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	<u>23,016</u>	<u>18,475</u>
Cash and due from banks at end of period	<u>\$ 30,952</u>	<u>\$ 22,423</u>
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 12,206	\$ 17,133
Income taxes	5,805	4,868
Non cash activities:		
Transfer of loans receivable to real estate owned	<u>669</u>	<u>741</u>

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

OceanFirst Financial Corp.
Notes To Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, OceanFirst Bank (the “Bank”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Columbia Home Loans, LLC (“Columbia”), OceanFirst REIT Holdings, Inc., OceanFirst Services, LLC and 975 Holdings, LLC. 975 Holdings, LLC was established in the second quarter of 2010 as a wholly owned service corporation of the Bank for the purpose of taking legal possession of collateral repossessed as a result of commercial loan workout, foreclosure, judicial decree or court order for resale to third parties. The operations of Columbia were shuttered in late 2007.

The interim consolidated financial statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for all of 2010. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the statements of financial condition and the results of operations for the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Note 2. Earnings per Share

The following reconciles shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted average shares issued net of Treasury shares	18,822	12,369	18,822	12,366
Less: Unallocated ESOP shares	(553)	(588)	(558)	(592)
Unallocated incentive award shares and shares held by deferred compensation plan	(134)	(71)	(131)	(71)
Average basic shares outstanding	18,135	11,710	18,133	11,703
Add: Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	—	1	—	1
Incentive awards and shares held by deferred compensation plan	48	46	49	46
Average diluted shares outstanding	<u>18,183</u>	<u>11,757</u>	<u>18,182</u>	<u>11,750</u>

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, antidilutive stock options of 1,904,000 and 1,644,000, respectively, were excluded from earnings per share calculations. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 antidilutive stock options of 1,840,000 and 1,620,000, respectively, were excluded from earnings per share calculations.

Note 3. Investment Securities Available for Sale

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Estimated
	Cost	Unrealized	Unrealized	Market
		Gains	Losses	Value
June 30, 2010				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 323	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 324
State and municipal obligations	300	—	—	300
Corporate debt securities	55,000	—	(16,970)	38,030
Equity investments	370	—	(66)	304
	<u>\$ 55,993</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$(17,036)</u>	<u>\$38,958</u>

Table of Contents

	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Estimated
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Market Value
December 31, 2009				
U.S. agency obligations	\$ 301	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ 306
State and municipal obligations	300	—	—	300
Corporate debt securities	55,000	—	(18,631)	36,369
Equity investments	370	—	(78)	292
	<u>\$ 55,971</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$(18,709)</u>	<u>\$37,267</u>

There were no realized gains or losses on the sale of investment securities available for sale for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company realized a loss on investment securities available for sale of \$4,000. There were no realized gains or losses on the sale of investment securities available for sale for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

The amortized cost and estimated market value of investment securities available for sale, excluding equity investments, at June 30, 2010 by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. At June 30, 2010, investment securities available for sale with an amortized cost and estimated market value of \$55.0 million and \$38.0 million, respectively, were callable prior to the maturity date.

	Amortized	Estimated
	Cost	Market Value
June 30, 2010		
Less than one year	\$ 300	\$ 300
Due after one year through five years	323	324
Due after five years through ten years	—	—
Due after ten years	55,000	38,030
	<u>\$ 55,623</u>	<u>\$38,654</u>

The estimated market value and unrealized loss for investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 segregated by the duration of the unrealized loss are as follows (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized
	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses
June 30, 2010						
Corporate debt securities	\$ —	\$ —	\$38,030	\$(16,970)	\$38,030	\$(16,970)
Equity investments	—	—	304	(66)	304	(66)
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$38,334</u>	<u>\$(17,036)</u>	<u>\$38,334</u>	<u>\$(17,036)</u>
	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized
	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses
December 31, 2009						
Corporate debt securities	\$ —	\$ —	\$36,369	\$(18,631)	\$36,369	\$(18,631)
Equity investments	292	(78)	—	—	292	(78)
	<u>\$ 292</u>	<u>\$ (78)</u>	<u>\$36,369</u>	<u>\$(18,631)</u>	<u>\$36,661</u>	<u>\$(18,709)</u>

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2010, the amortized cost, estimated market value and credit rating of the individual corporate debt securities in an unrealized loss position for greater than one year are as follows (in thousands):

Security Description	Amortized	Estimated	Credit
	Cost	Market Value	Rating Moody's/S&P
BankAmerica Capital	\$ 15,000	\$ 9,832	Baa3/BB
Chase Capital	10,000	7,513	A2/BBB+
Wells Fargo Capital	5,000	3,503	Baa1/A-
Huntington Capital	5,000	2,845	Ba1/B
Keycorp Capital	5,000	3,216	Baa3/BB
PNC Capital	5,000	3,835	Baa2/BBB
State Street Capital	5,000	3,856	A3/BBB+
SunTrust Capital	5,000	3,430	Baa3/BB
	<u>\$ 55,000</u>	<u>\$38,030</u>	

At June 30, 2010, the market value of each corporate debt security was below cost. The portfolio consisted of eleven \$5.0 million issues spread between eight issuers due to consolidation. The corporate debt securities are issued by financial institutions with credit ratings ranging from a high of A2 to a low of B as rated by one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services. These floating-rate corporate debt securities were purchased during the period May 1998 to September 1998 and have paid coupon interest continuously since issuance. Floating-rate corporate debt securities such as these pay a fixed interest rate spread over LIBOR. Following the purchase of these securities, the required spread increased for these types of securities causing a decline in the market price. The Company concluded that these available for sale securities were only temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010. In concluding that the impairments were only temporary, the Company considered several factors in its analysis. Although some credit ratings declined since December 31, 2009, the estimated market value for most securities improved over the prior year-end. Additionally, the Company noted that each issuer made all the contractually due payments when required. There were no defaults on principal or interest payments and no interest payments were deferred. All of the financial institutions were also considered well-capitalized under regulatory guidelines and each issuer was able to raise capital during 2009. Based on management's analysis of each individual security, the issuers appear to have the ability to meet debt service requirements for the foreseeable future. Furthermore, although these investment securities are available for sale, the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities. The Company has held the securities continuously since 1998 and expects to receive its full principal at maturity in 2028. The Company has historically not actively sold investment securities.

Capital markets in general and the market for these corporate debt securities in particular have been disrupted since the second half of 2007. In its analysis, the Company considered that the severity and duration of unrecognized losses was at least partly due to the illiquidity caused by market disruptions. Steps taken by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Reserve Bank, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and foreign central banks, among others, have been a positive force in restoring liquidity and confidence in the capital markets.

Due to the reasons noted above, especially the continuing restoration of the capital markets, the improved valuation of the corporate securities, the capital position of the issuers, the uninterrupted payment of all contractually due interest, management has determined that only a temporary impairment existed at June 30, 2010.

Note 4. Mortgage-Backed Securities Available for Sale

The amortized cost and estimated market value of mortgage-backed securities available for sale at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Estimated
	Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Market Value
June 30, 2010				
FHLMC	\$ 9,156	\$ 443	\$ —	\$ 9,599
FNMA	340,453	8,661	—	349,114
GNMA	1,102	159	—	1,261
	<u>\$350,711</u>	<u>\$ 9,263</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$359,974</u>

Table of Contents

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
December 31, 2009				
FHLMC	\$ 12,423	\$ 442	\$ —	\$ 12,865
FNMA	199,381	1,517	(1,485)	199,413
GNMA	1,185	159	—	1,344
	<u>\$212,989</u>	<u>\$ 2,118</u>	<u>\$ (1,485)</u>	<u>\$213,622</u>

There were no gains or losses realized on the sale of mortgage-backed securities available for sale for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

The contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities available for sale vary; however, the effective lives are expected to be shorter than the contractual maturity date due to principal prepayments.

The estimated market value and unrealized loss for mortgage-backed securities available for sale at December 31, 2009, segregated by the duration of the unrealized loss are as follows (in thousands). There were no unrealized losses at June 30, 2010.

	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized
	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses	Market Value	Losses
December 31, 2009						
FNMA	<u>\$95,655</u>	<u>\$ (1,485)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$95,655</u>	<u>\$ (1,485)</u>

The mortgage-backed securities are issued and guaranteed by FNMA, a corporation which is chartered by the United States Government and whose debt obligations are typically rated AAA by one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services. FNMA has been under the conservatorship of the Federal Housing Financial Agency since September 8, 2008. The conservatorship has no specified termination date. Also, FNMA has entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement, which following the issuance of Senior Preferred Stock and Warrants to the United States Treasury, provides FNMA funding commitments from the United States Treasury. The Company considers the unrealized losses to be the result of changes in interest rates which over time can have both a positive and negative impact on the estimated market value of the mortgage-backed securities. Although these mortgage-backed securities are available for sale, the Company does not intend to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost. As a result, the Company concluded that these available for sale securities were only temporarily impaired.

Note 5. Loans Receivable, Net

Loans receivable, net at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Real estate:		
One-to-four family	\$ 957,208	\$ 954,736
Commercial real estate, multi-family and land	425,877	396,883
Construction	10,527	9,241
Consumer	214,178	217,290
Commercial	77,876	70,214
Total loans	1,685,666	1,648,364
Loans in process	(2,996)	(3,466)
Deferred origination costs, net	4,893	4,767
Allowance for loan losses	(17,146)	(14,723)
Total loans, net	1,670,417	1,634,942
Less: Mortgage loans held for sale	2,945	5,658
Loans receivable, net	<u>\$1,667,472</u>	<u>\$ 1,629,284</u>

Table of Contents

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$15,632	\$12,019	\$14,723	\$11,665
Provision charged to operations	2,200	1,200	4,400	2,000
Charge-offs	(708)	(461)	(2,089)	(914)
Recoveries	22	—	112	7
Balance at end of period	<u>\$17,146</u>	<u>\$12,758</u>	<u>\$17,146</u>	<u>\$12,758</u>

Note 6. Reserve for Repurchased Loans

An analysis of the reserve for repurchased loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in thousands). The reserve is included in other liabilities in the accompanying statements of financial condition.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 819	\$ 1,109	\$819	\$1,143
Recoveries	—	(211)	—	(245)
Loss on loans repurchased	(10)	(63)	(10)	(63)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 809</u>	<u>\$ 835</u>	<u>\$809</u>	<u>\$ 835</u>

The reserve for repurchased loans was established to provide for expected losses related to outstanding loan repurchase requests and additional repurchase requests which may be received on loans previously sold to investors. In establishing the reserve for repurchased loans, the Company considered all types of sold loans. At June 30, 2010, there is one outstanding loan repurchase request on a loan with a principal balance of \$250,000 which the Company is evaluating. There are also seven claims from one loan investor totaling \$2.8 million that the Company believes are covered by a settlement agreement and release between Columbia and the loan investor executed in August 2007. The Company intends to vigorously contest these claims and believes there are valid defenses, including the settlement and release agreement.

Note 7. Deposits

The major types of deposits at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

Type of Account	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Non-interest-bearing	\$ 136,517	\$ 107,721
Interest-bearing checking	748,776	615,347
Money market deposit	105,354	96,886
Savings	243,228	232,081
Time deposits	306,097	312,164
Total deposits	<u>\$1,539,972</u>	<u>\$ 1,364,199</u>

Note 8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Certification ("ASC") 810, *Consolidation*, replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable-interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable-interest entity that most significantly effect the entity's economic performance and (i) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (ii) the right to receive benefits from the entity. The pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing*, improves the information a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets, including the effect of a transfer on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows and the transferor's continuing involvement in the transferred assets. ASC 860 eliminates the concept of a qualifying, special-purpose entity and changes the guidance for evaluation for consolidation. This pronouncement was effective January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06 under ASC 820 requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. Specifically, the update requires an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for such transfers. A reporting entity is required to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, the update clarifies the following requirements of the existing disclosure: (i) for the purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets; and (ii) a reporting entity is required to include disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. The amendments are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The new guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements other than additional disclosures.

Accounting Standards Update 2010-20, amends ASC Topic 310 (Receivables) to require significant new disclosures about the credit quality of financial receivables/loans and the allowance for credit losses. The objective of the new disclosures is to improve financial statement users' understanding of (1) the nature of an entity's credit risk associated with its financing receivables, and (2) the entity's assessment of that risk in estimating its allowance for credit losses, as well as changes in the allowance and the reasons for those changes. The disclosures are to be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio's risk and performance (either by portfolio segment or by class of financing receivables). The required disclosures include, among other things, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment, as well as information about credit quality indicators and modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans. The disclosures related to period-end information (e.g., credit-quality information and the ending financing receivables balance segregated by impairment method) will be required in all interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010 (December 31, 2010 for the Company). Disclosures of activity that occurs during a reporting period (e.g., loan modifications and the rollforward of the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment) will be required in interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010 (January 1, 2011 for the Company).

Note 9. Recent Legislative Update

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law on July 21, 2010. This new law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on the Company. For example, the new law provides that the Office of Thrift Supervision, which is currently the primary federal regulator for the Company and its subsidiary, OceanFirst Bank, will cease to exist one year from the date of the new law's enactment. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which is currently the primary federal regulator for national banks, will become the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System will supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including the Company.

Also effective one year after the date of enactment is a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act that eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on the Company's interest expense. The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution.

The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013.

The Dodd-Frank Act will require publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called "golden parachute" payments, and authorizes the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company's proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not.

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Savings institutions such as OceanFirst Bank with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators.

The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act includes a series of provisions covering mortgage loan origination standards affecting, among other things, originator compensation, minimum repayment standards, and pre-payments. The Dodd-Frank Act also directs the Federal Reserve to issue rules which are expected to limit debit-card interchange fees.

Unlike bank holding companies, thrift holding companies, including the Company, are not currently subject to consolidated capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act immediately authorizes the Office of Thrift Supervision and its successor regulator of thrift holding companies, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, to promulgate capital requirements for all thrift holding companies, including the Company. The Dodd-Frank Act also extends the “source of strength” doctrine to include thrift holding companies, including the Company. The federal banking regulatory agencies are required to issue joint rules within two years of enactment of the Dodd-Frank act requiring that all bank and thrift holding companies serve as a source of strength for any depository institution subsidiary.

Five years after the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, thrift holding companies that were not subject to the authority of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve as of May 19, 2010, including the Company, will be subject to the following (i) minimum capital requirements for thrift holding companies that can be no lower than the generally applicable capital requirements that were in effect for insured depositories as of the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act; (ii) any trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 are removed as a permitted component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital; (iii) for holding companies with \$15 billion or more in consolidated assets, any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010 will no longer be a permitted component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital after a three-year phase-in period which begins January 1, 2013; and (iv) for holding companies, such as the Company, with less than \$15 billion in consolidated assets, any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010 may remain a component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital.

It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase the Company’s operating and compliance costs and could also increase interest expense.

Note 10. Fair Value Measurements

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Total Fair Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
June 30, 2010				
Items measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Corporate debt securities	\$ 38,030	\$ —	\$ 38,030	\$ —
Other securities	928	628	300	—
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	359,974	—	359,974	—
Items measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Real estate owned	577	—	—	577
Loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral	1,153	—	—	1,153

Table of Contents

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Total Fair Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
December 31, 2009				
Items measured on a recurring basis:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Corporate debt securities	\$ 36,369	\$ —	\$ 36,369	\$ —
Other securities	898	598	300	—
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	213,622	—	213,622	—
Items measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Real estate owned	2,613	—	—	2,613
Loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral	499	—	—	499

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

Transfers between levels are recognized at the end of the reporting period. Securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 and Level 2 inputs. Most of the Company's investment and mortgage-backed securities are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but are bought and sold in active markets. Prices for these instruments are obtained through third party pricing vendors or security industry sources that actively participate in the buying and selling of securities. Prices obtained from these sources include market quotation and matrix pricing. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities.

The Company utilizes third party pricing services to obtain estimated market values for its corporate bonds. Management's policy is to obtain and review all available documentation from the third party pricing service relating to their market and value determinations, including their methodology and summary of inputs. Management reviews this documentation, makes inquiries of the third party pricing service and makes a determination as to the level of valuation inputs. Based on the Company's review of available documentation and discussions with the third party pricing service, management concluded that Level 2 inputs were utilized. The significant observable inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, benchmark securities and observations of equity and credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Real estate owned and loans measured for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral are recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. Fair value is based on independent appraisals.

Note 11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial instruments.

Cash and Due from Banks

For cash and due from banks, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Investments and Mortgage-Backed Securities

Most of the Company's investment and mortgage-backed securities are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but are bought and sold in active markets. Prices for these instruments are obtained through third party pricing vendors or security industry sources that actively participate in the buying and selling of securities. Prices obtained from these sources include market quotations and matrix pricing. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value certain securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but comparing the securities to benchmark or comparable securities.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York Stock

The fair value for Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB") stock is its carrying value since this is the amount for which it could be redeemed. There is no active market for this stock and the Company is required to maintain a minimum investment based upon the outstanding balance of mortgage related assets and outstanding borrowings.

Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as residential mortgage, construction, consumer and commercial. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms.

Table of Contents

Fair value of performing and non-performing loans was estimated by discounting the future cash flows, net of estimated prepayments, at a rate for which similar loans would be originated to new borrowers with similar terms. Fair values estimated in this manner do not fully incorporate an exit price approach to fair value, but instead are based on a comparison to current market rates for comparable loans.

Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, and interest-bearing checking accounts and money market accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand. The related insensitivity of the majority of these deposits to interest rate changes creates a significant inherent value which is not reflected in the fair value reported. The fair value of time deposits are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowed Funds

Fair value estimates are based on discounting contractual cash flows using rates which approximate the rates offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Sell Loans

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates.

The estimated fair values of the Bank's significant financial instruments as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are presented in the following tables (in thousands).

	<u>Book Value</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
June 30, 2010		
Financial Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 30,952	\$ 30,952
Investment securities available for sale	38,958	38,958
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	359,974	359,974
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	21,404	21,404
Loans receivable and mortgage loans held for sale	1,670,417	1,674,948
Financial Liabilities:		
Deposits	1,539,972	1,544,597
Borrowed funds	<u>469,933</u>	<u>475,127</u>
December 31, 2009		
Financial Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 23,016	\$ 23,016
Investment securities available for sale	37,267	37,267
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	213,622	213,622
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	19,434	19,434
Loans receivable and mortgage loans held for sale	1,634,942	1,628,898
Financial Liabilities:		
Deposits	1,364,199	1,366,206
Borrowed funds	<u>425,073</u>	<u>427,061</u>

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because a limited market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other significant unobservable inputs. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets or liabilities include deferred tax assets, and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**Critical Accounting Policies**

Note 1 to the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as supplemented by this report, contains a summary of significant accounting policies. Various elements of these accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain assets are carried in the consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value or the lower of cost or fair value. Policies with respect to the methodologies used to determine the allowance for loan losses, the reserve for repurchased loans and the valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights and judgments regarding securities impairment are the most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company's financial condition and results of operations. These judgments and policies involve a higher degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions and estimates could result in material differences in the results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and their application are reviewed periodically and, at least annually, with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Summary

The Company's results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The Company also generates non-interest income such as income from loan sales, loan servicing, loan originations, merchant credit card services, deposit accounts, the sale of alternative investments, trust and asset management services and other fees. The Company's operating expenses primarily consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, marketing, data processing, Federal deposit insurance and general and administrative expenses. The Company's results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory agencies.

Throughout 2009, and continuing into 2010, short-term interest rates remained low and the interest rate yield curve was unusually steep. This environment has generally had a positive impact on the Bank's results of operations and net interest margin. Interest-earning assets, both loans and securities, are generally priced against longer-term indices, while interest-bearing liabilities, primarily deposits and borrowings, are generally priced against shorter-term indices. The overall economy remains weak with continued high unemployment coupled with concern surrounding the housing market. These conditions have had an adverse impact on the Bank's results of operations as non-performing loans and the provision for loan losses have increased.

Table of Contents

Analysis of Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the difference between income on interest-earning assets and expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income depends upon the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rate earned or paid on them.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods shown except where noted otherwise. Average balances are derived from average daily balances. The yields and costs include certain fees which are considered adjustments to yields.

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,					
	2010			2009		
	AVERAGE BALANCE	INTEREST	AVERAGE YIELD/ COST (Dollars in thousands)	AVERAGE BALANCE	INTEREST	AVERAGE YIELD/ COST
Assets						
Interest-earning assets:						
Investment securities (1)	\$ 55,975	\$ 141	1.01%	\$ 55,822	\$ 288	2.06%
FHLB stock	24,189	255	4.22	17,117	264	6.17
Mortgage-backed securities (1)	360,030	3,185	3.54	93,215	873	3.75
Loans receivable, net (2)	1,643,066	22,226	5.41	1,650,217	22,791	5.52
Total interest-earning assets	2,083,260	25,807	4.96	1,816,371	24,216	5.33
Non-interest-earning assets	110,944			85,951		
Total assets	<u>\$2,194,204</u>			<u>\$1,902,322</u>		
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Transaction deposits	\$1,031,378	2,063	.80	\$ 885,946	2,504	1.13
Time deposits	305,179	1,417	1.86	353,608	2,273	2.57
Total	1,336,557	3,480	1.04	1,239,554	4,777	1.54
Borrowed funds	530,071	2,630	1.98	375,891	3,285	3.50
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,866,628	6,110	1.31	1,615,445	8,062	2.00
Non-interest-bearing deposits	126,745			111,895		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	12,900			17,668		
Total liabilities	2,006,273			1,745,008		
Stockholders' equity	187,931			157,314		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$2,194,204</u>			<u>\$1,902,322</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 19,697</u>			<u>\$ 16,154</u>	
Net interest rate spread (3)			<u>3.65%</u>			<u>3.33%</u>
Net interest margin (4)			<u>3.78%</u>			<u>3.56%</u>

	FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,					
	2010			2009		
	AVERAGE BALANCE	INTEREST	AVERAGE YIELD/ COST (Dollars in thousands)	AVERAGE BALANCE	INTEREST	AVERAGE YIELD/ COST
Assets						
Interest-earning assets:						
Investment securities (1)	\$ 55,973	\$ 268	.96%	\$ 55,978	\$ 589	2.10%
FHLB stock	24,236	458	3.78	18,104	413	4.56
Mortgage-backed securities (1)	333,924	5,947	3.56	84,899	1,641	3.87
Loans receivable, net (2)	1,638,013	44,209	5.40	1,651,158	45,963	5.57
Total interest-earning assets	2,052,146	50,882	4.96	1,810,139	48,606	5.37
Non-interest-earning assets	109,330			85,903		
Total assets	<u>\$2,161,476</u>			<u>\$1,896,042</u>		
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Transaction deposits	\$ 998,499	4,046	.81	\$ 865,581	5,157	1.19
Time deposits	305,702	2,865	1.87	356,854	4,716	2.64
Total	1,304,201	6,911	1.06	1,222,435	9,873	1.62
Borrowed funds	533,795	5,305	1.99	393,447	6,918	3.52

Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,837,996	<u>12,216</u>	<u>1.33</u>	1,615,882	<u>16,791</u>	<u>2.08</u>
Non-interest-bearing deposits	120,131			108,629		
Non-interest-bearing liabilities	<u>17,694</u>			<u>17,308</u>		
Total liabilities	1,975,821			1,741,819		
Stockholders' equity	<u>185,655</u>			<u>154,223</u>		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$2,161,476</u>			<u>\$1,896,042</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 38,666</u>			<u>\$ 31,815</u>	
Net interest rate spread (3)			<u>3.63%</u>			<u>3.29%</u>
Net interest margin (4)			<u>3.77%</u>			<u>3.52%</u>

(1) Amounts are recorded at average amortized cost.

(2) Amount is net of deferred loan fees, undisbursed loan funds, discounts and premiums and estimated loss allowances and includes loans held for sale and non-performing loans.

(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on interest - earning assets and the cost of interest - bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average interest - earning assets.

Table of Contents

Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

Total assets at June 30, 2010 were \$2.220 billion, an increase of \$189.7 million, compared to \$2.030 billion at December 31, 2009.

Mortgage-backed securities available for sale increased to \$360.0 million at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$213.6 million at December 31, 2009 primarily due to purchases of \$162.8 million, all of which were issued by U.S. government sponsored enterprises.

Loans receivable, net increased by \$38.2 million to a balance of \$1.667 billion at June 30, 2010, compared to a balance of \$1.629 billion at December 31, 2009. The growth was concentrated in commercial real estate and commercial loans.

Deposit balances increased \$175.8 million to \$1.540 billion at June 30, 2010 from \$1.364 billion at December 31, 2009. Core deposits, defined as all deposits excluding time deposits, increased \$181.8 million partly offset by a \$6.1 million decrease in time deposits as the Bank continued to moderate its pricing for this product. Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased by \$37.0 million to \$370.0 million at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$333.0 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in core deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances were primarily used to fund the increase in mortgage-backed securities.

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2010 increased to \$194.8 million, as compared to \$183.5 million at December 31, 2009, primarily due to net income and a reduction in accumulated other comprehensive loss partly offset by the cash dividend on common stock.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009

General

Net income available to common stockholders for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$5.0 million or \$0.27 per diluted share, as compared to net income available to common stockholders of \$3.1 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share, for the corresponding prior year period. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net income available to common stockholders was \$9.4 million or \$0.51 per diluted share, as compared to net income available to common stockholders of \$6.6 million or \$0.56 per diluted share for the corresponding prior year period. For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 diluted earnings per share reflects the higher number of average diluted shares outstanding from the issuance of additional common shares in November 2009.

Interest Income

Interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$25.8 million and \$50.9 million, respectively, as compared to \$24.2 million and \$48.6 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The yield on interest-earning assets declined to 4.96% for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 5.33% and 5.37%, respectively, for the same prior year periods. Average interest-earning assets increased by \$266.9 million and \$242.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same prior year periods. The increase was primarily in average mortgage-backed securities which rose \$266.8 million and \$249.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$6.1 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, compared to \$8.1 million and \$16.8 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.31% and 1.33%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 2.00% and 2.08%, respectively, in the same prior year periods. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$251.2 million and \$222.1 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same prior year periods. The increase was primarily in average borrowed funds which increased \$154.2 million and \$140.3 million, respectively, and average transaction deposits which increased \$145.4 million and \$132.9 million, respectively, partly offset by a decrease in average time deposits of \$48.4 million and \$51.2 million, respectively. The additional borrowings and transaction deposits were used to fund the increase in mortgage-backed securities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 increased to \$19.7 million and \$38.7 million, respectively, as compared to \$16.2 million and \$31.8 million, respectively, in the same prior year periods reflecting a higher net interest margin and higher levels of interest-earning assets. The net interest margin increased to 3.78% and 3.77%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 from 3.56% and 3.52%, respectively, in the same prior year periods.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Losses

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$2.2 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, compared to \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, in the same prior year periods primarily due to the increase in non-performing loans and net charge-offs and partially due to higher loan balances and continued economic stress. Non-performing loans increased \$893,000 at June 30, 2010 to \$29.2 million from \$28.3 million at December 31, 2009. Net charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$2.0 million, as compared to \$907,000 in the same prior year period. Net charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included \$1.1 million relating to loans originated by Columbia, the Company's mortgage banking subsidiary which has since been shuttered.

Other Income

Other income decreased to \$3.6 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$4.2 million and \$7.3 million, respectively, in the same prior year periods. Loan servicing income (loss) increased to income of \$159,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from a loss of \$221,000 in the same prior year period due to an impairment to the loan servicing asset of \$263,000 recognized in the first quarter of 2009. Fees and service charges increased to \$2.8 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$2.6 million and \$5.1 million for the corresponding prior year periods. The increase was due to higher fees from merchant services, checking accounts and trust services partly offset by reduced fees from investment services. The net gain on sales of loans decreased to \$502,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, for the corresponding prior year periods due to a decline in the volume of loans sold. The net loss from other real estate operations was \$364,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to a gain of \$5,000 in the same prior year period due to current period write-downs in the value of properties previously acquired.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased to \$13.3 million and \$26.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$13.2 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, for the corresponding prior year periods. Compensation and employee benefits costs increased due to higher incentive compensation and stock plan expense. The increase was also due to the reduction in mortgage loan closings from prior year levels. Higher loan closings in the prior year increased deferred loan expense which is reflected as a reduction to compensation expense. Occupancy expense decreased by \$486,000 and \$497,000, respectively, from the prior periods due to a \$556,000 charge in the second quarter of 2009 relating to all remaining lease obligations of Columbia. Federal deposit insurance expense decreased by \$719,000 and \$587,000, respectively, from the prior periods due to a special assessment of \$869,000 in the second quarter of 2009.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$2.9 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to an expense of \$2.3 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, for the same prior year periods. The effective tax rate decreased slightly to 36.8% and 37.1%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 38.7% and 37.8%, respectively, in the same prior periods.

Dividends on Preferred Stock and Warrant Accretion

Dividends on preferred stock and warrant accretion totaled \$538,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to no amounts in the current year periods. The preferred stock was redeemed on December 30, 2009 and the related warrant was repurchased on February 3, 2010. The warrant repurchase had no effect on net income available to common stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sale of loans, FHLB and other borrowings and, to a lesser extent, investment maturities. While scheduled amortization of loans is a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Company has other sources of liquidity if a need for additional funds arises, including various lines of credit.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding overnight borrowings from the FHLB of \$100.0 million, as compared to \$87.0 million in overnight borrowings at December 31, 2009. The Company utilizes the overnight line to fund short-term liquidity needs. The Company had total FHLB borrowings, including overnight borrowings, of \$370.0 million at June 30, 2010, an increase from \$333.0 million at December 31, 2009.

The Company's cash needs for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were primarily satisfied by principal payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale, increased deposits and increased Federal Home Loan Bank advances. The cash was principally utilized for loan originations, the purchase of mortgage-backed

Table of Contents

securities and the repayment of short-term borrowings. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the cash needs of the Company were primarily satisfied by principal payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities, proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale, increased deposits and the issuance of preferred stock. The cash provided was principally used for loan originations, the purchase of mortgage-backed securities and to reduce borrowings.

In the normal course of business, the Company routinely enters into various off-balance-sheet commitments, primarily relating to the origination and sale of loans. At June 30, 2010, outstanding commitments to originate loans totaled \$64.1 million; outstanding unused lines of credit totaled \$207.2 million; and outstanding commitments to sell loans totaled \$58.6 million. The Company expects to have sufficient funds available to meet current commitments arising in the normal course of business.

Time deposits scheduled to mature in one year or less totaled \$197.1 million at June 30, 2010. Based upon historical experience management estimates that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with the Company.

Cash dividends on common stock declared and paid by OceanFirst Financial Corp. during the first six months of 2010 were \$4.4 million as compared to \$4.7 million in the same prior year period. On July 21, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of twelve cents (\$0.12) per common share. The dividend is payable on August 13, 2010 to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 2, 2010.

The primary sources of liquidity specifically available to OceanFirst Financial Corp., the holding company of OceanFirst Bank, are capital distributions from the banking subsidiary and the issuance of preferred and common stock, long-term debt and trust preferred securities. For the first six months of 2010, OceanFirst Financial Corp. received no dividend payments from OceanFirst Bank. OceanFirst Financial Corp.'s ability to continue to pay dividends will be partly dependent upon capital distributions from OceanFirst Bank which may be adversely affected by capital constraints imposed by the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS"). Pursuant to OTS regulations, a notice is required to be filed with the OTS prior to the Bank paying a dividend to OceanFirst Financial Corp. The OTS could object to a proposed capital distribution by any institution, which would otherwise be permitted by regulation, if the OTS determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. The Company cannot predict whether the OTS may object to any future notices or fail to approve any future applications to pay a dividend to OceanFirst Financial Corp. At June 30, 2010, OceanFirst Financial Corp. held \$25.6 million in cash and \$304,000 in investment securities available for sale.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank exceeded all of its regulatory capital requirements with tangible capital of \$193.8 million, or 8.7% of total adjusted assets, which is above the required level of \$33.4 million or 1.5%; core capital of \$193.8 million or 8.7% of total adjusted assets, which is above the required level of \$89.0 million, or 4.0% and risk-based capital of \$205.1 million, or 14.4% of risk-weighted assets, which is above the required level of \$114.2 million or 8.0%. The Bank is considered a "well-capitalized" institution under the OTS' Prompt Corrective Action Regulations.

At June 30, 2010, the Company maintained tangible common equity of \$194.8 million, for a tangible common equity to assets ratio of 8.8%.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used for general corporate purposes or for customer needs. Corporate purpose transactions are used to help manage credit, interest rate, and liquidity risk or to optimize capital. Customer transactions are used to manage customers' requests for funding. These financial instruments and commitments include unused consumer lines of credit and commitments to extend credit. The Company also has outstanding commitments to sell loans amounting to \$58.6 million.

The following table shows the contractual obligations of the Company by expected payment period as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

<u>Contractual Obligation</u>	More than				
	Total	Less than One year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years
Debt Obligations	\$469,933	\$258,433	\$95,000	\$89,000	\$ 27,500
Commitments to Originate Loans	64,113	64,113	—	—	—
Commitments to Fund Unused Lines of Credit	207,214	207,214	—	—	—

Commitments to originate loans and commitments to fund unused lines of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company's exposure to credit risk is represented by the contractual amount of the instruments.

Table of Contents

Non-Performing Assets

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's non-performing assets consisting of non-performing loans and Real Estate Owned ("REO"). It is the policy of the Company to cease accruing interest on loans 90 days or more past due or in the process of foreclosure.

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(dollars in thousands)	
Non-performing loans:		
Real estate – one-to-four family	\$21,246	\$ 19,142
Commercial real estate	2,831	5,152
Construction	368	368
Consumer	3,789	3,031
Commercial	979	627
Total non-performing loans	29,213	28,320
REO, net	2,607	2,613
Total non-performing assets	\$31,820	\$ 30,933
Delinquent loans 30-89 days	\$18,424	\$ 15,528
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans receivable	1.02%	.89%
Allowance for loan losses as percent of total non-performing loans	58.69	51.99
Non-performing loans as a percent of total loans receivable	1.73	1.72
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets	1.43	1.52

Excluded from non-performing loans at June 30, 2010 was a \$2.6 million loan which was 52 days delinquent and was included in the delinquent loans 30-89 days total. The borrower filed for bankruptcy protection subsequent to quarter-end. The Company established an \$800,000 specific reserve for this loan as of June 30, 2010 based upon all available information including the bankruptcy filing. Included in the non-accrual loan total at June 30, 2010 was \$167,000 of troubled debt restructured loans as compared to \$1.6 million at December 31, 2009. The non-performing loan total includes \$650,000 of repurchased one-to-four family and consumer loans and \$2.4 million of one-to-four family and consumer loans previously held for sale, which were written down to their fair market value in a prior period. Non-performing loans are concentrated in one-to-four family loans which comprise 72.7% of the total. At June 30, 2010, the average weighted loan-to-value ratio (using appraisal value at time of origination) of non-performing loans was 75% as compared to 58% for the total mortgage loan portfolio. The largest non-performing loan is a one-to-four family loan for \$3.5 million which is secured by a first mortgage on a property with an appraised value of \$4.1 million.

The Company also classifies loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$11.3 million designated as Special Mention, \$52.0 million classified as Substandard and \$1.5 million classified as Doubtful as compared to \$12.0 million, \$41.4 million and \$33,000, respectively, at December 31, 2009. The largest Special Mention loan at June 30, 2010 is comprised of two credit facilities to a large real estate agency with an aggregate balance of \$2.8 million which was current as to payments, but criticized due to operating results. The largest Substandard loan relationship is comprised of several credit facilities to a building supply company with an aggregate balance of \$9.3 million, which was current as to payments, but criticized due to declining revenue and poor operating results. The loans are well-secured by commercial real estate and other business assets. The largest Doubtful loan is a loan for \$2.6 million of which \$1.5 million is classified as Doubtful and \$1.1 million is classified as Substandard. The loan is delinquent and the borrower has filed for bankruptcy protection. The loan is secured by commercial real estate and also carries a personal guarantee. The Company has established an \$800,000 specific reserve for this loan. In addition to loan classifications, the Company classified investment securities with an amortized cost of \$30.0 million and a carrying value of \$19.3 million as Substandard, which represents the amount of investment securities with a credit rating below investment grade from one of the internationally-recognized credit rating services.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank was holding subprime loans with a gross principal balance of \$1.9 million and a carrying value, net of write-downs and lower of cost or market adjustment, of \$1.5 million, and ALT-A loans with a gross principal balance of \$4.0 million and a carrying value, net of write-downs and lower of cost or market adjustment, of \$3.8 million.

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act Safe Harbor Statement

In addition to historical information, this quarterly report contains certain forward-looking statements which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements

Table of Contents

are generally identified by use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “project,” or similar expressions. The Company’s ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and the subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Company’s market area and accounting principles and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on statements. The Company does not undertake - and specifically disclaims any obligation - to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events. Further description of the risks and uncertainties to the business are included in Item 1, Business and Item 1A, Risk Factors of the Company’s 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company’s interest rate sensitivity is monitored through the use of an interest rate risk (“IRR”) model. The following table sets forth the amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at June 30, 2010 which were anticipated by the Company, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in each of the future time periods shown. At June 30, 2010, the Company’s one-year gap was negative 1.23% as compared to negative 0.04% at December 31, 2009.

At June 30, 2010 (dollars in thousands)	3 Months Or Less	More than 3 Months to 1 Year	More than 1 Year to 3 Years	More than 3 Years to 5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total
Interest-earning assets: (1)						
Interest-earning deposits and short-term investments	\$ 9,517	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 9,517
Investment securities	55,000	300	323	—	370	55,993
FHLB stock	—	—	—	—	21,404	21,404
Mortgage-backed securities	16,771	47,333	108,203	86,714	91,690	350,711
Loans receivable (2)	310,546	459,323	567,376	214,072	131,353	1,682,670
Total interest-earning assets	391,834	506,956	675,902	300,786	244,817	2,120,295
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Money market deposit accounts	4,789	14,366	38,310	47,889	—	105,354
Savings accounts	10,990	34,415	87,921	109,902	—	243,228
Interest-bearing checking accounts	321,337	61,034	162,757	203,648	—	748,776
Time deposits	107,142	89,928	57,539	23,850	27,638	306,097
FHLB advances	140,000	46,000	95,000	89,000	—	370,000
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	72,433	—	—	—	—	72,433
Other borrowings	22,500	—	—	—	5,000	27,500
Total interest-bearing liabilities	679,191	245,743	441,527	474,289	32,638	1,873,388
Interest sensitivity gap (3)	\$(287,357)	\$261,213	\$234,375	\$(173,503)	\$212,179	\$ 246,907
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap	\$(287,357)	\$ (26,144)	\$208,231	\$ 34,728	\$246,907	\$ 246,907
Cumulative interest sensitivity gap as a percent of total interest-earning assets	(13.57)%	(1.23)%	9.83%	1.64%	11.52%	11.52%

- (1) Interest-earning assets are included in the period in which the balances are expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of anticipated prepayments, scheduled rate adjustments, and contractual maturities.
- (2) For purposes of the gap analysis, loans receivable includes loans held for sale and non-performing loans gross of the allowance for loan losses, unamortized discounts and deferred loan fees.
- (3) Interest sensitivity gap represents the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Additionally, the table below sets forth the Company’s exposure to interest rate risk as measured by the change in net portfolio value (“NPV”) and net interest income under varying rate shocks as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. All methods used to measure interest rate sensitivity involve the use of assumptions, which may tend to oversimplify the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. The Company’s interest rate sensitivity should be reviewed in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Change in Interest Rates in Basis Points (Rate Shock) (dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Net Portfolio Value		NPV Ratio	Net Interest Income		NPV Ratio
	Amount	% Change		Amount	% Change	
200	\$195,303	(11.4)%	9.2%	\$74,528	(5.4)%	9.9%
100	214,205	(2.8)	9.8	77,112	(2.2)	10.6
Static	220,488	—	9.9	78,816	—	10.9
(100)	211,596	(4.0)	9.4	74,972	(4.9)	10.5
(200)	213,485	(3.2)	9.5	69,580	(11.7)	10.1

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective. Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the SEC (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In addition, based on that evaluation, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is not engaged in any legal proceedings of a material nature at the present time. From time to time, the Company is a party to routine legal proceedings within the normal course of business. Such routine legal proceedings in the aggregate are believed by management to be immaterial to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

For a summary of risk factors relevant to the Company, see Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," in the 2009 Form 10-K. There were no material changes to risk factors relevant to the Company's operations since December 31, 2009 except as described below.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law on July 21, 2010. This new law will significantly change the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are expected to have a near term impact on the Company. For example, the new law provides that the Office of Thrift Supervision, which is currently the primary federal regulator for the Company and its subsidiary, OceanFirst Bank, will cease to exist one year from the date of the new law's enactment. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which is currently the primary federal regulator for national banks, will become the primary federal regulator for federal thrifts. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System will supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including the Company.

Also effective one year after the date of enactment is a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act that eliminates the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on the Company's interest expense. The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution.

Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, retroactive to January 1, 2009, and non-interest bearing transaction accounts have unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2013.

The Dodd-Frank Act will require publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called “golden parachute” payments, and authorizes the Securities and Exchange Commission to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company’s proxy materials. The legislation also directs the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not.

The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Savings institutions such as OceanFirst Bank with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators.

The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act includes a series of provisions covering mortgage loan origination standards affecting, among other things, originator compensation, minimum repayment standards, and pre-payments. The Dodd-Frank Act also directs the Federal Reserve to issue rules which are expected to limit debit-card interchange fees.

Unlike bank holding companies, thrift holding companies, including the Company, are not currently subject to consolidated capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act immediately authorizes the Office of Thrift Supervision and its successor regulator of thrift holding companies, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, to promulgate capital requirements for all thrift holding companies, including the Company. The Dodd-Frank Act also extends the “source of strength” doctrine to include thrift holding companies, including the Company. The federal banking regulatory agencies are required to issue joint rules within two years of enactment of the Dodd-Frank act requiring that all bank and thrift holding companies serve as a source of strength for any depository institution subsidiary.

Five years after the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act, thrift holding companies that were not subject to the authority of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve as of May 19, 2010, including the Company, will be subject to the following (i) minimum capital requirements for thrift holding companies that can be no lower than the generally applicable capital requirements that were in effect for insured depositories as of the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act; (ii) any trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 are removed as a permitted component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital; (iii) for holding companies with \$15 billion or more in consolidated assets, any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010 will no longer be a permitted component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital after a three-year phase-in period which begins January 1, 2013; and (iv) for holding companies, such as the Company, with less than \$15 billion in consolidated assets, any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010 may remain a component of a holding company’s Tier 1 capital.

It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase the Company’s operating and compliance costs and could also increase interest expense.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits:

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of OceanFirst Financial Corp.*
- 3.2 Bylaws of OceanFirst Financial Corp.**
- 4.0 Stock Certificate of OceanFirst Financial Corp.*
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.0 Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as added by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Incorporated herein by reference into this document from the Exhibits to Form S-1, Registration Statement, effective May 13, 1996, as amended, Registration No. 33-80123.

** Incorporated herein by reference into this document from the Exhibit to Form10-K, Annual Report, filed on March 25, 2003.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: August 9, 2010

OceanFirst Financial Corp.
Registrant

/s/ John R. Garbarino
John R. Garbarino
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

DATE: August 9, 2010

/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	27
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	28
32.0	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as added by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	29

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John R. Garbarino, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of OceanFirst Financial Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2010

/s/ John R. Garbarino
 John R. Garbarino
 Chief Executive Officer
 (principal executive officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Michael J. Fitzpatrick, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of OceanFirst Financial Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2010

/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADDED BY SECTION 906
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of OceanFirst Financial Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as added by §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. To my knowledge the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the period covered by the Report.

/s/ John R. Garbarino

John R. Garbarino
Chief Executive Officer
August 9, 2010

/s/ Michael J. Fitzpatrick

Michael J. Fitzpatrick
Chief Financial Officer
August 9, 2010