

TSX **CG**

centerra**GOLD**



NYSE **CGAU**



BUILDING A  
**STRONG PLATFORM**  
**FOR FUTURE GROWTH**

Investor Presentation

JANUARY 2026

# Cautionary Statement on Forward Looking Information

All statements, other than statements of historical fact contained or incorporated by reference in this document, which address events, results, outcomes or developments that the Company expects to occur are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking information or forward-looking statements within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the provisions of the Securities Act (Ontario) and the provisions for “safe harbor” under the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as of the date of this document. Such forward-looking information involves risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results, performance, prospects and opportunities to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking information. Forward-looking statements are generally, but not always, identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “aimed”, “anticipate”, “believe”, “beyond”, “commenced”, “continue”, “expect”, “extend”, “evaluate”, “finalizing”, “focused”, “forecast”, “goal”, “intend”, “in line”, “ongoing”, “optimistic”, “on track”, “plan”, “potential”, “preliminary”, “project”, “pursuing”, “target”, or “update”, or variations of such words and phrases and similar expressions or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved or the negative connotation of such terms.

Such statements include, but may not be limited to: statements regarding 2025 guidance, outlook and expectations, including, but not limited to, reserves, resources, production, costs, capital expenditures, grade profiles, cash flow, life of mine, care and maintenance, PP&E and reclamation costs, recoveries, processing, inflation, depreciation, amortization, depletion, taxes and annual royalty payments; the ability of the Company to self-fund its internal projects from existing liquidity and cash flows from operations; exploration potential, budgets, focuses, programs, targets and projected exploration results; gold, copper and molybdenum prices; market conditions; the declaration, payment and sustainability of the Company’s dividends; the continuation of the Company’s normal course issuer bid (“NCIB”) and automatic share purchase plan and the timing, methods and quantity of any purchases of Shares under the NCIB; compliance with applicable laws and regulations pertaining to the NCIB; the availability of cash for repurchases of Common Shares under the NCIB; achieving emission reductions economically and operationally; the future success of Kemess including results of the preliminary economic assessment and accompanying costs and mining methods including the possibility of constructing either or both of an open pit and underground mines; the timing of a pre-feasibility assessment on the Kemess project; the development and construction of Goldfield and the timing of first production; the ability of the Company to implement any residual leaching of the heap or expanding the pit at Öksüt; the success of an optimized mine plan at Mount Milligan including the construction of additional tailings capacity, any increased mill throughput and the delivery and implementation of large-capacity truck boxes; any potential synergies between the Company’s projects and Thesis Gold Inc’s or Liberty Gold Inc’s respective properties; the future success of any strategic equity investments made by the Company and the ability of such investments to complement internal exploration programs; the ability of the existing infrastructure at Kemess to lower execution risk for the project and the possibility that any additional infrastructure will complement it; the success of an infill and grade control drilling program at Mount Milligan and its ability to enhance geological confidence; the timing and capital required for the restart of Thompson Creek, the ramp up of Langeloth facility and any future potential at Endako; royalty rates and taxes in Türkiye; the Company’s strategic plan, gold production growth profile and any value drivers; financial hedges; and other statements that express management’s expectations or estimates of future plans and performance, operational, geological or financial results, estimates or amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management.

The Company cautions that forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of factors and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by the Company at the time of making such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic, technical, legal, geopolitical and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, which may prove to be incorrect. Known and unknown factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements and information.

Risk factors that may affect the Company’s ability to achieve the expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements in this document include, but are not limited to: (A) strategic, legal, planning and other risks, including: political risks associated with the Company’s operations in Türkiye, the USA and Canada; resource nationalism including the management of external stakeholder expectations; the impact of changes in, or to the more aggressive enforcement of, laws, government royalties, tariffs, regulations and government practices, including unjustified civil or criminal action against the Company, its affiliates, or its current or former employees; risks that community activism may result in increased contributory demands or business interruptions; the risks related to outstanding litigation affecting the Company; the impact of any sanctions or tariffs imposed by Canada, the United States or other jurisdictions; potential defects of title in the Company’s properties that are not known as of the date hereof; permitting and development of our projects, including tailings facilities, being consistent with the Company’s expectations; the inability of the Company and its subsidiaries to enforce their legal rights in certain circumstances; risks related to anti-corruption legislation; Centerra not being able to replace mineral reserves; Indigenous claims and consultative issues relating to the Company’s properties which are in proximity to Indigenous communities; and potential risks related to kidnapping or acts of terrorism; (B) risks relating to financial matters, including: sensitivity of the Company’s business to the volatility of gold, copper, molybdenum and other mineral prices; the use of provisionally-priced sales contracts for production at the Mount Milligan Mine; reliance on a few key customers for the gold-copper concentrate at the Mount Milligan Mine; use of commodity derivatives; the imprecision of the Company’s mineral reserves and resources estimates and the assumptions they rely on; the accuracy of the Company’s production and cost estimates; persistent inflationary pressures on key input prices; the impact of restrictive covenants in the Company’s credit facilities and in the Royal Gold Streaming Agreement which may, among other things, restrict the Company from pursuing certain business activities, including paying dividends or repurchasing shares under its NCIB, or making distributions from its subsidiaries; the Company’s ability to obtain future financing; sensitivity to fuel price volatility; the impact of global financial conditions; the impact of currency fluctuations; the effect of market conditions on the Company’s short-term investments; the Company’s ability to make payments, including any payments of principal and interest on the Company’s debt facilities, which depends on the cash flow of its subsidiaries; the ability to obtain adequate insurance coverage; changes to taxation laws or royalty structures in the jurisdictions where the Company operates, and (C) risks related to operational matters and geotechnical issues and the Company’s continued ability to successfully manage such matters, including: unanticipated ground and water conditions; the stability of the pit walls at the Company’s operations leading to structural cave-ins, wall failures or rock-slides; the integrity of tailings storage facilities and the management thereof, including as to stability, compliance with laws, regulations, licenses and permits, controlling seepages and storage of water, where applicable; there being no significant disruptions affecting the activities of the Company whether due to extreme weather events or other related natural disasters, labour disruptions, supply disruptions, power disruptions, damage to equipment or other force majeure events; the risk of having sufficient water to continue operations at the Mount Milligan Mine and achieve expected mill throughput; changes to, or delays in the Company’s supply chain and transportation routes, including cessation or disruption in rail and shipping networks, whether caused by decisions of third-party providers or force majeure events (including, but not limited to: labour action, flooding, landslides, seismic activity, wildfires, earthquakes, pandemics, or other global events such as wars); lower than expected ore grades or recovery rates; the success of the Company’s future exploration and development activities, including the financial and political risks inherent in carrying out exploration activities; inherent risks associated with the use of sodium cyanide in the mining operations; the adequacy of the Company’s insurance to mitigate operational and corporate risks; mechanical breakdowns; the occurrence of any labour unrest or disturbance and the ability of the Company to successfully renegotiate collective agreements when required; the risk that Centerra’s workforce and operations may be exposed to widespread epidemic or pandemic; seismic activity, including earthquakes; wildfires; long lead-times required for equipment and supplies given the remote location of some of the Company’s operating properties and disruptions caused by global events; reliance on a limited number of suppliers for certain consumables, equipment and components; the ability of the Company to address physical and transition risks from climate change and sufficiently manage stakeholder expectations on climate-related issues; regulations regarding greenhouse gas emissions and climate change; significant volatility of molybdenum prices resulting in material working capital changes and unfavourable pressure on viability of the molybdenum business; the Company’s ability to accurately predict decommissioning and reclamation costs and the assumptions they rely upon; the Company’s ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; competition for mineral acquisition opportunities; risks associated with the conduct of joint ventures/partnerships; risk of cyber incidents such as cybercrime, malware or ransomware, data breaches, fines and penalties; and, the Company’s ability to manage its projects effectively and to mitigate the potential lack of availability of contractors, budget and timing overruns, and project resources.

There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements are provided for the purpose of providing information about management’s expectations and plans relating to the future. All of the forward-looking statements made in this document are qualified by these cautionary statements and those made in our other filings with the securities regulators of Canada and the United States including, but not limited to, those set out in the Company’s latest Annual Report on Form 40-F/Annual Information Form and Management’s Discussion and Analysis, each under the heading “Risk Factors”, which are available on SEDAR+ ([www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca)) or on EDGAR ([www.sec.gov/edgar](http://www.sec.gov/edgar)). The foregoing should be reviewed in conjunction with the information, risk factors and assumptions found in this document.

The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, or whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Christopher Richings, Professional Engineer, member of the Engineers and Geoscientists British Columbia and Centerra’s Vice President, Technical Services, has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information contained in this document. Mr. Richings is a “qualified person” within the meaning of the Canadian Securities Administrator’s NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. All mineral reserve and resources have been estimated in accordance with the standards of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum and NI 43-101.

# Use of Non-GAAP and Other Specified Financial Measures

This document contains “specified financial measures” within the meaning of NI 52-112, specifically the non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios and supplementary financial measures described below. Management believes that the use of these measures assists analysts, investors and other stakeholders of the Company in understanding the costs associated with producing gold and copper, understanding the economics of gold and copper mining, assessing operating performance, the Company’s ability to generate free cash flow from current operations and on an overall Company basis, and for planning and forecasting of future periods. However, the measures have limitations as analytical tools as they may be influenced by the point in the life cycle of a specific mine and the level of additional exploration or other expenditures a company has to make to fully develop its properties. The specified financial measures used in this MD&A do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, even as compared to other issuers who may be applying the World Gold Council (“WGC”) guidelines. Accordingly, these specified financial measures should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for, analysis of the Company’s recognized measures presented in accordance with IFRS.

The following is a description of the non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios and supplementary financial measures used in this document:

- All-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis per ounce is a non-GAAP ratio calculated as all-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis divided by ounces of gold sold. All-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated as the aggregate of production costs as recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of (loss) earnings, refining and transport costs, the cash component of capitalized stripping and sustaining capital expenditures, lease payments related to sustaining assets, corporate general and administrative expenses, accretion expenses, asset retirement depletion expenses, copper and silver revenue and the associated impact of hedges of by-product sales revenue. When calculating all-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis, all revenue received from the sale of copper from the Mount Milligan Mine, as reduced by the effect of the copper stream, is treated as a reduction of costs incurred. A reconciliation of all-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis to the nearest IFRS measure is set out below. Management uses these measures to monitor the cost management effectiveness of each of its operating mines.
- Sustaining capital expenditures and Non-sustaining capital expenditures are non-GAAP financial measures. Sustaining capital expenditures are defined as those expenditures required to sustain current operations and exclude all expenditures incurred at new operations or major projects at existing operations where these projects will materially benefit the operation. Non-sustaining capital expenditures are primarily costs incurred at ‘new operations’ and costs related to ‘major projects at existing operations’ where these projects will materially benefit the operation. A material benefit to an existing operation is considered to be at least a 10% increase in annual or life of mine production, net present value, or reserves compared to the remaining life of mine of the operation. A reconciliation of sustaining capital expenditures and non-sustaining capital expenditures to the nearest IFRS measures is set out below. Management uses the distinction of the sustaining and non-sustaining capital expenditures as an input into the calculation of all-in sustaining costs per ounce and all-in costs per ounce.
- Adjusted net earnings (loss) is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated by adjusting net (loss) earnings as recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of (loss) earnings for items not associated with ongoing operations. The Company believes that this generally accepted industry measure allows the evaluation of the results of income-generating capabilities and is useful in making comparisons between periods. This measure adjusts for the impact of items not associated with ongoing operations. A reconciliation of adjusted net (loss) earnings to the nearest IFRS measures is set out below. Management uses this measure to monitor and plan for the operating performance of the Company in conjunction with other data prepared in accordance with IFRS.
- Free cash flow (deficit) is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated as cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations less property, plant and equipment additions. A reconciliation of free cash flow to the nearest IFRS measures is set out below. Management uses this measure to monitor the amount of cash available to reinvest in the Company and allocate for shareholder returns.
- Mining costs per tonne mined is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated by dividing the mining costs by the number of tonnes mined. Management uses these measures to monitor the cost management effectiveness of the mining process for each of its operating mines.
- Processing costs per tonne stacked is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated by dividing the processing costs by the number of tonnes milled or stacked. Management uses these measures to monitor the cost management effectiveness of the mine processing for each of its operating mines.
- Site G&A costs per tonne processed is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated by dividing the site G&A costs by the number of tonnes milled or stacked. Management uses these measures to monitor the cost management effectiveness of the site G&A process for each of its operating mines.
- Average realized gold price is a supplementary financial measure calculated by dividing the different components of gold sales (including third party sales, mark-to-market adjustments, final pricing adjustments and the fixed amount received under the Mount Milligan Mine Streaming Agreement) by the number of ounces sold. Management uses this measure to monitor its sales of gold ounces against the average market gold price.
- Average realized copper price is a supplementary financial measure calculated by dividing the different components of copper sales (including third party sales, mark-to-market adjustments, final pricing adjustments and the fixed amount received under the Mount Milligan Mine Streaming Agreement) by the number of pounds sold. Management uses this measure to monitor its sales of gold ounces against the average market copper price.
- Total liquidity is a supplementary financial measure calculated as cash and cash equivalents and amount available under the corporate credit facility. Credit facility availability is reduced by outstanding letters of credit. Management uses this measure to determine if the Company can meet all of its commitments, execute on the business plan, and to mitigate the risk of economic downturns.
- Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that represents earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. It is calculated by adjusting net earnings as recorded in the consolidated statements of earnings by depreciation and amortization. Management uses this measure to monitor and plan for the operating performance of the Company in conjunction with other data prepared in accordance with IFRS.

Additional information about these measures, including explanations of their composition, explanations of how these measures provide useful information to investors and quantitative reconciliations to the most directly comparable financial measures in the Company’s unaudited financial statements for the quarters ended September 30, 2025 and 2024, is included in the section titled “Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures” of the Q3 2025 MD&A, which section is incorporated by reference herein. The Q3 2025 MD&A is available under the Company’s profile on SEDAR+ at [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca).

# Building a Strong Platform for Future Growth



(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) All-in sustaining costs (AISC) are calculated on a by-product basis (\$/oz). (3) As at September 30, 2025. Total liquidity is calculated as cash and cash equivalents and the amount available under the Corporate Credit Facility. (4) Guidance was updated on August 6, 2025. Refer to the Company's Q2 2025 MD&A for details.

# Compelling Value Proposition

➤ Self-funded organic growth pipeline focused on North America, with polymetallic exposure

| <br><b>Attractive Valuation</b>                                                                                   | <br><b>Growth in North American Gold Production</b>                               | <br><b>Favourable Jurisdictions</b> | <br><b>Self-Funded Organic Growth Pipeline</b>                          |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Trading at a discounted P/NAV multiple, backed by 5.8 Moz of gold and 1.7B lbs of copper reserves, 2025E production of 250-290kcozs of gold and 50-60Mlbs of copper, and net cash of \$562M</b> | Focused on North American <b>gold</b> growth through <b>projects in Canada (Mount Milligan, Kemess) and US. (Goldfield)</b> , with exposure to copper and molybdenum | Mid-tier gold producer focused on <b>Canada, United States and Türkiye</b>                                             | Strong balance sheet with <b>\$562M of cash and cash equivalents, \$962M of total liquidity and zero debt</b> , enabling internal funding of future growth |
| <div>➤ <b>... and Centerra is trading at a discount to peers</b><br/>0.9x P/NAV<sup>(1)</sup> vs. peer average at 1.1x P/NAV<sup>(1,2)</sup></div>                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                            |

⏏ Potential re-rate from asset-level value realization strategies and operational execution



(1) Data based on broker estimates as of January 19, 2026. (2) Average excludes Centerra.

# Attractive Resource-Based Valuation


Centerra's Canadian and US mineral resource base<sup>(1,3)</sup> is among the largest of its peers, with an attractive valuation

Centerra has **global resources<sup>(1)</sup> of 21.3 Moz AuEq**, of which **97% is in Canada and the US**

Centerra has **an attractive valuation compared to peers** on an **EV/global resource<sup>(1)</sup> basis**


## STRONG RESOURCE BASE

Global Resource<sup>(1,2)</sup> Moz AuEq




## TOP TIER JURISDICTIONS

Canada & US Resources<sup>(1,2)</sup> Moz AuEq



## ATTRACTIVE VALUATION

EV/Global Resource<sup>(1,2)</sup> Moz AuEq



(1) Resources are calculated on a Moz AuEq basis using long-term consensus prices of \$3,245/oz gold, \$38.25/oz silver, \$4.59/lb copper, \$0.92/lb lead and \$1.21/lb zinc. Centerra's molybdenum resources are not included in these metrics. Resources include measured, indicated and inferred and are inclusive of reserves. (2) Data based on broker estimates as of January 19, 2026. (3) Tables are for comparative purposes only. For Centerra's published mineralized resources and reserves, refer to SEDAR+ or Centerra's website.

# Executing on Centerra's Strategic Plan

➤ Focused on maximizing the value for each asset in the portfolio



## ÖKSÜT MINE

Generated over \$600 million of free cash flow<sup>(1)</sup> since restarting operations in June 2023

Current life of mine plan demonstrates **steady gold production** continuing through 2029

**Initiated a study to evaluate the incremental production potential of residual leaching and to expand the pit to pursue additional mineralization; expected by end of 2026**



## MOUNT MILLIGAN

PFS confirms a 10-year mine life extension to 2045, supported by a phased, \$186M growth capital plan, fully funded from available liquidity

Reinforces Mount Milligan as a **long-term, low-cost contributor** to Centerra's production profile and cash flow generation in a **top tier jurisdiction**

**Process plant upgrades to deliver ~10% higher throughput in 2029**



## US MOLY

Restart of Thompson Creek mine and ramp-up of production at Langeloth

Feasibility study shows **strong economics** by vertically integrating Thompson Creek and Langeloth with a "made in America" focus

**Thompson Creek first production expected in H2 2027 with ramp-up to full production at Langeloth**



(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratio. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A.

# Future Growth in Gold

centerraGOLD

Advancing a pipeline of organic gold growth opportunities in North America, which can be self-funded from existing liquidity and cash flow from operations



## GOLDFIELD PROJECT

Attractive economics with low execution risk in a top tier mining jurisdiction

7-year mine life; production of ~100koz/year in peak years; AISC<sup>(1)</sup> of \$1,392/oz  
Initial capital of \$252M

A strategic asset offering near-term gold production growth as Centerra advances its longer-life gold-copper growth pipeline

**Goldfield first production expected by end of 2028**



## KEMESS PROJECT

A strategic opportunity to build a second long-life gold-copper asset in BC, strengthening Centerra's presence in the Toodoggone

PEA<sup>(2)</sup> highlights initial 15-year mine life; annual production of 171koz gold and 61M lbs copper; AISC<sup>(1)</sup> of \$971/oz; Initial capital of \$771M

Streamlined project execution through an integrated open pit and underground mining strategy

**A PFS is expected in 2027**



## EXPLORATION

Brownfield exploration focused on Mount Milligan and Kemess guided by encouraging results

Greenfield and generative exploration in our priority jurisdictions of Canada, US and Türkiye

Strategic **equity investments**, including Thesis Gold and Liberty Gold, to complement internal exploration programs

**2025 full year total exploration guidance of \$40-50M**




(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratio. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) The preliminary economic assessment ("PEA") described throughout this presentation is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.



# Conceptual Production Growth and Initial Capex Profile<sup>(1)</sup>

Centerra has an organic growth pipeline in gold and copper with a focus in Canada and US, which is expected to be self-funded from existing liquidity and future cash flow from operations

Gold Production



(1) Indicative values. For illustrative purposes only. (2) Represents non-sustaining initial capital expenditures only. Thompson Creek includes the remaining non-sustaining capital expenditures in the project, with \$113M spent since the restart decision in September 2024 through end of Q3 2025.

# Self-Funded Organic Growth and Shareholder Returns

Centerra can **fund organic growth initiatives** and **shareholder returns** from **existing liquidity**

## LIQUIDITY<sup>(1)</sup>

\$562M Cash and Cash Equivalents

\$400M Credit Facility (undrawn)

Steady Cash Flow From Operations

## SHAREHOLDER RETURNS

Quarterly Dividend

\$100 Million Buyback Program in 2025

## ORGANIC GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES

Mount Milligan Expansion

Goldfield

Kemess

Thompson Creek

## EQUITY INVESTMENTS

Thesis Gold BC

Kenorland Minerals  
Dryden Gold  
Headwater Gold

Liberty Gold ID/UT

Azimet Exploration  
Midland Exploration  
Metal Energy

(1) As at September 30, 2025.



# Upcoming Value Drivers




## MOUNT MILLIGAN MINE

- 2026: Focus on execution at Mount Milligan to support stronger near-term operational performance
- 2029: Process plant expected to increase throughput by 10% and reach nameplate capacity of 66.3ktpd

## KEMESS PROJECT

- 2026: Ongoing exploration and advance technical study work
- 2027: Kemess Pre-feasibility Study



## ÖKSÜT MINE

- End of 2026: Life of mine optimization study evaluating the incremental production potential of residual leaching of the heap leach pad and expansion of the pit to pursue additional mineralization

## GOLDFIELD PROJECT

- 2026: Launch procurement and start construction early works
- End of 2028: Goldfield first production



## MOLYBDENUM BUSINESS UNIT

- H2 2027: Thompson Creek first production
- 2026-2028: Ramp-up of production at Langeloth

# 2025 Exploration Strategy

## Investing in exploration for future growth

### \$25-30M

#### Brownfields Exploration

##### Mount Milligan (British Columbia)

- Drilling to upgrade inferred resource between Goldmark and North Slope and to test the extension of the South Boundary mineralization
- 60 km of drilling is planned in 2025
- Exploration expenditures are expected to be \$9 to \$12 million at Mount Milligan

##### Kemess (British Columbia)

- 28.5 km of drilling is planned in 2025 with the focus expected to be on infill drilling for the open pit and underground targets
- Nearly doubled exploration spending to \$10 to \$12 million, encouraged by strong results

##### Goldfield (Nevada)

- Continue to explore the district for near surface oxide mineralization within the Goldfield district

### \$40-50M

Expected 2025  
Exploration Spending

### \$15-20M

#### Greenfield and Generative Exploration Programs

##### Canada

- British Columbia – JV projects QCM, Cauldron, Syndicate
- Ontario – JV projects Flora, West Wabigoon

##### United States

- Nevada – Cirrus (Goldfield West)
- Utah – JV project Jones Creek
- Idaho – Oakley project, JV projects Lehman Butte, Crane Creek

##### Türkiye

- Öksüt target generation and SE of Öksüt mine, Sivritepe, Karatas
- JV projects – Kiremitli, Ulu, Yaylasaray
- Other projects – Ulu West, Kazankaya, Akgedik, Dikmen

#### HISTORICAL EXPLORATION SPENDING





Build a portfolio of equity investments to complement internal exploration programs

THEESIS GOLD INC. (TSX-V: TAU)

- Equity investment for 9.9% of the outstanding shares<sup>(1)</sup>
- Thesis is advancing the Lawyers-Ranch project in the Toadoggone district of British Columbia
- Lawyers-Ranch Project:** Mineral reserve with 76.16Mt of ore grading 0.97 g/t Au and 28 g/t Ag for 2.4 Moz Au and 69 Moz Ag contained (effective date of October 27, 2025). Thesis announced a Prefeasibility Study on the project in December 2025.
- Potential for regional synergies with Centerra’s nearby Kemess asset which could help unlock regional potential

LIBERTY GOLD CORP. (TSX: LGD)

- Equity investment for 9.9% of the outstanding shares<sup>(2)</sup>
- Liberty is advancing two oxide gold projects in the Great Basin
- Black Pine**, a past-producing, open pit, heap leach oxide gold mine in Idaho with an estimated reserve of approximately 3.1 Mozs and measured and indicated resource of approximately 4.2 Mozs according to the PFS published by Liberty Gold (effective date of June 1, 2024)
- Goldstrike** in southwest Utah at the PEA stage

ADDITIONAL STRATEGIC EQUITY INVESTMENTS

| Company                  | Ticker      | Exploration Focus |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Kenorland Minerals       | TSX-V: KLD  | Quebec, Ontario   |
| Dryden Gold Corp.        | TSX-V: DRY  | Northwest Ontario |
| Headwater Gold Inc.      | CSE: HWG    | Nevada, Idaho     |
| Azimut Exploration       | TSX-V: AZM  | Quebec            |
| Midland Exploration Inc. | TSX-V: MD   | Quebec            |
| Metal Energy Corp.       | TSX-V: MERG | British Columbia  |



(1) Initial equity investment in Thesis for 9.9% of the outstanding shares made on April 28, 2025. (2) Initial equity investment in Liberty Gold for 9.9% of the outstanding shares made on September 30, 2025.

# Sustainability Highlights

**Our Vision** is to build a team-based culture of excellence that responsibly delivers sustainable value and growth



## ENVIRONMENTAL

- Centerra completed a **climate resiliency plan** outlining **key climate physical and transitional risks and opportunities across all operations**
- Mount Milligan implemented initiatives to **improve mine water recycling to reduce freshwater intake volumes**



## SOCIAL

- In 2024, **total reportable injury frequency rate** to **0.71**, from 0.76 previously
- The local procurement spending in British Columbia **increased by 26%**
- Achieved **19% Indigenous employee representation across B.C. operations** and increased collaboration with Indigenous owned businesses by 31% in 2024



## GOVERNANCE

- Remain in **conformance of the World Gold Council's RGMPs**
- In 2024, Centerra **surpassed 2026 targeted female representation** on Centerra's Board of Directors and among Officers of the Company, **achieving 38% and 33%**, respectively



# Mount Milligan Mine | BC, Canada

## Central British Columbia, Canada

155km NW of Prince George

**100%** owned

35% gold stream, and  
18.75% copper stream  
to Royal Gold

**2045**

MINE LIFE  
(Reserves only)



## ASSET DETAILS

- Conventional open pit copper and gold mine; 60ktpd copper flotation plant
- Commercial production achieved in February 2014
- PFS confirms 10-year mine life extension to 2045, supported by a phased, \$186M growth capital plan, fully funded from available liquidity

## 2024 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE

- Production:** 167,579 ounces gold and 54.3M lbs copper
- AISC<sup>(1)</sup> on a by-product basis:** \$1,078/oz

## 2025 GUIDANCE

- Production guidance:** 145,000-165,000 ozs gold; 50-60M lbs copper
- AISC<sup>(1)</sup> on a by-product basis guidance:** \$1,350 – 1,450/oz

## RESERVES<sup>(2,3)</sup> AND RESOURCES<sup>(4,5)</sup>

- Proven & Probable Reserves: 4.4M oz gold and 1.7B lbs copper
- Measured & Indicated Resources: 1.4M oz gold and 582M lbs copper
- Inferred Resources: 103k oz gold and 28M lbs copper

## CATALYSTS

- 2026:** Focus on execution at Mount Milligan to support stronger near-term operational performance
- 2029:** Process plant expected to increase throughput by 10% to 66.3ktpd
- Ongoing:** Investment in exploration drilling

(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) CIM definitions were followed for the classification of Mineral Reserves. Refer to the QP statements at the end of this presentation. (3) Reserves and resources are as of June 30, 2025. (4) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability. (5) Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existences and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category.

# Mount Milligan Life of Mine Extension

➤ **PFS extends mine life at Mount Milligan by 10 years to 2045, delivering growth with a fully funded, disciplined \$186 million growth capital plan**

## PREFEASIBILITY STUDY (“PFS”) HIGHLIGHTS

- **LOM extension by 10 years, to 2045, supported by an optimized mine plan:** Average annual production from 2026-2042, of approximately 150K ozs of gold and 69M lbs of copper
- **Disciplined, fully funded, low capital investment:** \$186M in non-sustaining capital<sup>(1)</sup> planned, most of which is not required until early-to-mid 2030s, all fully funded from available liquidity
- **Robust economics:** After-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> is approximately \$1.5 billion, at \$2,600/oz gold price assumption<sup>(2)</sup>, and increases to approximately \$3.6 billion at spot commodity prices<sup>(3)</sup>

## POTENTIAL FUTURE MINE LIFE EXTENSIONS

- **Strong exploration upside:** Recent drilling confirms mineralization remains open to the west of the current resource pit.
- **The planned second tailings facility provides the potential for future raises**, which could add multiple decades of storage capacity beyond the 2045 LOM
- Mount Milligan remains a **strategic cornerstone asset** in Centerra’s portfolio, with **20 years of mine life, meaningful gold and copper production, strong cash generation, and significant opportunity for future exploration potential** in a top tier mining jurisdiction



(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the “Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures” section of the Company’s latest MD&A. (2) Mount Milligan PFS economics based on long-term gold and copper price assumptions of \$2,600/oz and \$4.30/lb. Refer to the Mount Milligan PFS news release dated September 11, 2025 for details on price assumptions. (3) Spot commodity prices are assumed to be \$4,500/oz gold and \$6.00/lb copper.

# Mount Milligan PFS Summary<sup>(1)</sup>


➤ **PFS LOM extension to 2045 is supported by an optimized mine plan, with a low growth capital investment**

## PRODUCTION PROFILE

- Average annual production from 2026-2042, of approximately 150K ozs of gold and 69M lbs of copper, followed by the processing of low-grade stockpiles from 2043-2045
- In 2029, process plant throughput is expected to increase by 10%, to 66,300 tpd, by upgrading the ball mill motors. Flotation capacity is also expected to increase which should deliver a modest improvement in gold and copper recoveries by about 1%

## NON-SUSTAINING CAPITAL EXPENDITURES<sup>(2)</sup>

| Non-Sustaining Capital <sup>(2)</sup> Breakdown             | Total (\$M) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Tailings Storage Facility Expansion (expected in 2032-2033) | 114         |
| Process Plant Expansion (expected in 2028)                  | 36          |
| Fleet Additions                                             | 28          |
| Site Infrastructure                                         | 7           |
| <b>Total Initial Non-Sustaining Capital<sup>(2)</sup></b>   | <b>186</b>  |



(1) Refer to the Mount Milligan [Technical Report](#) published October 21, 2025 and the new release published September 11, 2025 for more details. (2) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (3) AISC on a by-product basis in 2030s due to higher copper credits in those years.




# 2025 Exploration at Mount Milligan

## ► Exploration drilling program aimed at expanding the mineral resource base


### MOUNT MILLIGAN EXPLORATION PROGRAM

- ◆ **Phase 1** focused on upgrading inferred resources to indicated for inclusion in the PFS
  - ◆ 83 holes totaling 23 km
  - ◆ Completed in Q1 2025
- ◆ **Phase 2** focused on Goldmark, North Slope, Oliver, Saddle, Boundary and Southern Star areas. Assays from Phase 2 were not included in the most recent model update, however, results received to date highlight encouraging mineralization
  - ◆ 117 holes totaling 33 km
  - ◆ Completed in Q3 2025
- ◆ Centerra plans to continue drilling to upgrade resources both near surface and at depth, focusing on areas to the west and southwest of the Mount Milligan reserve pit
- ◆ Encouraged by the significant mineral endowment at Mount Milligan, setting the stage for potential future resource additions



# Mount Milligan Mineralization Cross Section

➤ Mineralization remains open to the west of the current resource pit



# Öksüt Mine | Türkiye

**Kayseri Province,  
Türkiye**

295km SE of Ankara

**100% owned**

Sliding scale NSR  
royalty to Turkish  
Government

**2029**

MINE LIFE  
(Reserves only)



## DETAILS ON OPERATION

- Open pit heap leach gold mine operation
- Commercial production achieved in May 2020

## 2024 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE

- Gold production:** 200,525 ounces<sup>(5)</sup>
- AISC<sup>(1)</sup> on a by-product basis:** \$1,015/oz

## 2025 GUIDANCE

- Gold production guidance:** 105,000 – 125,000 ounces
- AISC<sup>(1)</sup> on a by-product basis guidance:** \$1,675 – 1,775/oz

## MINERAL RESERVES<sup>(2)</sup>

- Proven & Probable Reserves: 662k oz gold

## MINERAL RESOURCES<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>

- Measured & Indicated Resources: 58k oz gold
- Inferred Resources: 4k oz gold

## CATALYSTS

- End of 2026:** Life of Mine Optimization study to evaluate the incremental production potential of residual leaching of the heap and expanding the pit to pursue additional mineralization

(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Reserves and resources are as of December 31, 2024. (3) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability. (4) Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existences and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. (5) Production at Öksüt was elevated in 2023 and 2024 due to processing excess inventory that was accumulated during the operations shutdown in 2022 and 2023.

# Kemess Project | BC, Canada

## North Central British Columbia, Canada

430km NW of Prince George

**100%**  
owned

100% silver royalty  
with Triple Flag<sup>(1)</sup>

Acquired in  
**2018**



## PROJECT DETAILS

- PEA estimates an initial 15-year mine life with annual production of 171k ozs of gold and 61M lbs of copper, at an AISC on a by-product basis<sup>(5)</sup> of \$971/oz
- The project is expected to have an after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> of \$1.1B and IRR of 16%, using long-term pricing of \$3,000/oz gold and \$4.50/lb copper
  - NPV<sub>5%</sub> increases to \$2.8B at prices of \$4,500/oz gold and \$6.00/lb copper
- A strategic opportunity to build a second long-life gold-copper asset in BC, strengthening Centerra's presence in the Toodoggone
- Significant existing infrastructure already in place including: a power line; 50ktpd processing plant in need of some refurbishment; site infrastructure which will require some refurbishment; tailings storage using the previously mined pit and an existing facility (capable of expansion)

## RESOURCES<sup>(2,3,4)</sup>

- Indicated Resources: 3.3M oz gold and 1.1B lbs copper
- Inferred Resources: 3.6M oz gold and 1.2B lbs copper

## 2025 GUIDANCE

- Project care & maintenance:** \$13M – \$15M
- Drilling costs:** \$10M – \$12M; **Project evaluation costs:** \$8M – \$12M

## CATALYSTS

- 2026:** Ongoing exploration and advance technical study work
- 2027:** Pre-Feasibility Study expected

(1) Refer to the May 17, 2018, news release for additional details. (2) Resources are as of December 31, 2025. (3) Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability. (4) Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existences and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. (5) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A.

# Kemess Preliminary Economic Assessment Summary<sup>(1)</sup>


**Kemess PEA shows robust economics, including \$1.1B after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> and 16% IRR based on \$3,000/oz Au and \$4.50/lb Cu price<sup>(1)</sup>; At spot commodity prices<sup>(3)</sup> the after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> increases to \$2.8B**

## KEMESS EXPANDED MINERAL RESOURCE

- Expanded mineral resource enhances the project's overall scale and supports long-term production potential
  - Indicated mineral resource includes 244 million tonnes, with an average grade of 0.42 g/t gold and 0.21% copper, containing 3.3 million ounces of gold and 1.1 billion pounds of copper.
  - Inferred mineral resource includes 300 million tonnes, with an average grade of 0.37 g/t gold and 0.19% copper, containing 3.6 million ounces of gold and 1.2 billion pounds copper.

## STRONG PROJECT ECONOMICS


- Enhanced project attractiveness through leverage to higher metal price: The PEA mineral inventory provides Kemess with strong leverage to rising metal prices, with further upside potential as ongoing exploration advances resource growth and confidence.
- Potential to become Centerra's second long-life gold-copper asset in British Columbia: Kemess is a high-quality growth project, capable of contributing meaningful gold and copper production in the Toadoggone, a prospective district.



(1) Refer to the news release titled "Centerra Gold's Kemess Preliminary Economic Assessment Highlights Strong Economics that Support the Company's Long-Term Growth Pipeline", published January 19, 2026. (2) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (3) Spot commodity price assumptions are \$4,500/oz gold and \$6.00/lb copper. (4) AISC on a by-product basis is presented net of copper and silver revenues, after reflecting the impact of the silver stream.

# Kemess Capital Investment

**Kemess has a lower execution risk resulting from existing infrastructure and a staged capital investment**



## STAGED CAPITAL INVESTMENT AT KEMESS

- ◆ Kemess's capital profile is structured to reflect the project's development sequence, with \$771M in initial non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup> required to achieve first production from the open pit.
- ◆ An additional \$227M in expansionary non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup> are estimated following open pit start-up to support commencement of underground operations.

| Initial Non-Sustaining Capital <sup>(1)</sup> Breakdown     | Total (\$M) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Open pit conveyor system                                    | 65          |
| Open pit crusher                                            | 25          |
| Process plant refurbishment                                 | 96          |
| Kemess Main infrastructure                                  | 53          |
| General site infrastructure                                 | 27          |
| Tailings Facility (existing Kemess South pit)               | 9           |
| <b>Subtotal Infrastructure Directs</b>                      | <b>275</b>  |
| Indirects                                                   | 110         |
| Contingency                                                 | 115         |
| <b>Subtotal Infrastructure</b>                              | <b>500</b>  |
| Capitalized open pit stripping                              | 124         |
| Capitalized pre-production G&A                              | 101         |
| Open pit fleet                                              | 7           |
| Open pit conveyor tunnel development                        | 39          |
| <b>Total Initial Non-Sustaining Capital<sup>(1,2)</sup></b> | <b>771</b>  |


(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Does not include lease payments of \$40M which impact net cash flow.

# Kemess Operating Strategy


➤ The Kemess PEA utilizes an integrated open pit and underground mining strategy, with ore from Kemess Main being conveyed to the infrastructure at Kemess South

## KEMESS INTEGRATED MINING STRATEGY

- **Streamlined project execution through integrated open pit and underground mining strategy:** The Kemess PEA outlines a development approach in which open pit mining begins first, followed by the start of long-hole open stoping underground development and production. Approximately 2 years after the start of the open pit.
- Once underground production starts, both mining methods operate concurrently for the remainder of the projected 15-year mine life.
- The integrated mine plan helps streamline project execution, optimize plant feed, and utilize existing infrastructure, while maintaining the expected timeline to first production in late 2031.
- Permitting is well advanced, with some approvals in place from prior block cave project; amendments will be needed to align with the updated development plan.




### An isometric view of the open pit and underground concept





# Kemess Mineralization Cross Section

➤ The Kemess property benefits from significant exploration upside potential in the deep Kemess Offset zone and along the Kemess East trend



# Goldfield Project | Nevada, USA

**Esmeralda County,  
Nevada**

30km south of Tonopah

**100%**  
Owned<sup>(2)</sup>

**Acquired in  
2022**



## PROJECT DETAILS

- Life of mine is expected to be ~7 years with an average annual gold production of 100koz for the peak years between 2029-2032, at an AISC<sup>(1)</sup> of \$1,392 per ounce and an initial capital cost of \$252M
- The project is expected to have an after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> of \$245M and IRR of 30%, using an assumed long-term gold price of \$2,500/oz and hedges on 50% of production in 2029 and 2030<sup>(7)</sup>

## STRATEGIC PLAN

- Near-term gold production growth
- Goldfield is a strategic asset as Centerra advances its longer-life gold-copper organic growth pipeline in British Columbia through Mount Milligan & Kemess

## RESERVES AND RESOURCES<sup>(3,4,5)</sup>

- Proven & Probable Reserves: 706K oz Gold
- Measured & Indicated Resources: 91K oz Gold
- Inferred Resources: 20K oz Gold

## 2025 GUIDANCE

- Study costs and field campaigns<sup>(1,6)</sup>: \$2M – \$5M

## CATALYSTS

- 2026:** Launch procurement and start construction early works
- End of 2028:** Goldfield first production

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Triple Flag Precious Metals holds a 3-5% NSR royalty on gold and 3% NSR royalty on other minerals at the Gemfield deposit. (3) Resources are as of June 30, 2025. (4) Mineral resources are exclusive of mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability. (5) Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existences and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. (6) 2025 guidance is not included in the initial non-sustaining capital for the project. (7) Refer to the Goldfield news published August 6, 2025 for more detail.


# Goldfield Project Summary

Proceeding with project development, which is expected to yield **\$245M after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> and 30% IRR** based on \$2,500/oz Au price<sup>(1,4)</sup>; At spot gold prices<sup>(1,5)</sup> the **after-tax NPV<sub>5%</sub> increases to \$794M**

## GOLDFIELD PROJECT DETAILS<sup>(4)</sup>

- **Near-Term Gold Production Growth:** Mine life of ~7 years, average annual production of 100koz in peak years (2029-2032), AISC<sup>(2)</sup> of \$1,392/oz
- **Low Execution Risk:** Conventional open-pit, heap leach project in Nevada, a top tier mining jurisdiction. Low execution risk given the relatively simple flowsheet
- **Initial Capital Cost:** \$252 million

## GOLDFIELD PRODUCTION PROFILE



## ATTRACTIVE ECONOMICS<sup>(5)</sup>


- **Strong Gold Price Environment:** Centerra has hedged 50% of gold production in 2029 and 2030 using zero cost collars<sup>(3)</sup> to lock in strong margins while maintaining exposure to rising gold prices for the life of mine
- **Technical Project Optimizations:** Hybrid crushing strategy improved average recoveries, from mid-60% to ~76%, resulting in a positive impact on project returns

| Initial Non-Sustaining Capital <sup>(2)</sup> Breakdown   | Total (\$M) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Mine                                                      | 10          |
| Crushing                                                  | 22          |
| Processing                                                | 34          |
| Power Supply and Electrical                               | 26          |
| Heap Leach                                                | 17          |
| Site General                                              | 27          |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                                           | <b>136</b>  |
| Indirects                                                 | 40          |
| Contingency                                               | 35          |
| Pre-Production Stripping and Other Costs                  | 41          |
| <b>Total Initial Non-Sustaining Capital<sup>(2)</sup></b> | <b>252</b>  |

(1) Economics include the impact of gold hedges on 50% of production in 2029 and 2030. (2) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (3) Gold hedges have a gold price floor of \$3,200/oz and an average gold price cap of \$4,435/oz in 2029 and \$4,705/oz in 2030. (4) Refer to the news release titled "Centerra Gold Announces Attractive Economics on the Goldfield Project; Proceeding with Project Development and Construction Activities", published August 6, 2025. (5) Spot gold price assumptions are \$4,500/oz.

# Goldfield Project Infrastructure

➤ Major construction is expected to advance in 2027, with approximately 85% of the initial capital forecast to be evenly weighted across 2027 and 2028. First production is expected by the end of 2028



## GOLDFIELD PROPERTY

- Four mineralized zones have been outlined as part of the mine plan from which Centerra is targeting oxide and transition material
  - Gemfield
  - Jupiter
  - McMahon Ridge
  - Goldfield Main
- Production will come from the four open pits on the property, of which the Gemfield pit is approximately 80% of total life of mine production.
- First production is expected by the end of 2028.

# Molybdenum Business Unit

## US Moly

THOMPSON CREEK  
MINE

**100%**  
owned

LANGELOTH  
METALLURGICAL FACILITY

**100%**  
owned

ENDAKO  
MINE

**75%**  
owned

25% owned by Moon  
River<sup>(2)</sup>



## THOMPSON CREEK MINE

- Located in **Idaho**, among the largest open-pit primary moly mines in the world
- Has an existing open pit, on-site mill, established site facilities and equipment fleet
- Feasibility Study economics** to restart operations: NPV<sub>8%</sub> of \$185M and IRR 15%
- 2025 Thompson Creek guidance:**
  - Non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup>: \$130M – \$145M

## LANGELOTH METALLURGICAL FACILITY

- Located 40km west of Pittsburgh, **Pennsylvania**
- Purchases molybdenum concentrate from third parties, refines into, and sells finished molybdenum products

## ENDAKO MINE

- Located in **British Columbia**, placed on care and maintenance in July 2015
- 3 adjoining pits and a fully-integrated operation with on-site mill
- 2025 care and maintenance guidance:** \$6M - \$8M
- 2025 reclamation expenditures guidance:** \$4M - \$7M
- Expected to remain in C&M while Centerra focuses on the Thompson Creek restart

## STRATEGIC PLAN

- US Moly integrated economics<sup>(3)</sup> (Thompson Creek + Langeloth):** NPV<sub>8%</sub> of \$472M and IRR 22%
- Restart Thompson Creek mine (first production H2 2027) and ramp-up production at Langeloth

(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Moon River Moly Ltd. acquired a 25% stake in Endako in May 2024 ([News Release](#)). (3) Integrated economics for US Moly were calculated as at September 12, 2024. Refer to the news release titled "Centerra Gold Announces Thompson Creek Feasibility Study Results and Strategic Plan for US Molybdenum Operations, Including a Restart of the Thompson Creek Mine and Ramp-up of Langeloth", published September 12, 2024 ([LINK](#)) and the Technical Report on the Thompson Creek Mine, published September 27, 2024 ([LINK](#)).

# US Moly Operations Strategy

➤ **Unlock significant value through the restart of Thompson Creek Mine and a ramp-up of production at Langeloth. Combined value of **\$472M after-tax NPV<sub>8%</sub>** and **22% IRR** based on \$20/lb Mo price<sup>(5)</sup>**

## ROBUST INTEGRATED ECONOMICS<sup>(2,5)</sup>

- Strong economics due to synergies with the high-quality concentrate blend that could be achieved when Thompson Creek is vertically integrated with Langeloth
- At full capacity, integrated with Thompson Creek, Langeloth is positioned to operate at a scale that can meaningfully enhance EBITDA<sup>(1)</sup>

|                   | US Moly <sup>(3)</sup><br>(Thompson Creek<br>+ Langeloth) | Thompson<br>Creek Only <sup>(4)</sup> | Langeloth<br>Only |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| NPV <sub>8%</sub> | \$472M                                                    | \$185M                                | \$258M            |
| IRR               | 22%                                                       | 15%                                   | n.m.              |



## THOMPSON CREEK FEASIBILITY STUDY<sup>(5)</sup>

- Initial mine life of 12 years with expected average molybdenum production of approximately 13M pounds per year
- Capital investment of \$397 million which is largely de-risked
  - Capital investment over three years from September 2024 through mid-2027 to restart operations;
  - First production expected H2 2027
- Thompson Creek – a primary molybdenum mine – produces high quality concentrate compared to by-product mines

## LANGELOTH COMMERCIAL OPTIMIZATION PLAN

- Ramp-up of capacity utilization at Langeloth by 2028, which aligns with Thompson Creek's first full year of production
- Synergies and margin improvement expected from: (1) Increased capacity utilization at Langeloth to leverage fixed costs; (2) Improved blending flexibility; (3) More higher margin molybdenum products enabled by the high-quality feed from Thompson Creek
- Roaster facility dampens the volatility in the molybdenum price

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Economics are based on an assumed flat \$20/lb molybdenum price. (3) US Moly includes additional \$29M of unattributed tax synergies. (4) The economic assessment for Thompson Creek in the FS has been prepared on a stand-alone basis and does not include integration with Langeloth. The economics for integrating Thompson Creek and Langeloth are extrapolated from the Thompson Creek feasibility study. NOTE: "n.m." stands for not meaningful. (5) Refer to the news release titled "Centerra Gold Announces Thompson Creek Feasibility Study Results and Strategic Plan for US Molybdenum Operations, Including a Restart of the Thompson Creek Mine and Ramp-up of Langeloth", published September 12, 2024 ([LINK](#)) and the Technical Report on the Thompson Creek Mine, published September 27, 2024 ([LINK](#)).

# Thompson Creek: De-risked Capital Project

➤ With infrastructure already in place, Thompson Creek initial capital investment is largely de-risked

## DE-RISKED CAPITAL PROJECT

- Capital investment is largely de-risked due to an existing pit, significantly advanced equipment rebuilds and equipment purchases already completed
- Existing process plant only requires some refurbishment
- Actual non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup> since restart decision (Sept 12, 2024): \$113M
- 2025 non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup> guidance: \$130-145M

### Capital Expenditures (based on FS)

|                                   | Initial Capital Costs (\$M) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Capitalized pre-production costs  | 263                         |
| Mining capital                    | 21                          |
| Mill and tailings capital costs   | 87                          |
| G&A capital costs                 | 10                          |
| Initial capital costs contingency | 16                          |
| <b>TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS</b>        | <b>397</b>                  |



(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A.



# Thompson Creek Restart: Q3 2025 Highlights

## Thompson Creek restart activities continued to progress in Q3 2025

### THOMPSON CREEK RESTART HIGHLIGHTS

#### Capital Expenditures

- Q3 2025 Non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup>: \$31 million
- Total non-sustaining capital expenditures<sup>(1)</sup> since the restart decision in September 2024: \$113 million
- By the end of Q3 2025, **29% of total capital investment has been spent**

#### Guidance

- 2025 non-sustaining capital<sup>(1)</sup> guidance: \$130-145 million
- The project remains on track, with first production expected in H2 2027

#### Project Activities

- In Q3 2025, mining activities focused on stripping in the Union Gap area and western side of the pit
- Plant refurbishment, detailed engineering, long lead procurement activities, demolition work, and housing development continued as planned



(1) Non-GAAP financial measures ratios. Refer to the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A.



# Metallurgical Facilities Market

➤ **Langeloth is a strategic asset given its proximity to North American steel manufacturers**

## LANGELOTH IS A UNIQUE AND STRATEGIC ASSET

- Given **proximity to North American steel manufacturers**, the business is important for the area
- Part of “**made in America**” **story** for re-onshoring steelmaking in the United States
- Over 100 years of operating experience, with flexible and agile operations, strong foundation and **significant value as a merchant conversion business or an integrated converter** (fully permitted; excellent environmental performance; insignificant closure costs)
- Strong leadership and people:** The team at Langeloth has a wealth of experience in molybdenum conversion operations and a strong commercial network
- Opportunity for vertical integration with Thompson Creek:** Processing Thompson Creek molybdenum concentrate allows for increased capacity utilization at Langeloth to leverage fixed costs
  - De-risks concentrate sourcing**, and provides greater operational and commercial flexibility via blending






# Langeloth Value Drivers: Capacity Utilization


➤ Demonstrated ability to increase molybdenum roasting capacity in a straightforward manner

## HISTORICAL PRODUCTION VOLUME AT LANGELOTH


## PATH TO INCREASING CAPACITY UTILIZATION



NOTE: C&M stands for "care and maintenance".



# APPENDIX



Source: S&P Capital IQ

## Capital Structure<sup>(1)</sup>

- Common shares: 201,731,082
- Options: 2,628,357
- RSUs: 1,012,863

## Top Shareholders<sup>(2)</sup>

- BlackRock: 7.5%
- Dimensional Fund Advisors: 6.2%
- Donald Smith & Company Inc.: 5.8%

## Analyst Coverage

- Bank of America
- BMO Capital Markets
- Canaccord Genuity
- CIBC World Markets
- Cormark Securities
- Desjardins Capital Markets
- Global Mining Research
- National Bank Financial
- Raymond James
- RBC Capital Markets
- Scotiabank
- TD Securities

(1) As at October 28, 2025. (2) As at December 31, 2025.

# Leadership Team



**Paul Tomory**  
President and Chief  
Executive Officer

- Joined Centerra in May 2023.
- 25+ years of experience in mining, engineering, and construction.
- Previously, he was Executive VP and Chief Technical Officer at Kinross Gold Corporation, where he worked for over 14 years in a series of progressive technical roles.



**David Hendriks**  
Executive Vice President  
and Chief Operating Officer

- Joined Centerra in April 2025.
- Mining engineer with 30+ years of experience.
- Previously, David was the Senior Vice President of Nicaraguan Operations at Calibre Mining and has held senior leadership positions at Kinross Gold Corporation.



**Ryan Snyder**  
Executive Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer

- Joined Centerra in 2022 as Vice President, Finance, and was appointed EVP and CFO in April 2024.
- 15+ years of experience in financial management in the mining industry.
- Previously, Ryan was CFO of Electra Battery Materials and Primero Mining and held key financial roles at resource companies.



**Claudia D'Orazio**  
Executive Vice  
President, People,  
Technology and  
Supply Chain

- Joined Centerra in February 2020.
- 30+ years of experience as a Senior Executive and has an extensive background in human resources, risk management, internal audit, information technology, supply chain management, integrations, treasury and finance.
- Previously, she held various executive roles at Pembina Pipeline Corporation from 2006 to 2020.



**Luke Jalsevac**  
Executive Vice  
President, Major  
Projects

- Joined Centerra in September 2024.
- Experienced mining executive with extensive experience leading large capital projects at all stages of development from initial studies to final execution.
- Previously, Luke held various senior projects and executive roles at Kinross Gold.



**Yousef Rehman**  
Executive Vice  
President, Legal  
and Public Affairs


- Joined Centerra in 2013 as Senior Legal Counsel. Promoted to Vice President and General Counsel, later EVP & General Counsel, in 2018.
- Responsible for management of Centerra's legal affairs.
- 15+ years of legal experience, principally in the mining industry.



**Hélène Timpano**  
Executive Vice  
President, Strategy  
and Corporate  
Development

- Joined Centerra in May 2023.
- An experienced mining executive and former management consultant with a multinational background.
- Led operations strategy at Kinross Gold Corporation, holding various executive roles.

# Board of Directors



**Paul N. Wright**  
Chair and Director

- Has been a director of Centerra since May 2020. Appointed Chair in January 2026.
- Chair of the Technical and Corporate Responsibility Committee.



**Karen David-Green**  
Director

- Has been a director since May 2025.
- A member of the Audit Committee as well as the Technical and Corporate Responsibility Committee.



**Wendy Kei**  
Director

- Has been a director since May 2022.
- Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.



**Nancy Lipson**  
Director

- Has been a director since May 2025.
- Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee.



**Craig MacDougall**  
Director

- Has been a director since May 2024.
- A member of the Audit Committee as well as the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Technical and Corporate Responsibility Committee.



**Michael S. Parrett**  
Director

- Has been a director of since May 2014. Served as Chair from October 2019 to December 2025.
- A member of the Audit Committee and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee.



**Jacques Perron**  
Director

- Has been a director since October 2016.
- Chair of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee and a member of the Technical and Corporate Responsibility Committee.



**Paul Tomory**  
President and Chief Executive Officer

- Has been Centerra's President & CEO and director since May 1, 2023.

# Highlights of Additional Royal Gold Agreement<sup>(1)</sup>

► **Additional agreement with Royal Gold unlocks incremental mineral reserves & resources at Mount Milligan**

## TRANSACTION HIGHLIGHTS

- Centerra will **receive increased cash payments for Mount Milligan gold and copper delivered to Royal Gold**<sup>(2)</sup>
- Provides support for Mount Milligan to elect to receive additional payments from Royal Gold prior to the first delivery threshold in a low commodity price environment<sup>(5)</sup>
- As part of the additional agreement, Centerra has agreed to make certain payments and deliveries to Royal Gold:
  - Upfront cash payment of \$24.5 million
  - Deliver an aggregate of 50,000 ounces of gold, of which 33,333 ounces are expected to be delivered by Centerra in relation to the sale of its 50% interest in the Greenstone Gold Mines Partnership, with the balance delivered in quarterly installments equally over a 5-year period, with first delivery to occur by June 30, 2030
  - Starting in approximately 2030, Centerra will make payments equal to 5% of Mount Milligan's annual free cash flow, which will double, for a total of 10% per year, starting in approximately 2036<sup>(1)</sup>

## Gold and Copper Payments Received from Royal Gold<sup>(3)</sup>

| Delivery Threshold<br>(after January 1,<br>2024)                                                                                   | Approximate<br>Period <sup>(4)</sup> | Gold<br>Payments<br>Received<br>from Royal<br>Gold | Copper<br>Payments<br>Received<br>from Royal<br>Gold |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Until either 375 Kozs of gold or 30 Kt of copper have been delivered to Royal Gold ("First Threshold")                             | 2024 – 2029                          | \$435/oz                                           | 15% of spot copper price                             |
| After First Threshold until 665 Kozs of gold has been delivered.<br>After First Threshold until 60 Kt of copper has been delivered | 2030 – 2035                          | Lower of \$850/oz and 50% of spot gold price       | 50% of spot copper price                             |
| After 665 Koz of gold has been delivered.<br>After 60 Kt of copper has been delivered                                              | 2036+                                | Lower of \$1,050/oz and 66% of spot gold price     | 66% of spot copper price                             |

(1) Refer to the February 14, 2024 news release and the full agreement filed on [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca) for additional details on the additional Royal Gold agreement. (2) The percentage of gold and copper production streamed to Royal Gold remains unchanged at 35% gold and 18.75% copper. (3) Gold and copper payments are totals, inclusive of amounts due under existing stream agreement. (4) Approximate year estimates are based on PFS production profile. (5) In this period, Centerra can elect to receive additional payments, if gold price is at or below \$1,600/oz and copper is at or below \$3.50/lb, such that the total payments for gold increase to the lesser of \$850/oz and 66% of spot; and the total payments for copper increase to 50% of spot price, inclusive of existing stream agreement. These payments are repayable under certain conditions.

# Molybdenum: What Is It, How Is It Used?



- ❖ **Molybdenum (Mo)** is mainly a by-product of copper mining, with primary molybdenum mines like Centerra's Thompson Creek mine yielding high-grade concentrate
- ❖ Concentrates are blended to meet **metallurgical or chemical grade** specifications and roasted
- ❖ Can be further processed into **value-added products** like briquettes, ferromolybdenum, and pure molybdenum oxide that command a higher premium
- ❖ Around 648 million pounds of new molybdenum was used in 2024, with the **most used in engineered steels (39%) and stainless steels (25%)**<sup>(1)</sup>



## Metallurgical Grade

### Technical Oxide

- ❖ Used in the production of engineered and stainless steels
- ❖ Currently the most common form of molybdenum, used in steel making
- ❖ Adds strength properties to steel; corrosion resistant; used in high-performance applications



### Ferromolybdenum

- ❖ Similar steel performance benefits to technical oxide
- ❖ Has a premium and higher contribution margin than technical oxide
- ❖ Can be used for any molybdenum-containing steel; preferred due to lower losses in steelmaking, even when accounting for premium pricing



## Chemical Grade Specialty Products

- ❖ Used in catalysts, lubricants, pigments, corrosion inhibitors, etc. Has rigorous and high-purity specification requirements
- ❖ Supplied largely by concentrates from primary molybdenum mines due to requirements for high purity
- ❖ In general, chemical grade products command a premium and have a higher contribution margin



(1) International Molybdenum Association, [First use of new molybdenum, 2024](#).

# Molybdenum Demand: Steadily Growing

➤ **Forecasted steady demand growth of 2% per year on par with historical demand growth from 1995-2023<sup>(1)</sup>**

## DEMAND FOR MOLYBDENUM IN STEEL IS GROWING

- Molybdenum is **primarily used in production of engineered and stainless steel<sup>(1)</sup>**
- Adds strength properties to steel; corrosion resistant; used in high-performance applications
- **Global demand for new<sup>(2)</sup> molybdenum is expected to reach ~757Mlb by 2030<sup>(1)</sup>**
- Renewal of Europe's oil and gas infrastructure to drive demand
- Increasing molybdenum intensity per tonne to further support demand
- Global steel and chemical producers seek molybdenum from roasters that fulfill their demand for specifications, quality, and reliability

## MINERALS WITH RELEVANT LOW-CARBON TECHNOLOGIES<sup>(3)</sup>

|            | Wind | Solar photovoltaic | Concentrated solar power | Hydro | Geothermal | Energy Storage | Nuclear | Coal | Gas | Carbon capture and storage |
|------------|------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|----------------|---------|------|-----|----------------------------|
| Copper     |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Nickel     |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Molybdenum |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Chromium   |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Manganese  |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Aluminum   |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Lead       |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Titanium   |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Zinc       |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Cobalt     |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Silver     |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Vanadium   |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Indium     |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Iron       |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Graphite   |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Lithium    |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |
| Neodymium  |      |                    |                          |       |            |                |         |      |     |                            |

## MOLYBDENUM IS A CRITICAL COMPONENT FOR GREEN ENERGY

- Molybdenum is a strategic mineral, and designated as critical in several countries<sup>(4)</sup>
- **Future demand growth in energy transition<sup>(4)</sup>**
  - Critical component of key energy transition technologies, especially wind turbines, geothermal, hydrogen, but also nuclear, hydro, and solar photovoltaic
  - Enhanced corrosion resistance and high-temperature stability make moly-reinforced steels ideal for energy transition projects
- **The World Bank names molybdenum as one of the cross-cutting minerals along with copper** and estimates a 119% growth through 2050 from green technologies<sup>(5)</sup>

(1) Third party commodity research reports. (2) International Molybdenum Association (IMO) defines new molybdenum as being from mine production only and does not include recycled material and material recovered from spent catalysts (3) World Bank Group's publication entitled "[Minerals for Climate Action: The Mineral Intensity of the Clean Energy Transition](#)". (4) [The Canadian Critical Minerals Strategy](#). (5) Under the renewable energy roadmap scenario from the International Renewable Energy Agency.



# Molybdenum Supply: Potential Challenges Ahead

Thompson Creek is a unique opportunity for Centerra to have a captive source of high-quality feed, which is becoming increasingly scarce and competitive to source

**Molybdenum supply is principally produced as a by-product from certain copper mines**

- ◆ Molybdenum by-product production from existing copper mines, particularly in South America, has decreased in part due to investment challenges
- ◆ Cost of bringing on such mines is significant, leading to delays and deferrals
- ◆ As a result, molybdenum supply has been constrained

**Only three primary (non-by-product) molybdenum mines outside of China**

- ◆ Thompson Creek is one of three primary molybdenum mines in the world, outside of China
- ◆ High-quality molybdenum concentrate is scarce, creating a unique opportunity for Thompson Creek


**Thompson Creek is forecasted to one of the near-term primary molybdenum mines to start production<sup>(1)</sup>**

- ◆ Thompson Creek is expected to begin production in H2 2027
- ◆ Thompson Creek has the lowest expected project development costs of new primary molybdenum supply (\$397M versus more than \$800M for other projects<sup>(2)</sup>)
- ◆ Brownfield re-start at Thompson Creek de-risks capital investment

(1) Third party commodity research reports. (2) The other projects include: Malmberg Molybdenum Project (owned by Greenland Resources), Kitsault Molybdenum Mine (owned by New Moly), Shapinggou Molybdenum Mine (majority-owned by Zijin Mining).

# Molybdenum Price: Strong Fundamentals

Centerra's molybdenum price forecast of \$20/lb is supported by: (1) persistent forecasted supply deficit<sup>(1)</sup> and (2) sustained robust commodity price performance



Sustained robust commodity price performance supports Centerra's Mo price assumptions of \$20/lb

(1) Third party commodity research reports. (2) Platts daily average price for molybdenum oxide (US\$/lb).

# Langeloth Commercial Model


➤ Langeloth dampens the volatility in the molybdenum market and remains profitable at lower Mo prices

## REVIEW OF COMMERCIAL MODEL AT LANGELOTH

- Source concentrate (third-party offtake plus Thompson Creek supply), process concentrate at Langeloth roasting facility and sell upgraded product to customers
- Price protection:** Extract a spread on the molybdenum price. Langeloth maintains profitability even at lower molybdenum prices. Mo market price regulated by LME Platts
- Working capital requirements** fluctuate with the Mo market price due to purchases of third-party concentrate
- Price established through Quotational Period<sup>(1)</sup> ("QP") mechanisms, which determine the month in which the price paid for concentrate purchases and price received for product sales is finalized


## Langeloth pricing mechanism

ILLUSTRATIVE



## Quotational period contract mechanism

ILLUSTRATIVE



(1) The "quotational period" (QP) is the period during which the metal prices are established for payment. QP is stipulated in each contract; generally, it is defined as 'calendar month prior to shipment', 'first month following delivery', 'second month following delivery'. QP may vary from calendar month prior, to shipment, to first or second calendar month following date of delivery. This impacts the timing at which payment is made as well as the price paid/received. Aligning supplier and customer QP allows to reduce market price exposure and risk of volatile market prices.

# 2025 Production & Cost Guidance

## 2025 Production Guidance (Koz)

|                                                        | Q3 2025 YTD | 2025 Guidance    |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| <b>Consolidated Gold Production</b>                    | <b>204</b>  | <b>250 – 290</b> |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(1,2,3)</sup>                      | 103         | 145 – 165        |
| Öksüt                                                  | 101         | 105 – 125        |
| <b>Total Copper Production<sup>(1,2,3)</sup> (Mlb)</b> | <b>37</b>   | <b>50 – 60</b>   |

## 2025 Cost Guidance<sup>(4)</sup> (\$/oz)

|                                                                       | Q3 2025 YTD  | 2025 Guidance        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| <b>Consolidated Gold Production Costs</b>                             | <b>1,312</b> | <b>1,300 – 1,400</b> |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(1)</sup>                                         | 1,423        | 1,350 – 1,450        |
| Öksüt                                                                 | 1,199        | 1,200 – 1,300        |
| <b>All-in Sustaining Cost on a by-product basis<sup>NG(2,3)</sup></b> | <b>1,604</b> | <b>1,650 – 1,750</b> |
| Mount Milligan                                                        | 1,298        | 1,350 – 1,450        |
| Öksüt                                                                 | 1,573        | 1,675 – 1,775        |

(1) The Mount Milligan Mine is subject to an arrangement with RGLD Gold AG and Royal Gold Inc. (together, "Royal Gold") which entitles Royal Gold to purchase 35% and 18.75% of gold and copper produced, respectively, and requires Royal Gold to pay \$435 per ounce of gold and 15% of the spot price per metric tonne of copper delivered ("Mount Milligan Mine Streaming Agreement"). Using assumed market prices of \$3,850 per ounce of gold and \$4.50 per pound of copper for the fourth quarter of 2025, the Mount Milligan Mine's average realized gold and copper price for that period would be \$2,655 per ounce and \$3.78 per pound, respectively, compared to average realized prices of \$2,478 per ounce and \$3.72 per pound in the nine months ended September 30, 2025, when factoring in the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement and concentrate refining and treatment costs. (2) Gold production for 2025 at the Mount Milligan Mine assumes estimated recoveries of 60% to 62% down from 63% and 65% gold recovery estimates in the previous guidance, and compares to actual gold recovery of 60.9% achieved in the nine months ended September 30, 2025. Copper production for 2025 assumes recovery 77% to 79% for copper, which is unchanged from the previous guidance, and compares to actual copper recovery of 76.7% achieved in the nine months ended September 30, 2025. (3) Unit costs include a credit for forecasted copper sales treated as by-product for all-in sustaining costs. Production for copper and gold reflects estimated metallurgical losses resulting from handling of the concentrate and metal deductions levied by smelters (4) Units noted as (\$/oz) relate to gold ounces.

# 2025 Capital Expenditures and Other Guidance

## 2025 Capital Expenditures Guidance (\$M)

|                                                                     | Q3 2025 YTD  | 2025 Guidance    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| <b>Consolidated Additions to PP&amp;E<sup>(2,6)</sup></b>           | <b>180.4</b> | <b>237 – 279</b> |
| Mount Milligan                                                      | 52.2         | 75 – 90          |
| Öksüt                                                               | 31.8         | 30 – 40          |
| Thompson Creek                                                      | 94.8         | 130 – 145        |
| <b>Consolidated Sustaining Capital Expenditures<sup>(1,4)</sup></b> | <b>69.5</b>  | <b>92 – 114</b>  |
| Mount Milligan                                                      | 43.5         | 60 – 70          |
| Öksüt                                                               | 25.1         | 30 – 40          |
| <b>Non-sustaining Capital Expenditures<sup>(1,5)</sup></b>          | <b>89.6</b>  | <b>145 – 165</b> |
| Mount Milligan                                                      | 5.4          | 15 – 20          |
| Thompson Creek                                                      | 83.7         | 130 – 145        |

## 2025 Other Guidance (\$M)

|                                                          | Q3 2025 YTD | 2025 Guidance   |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| <b>Consolidated DD&amp;A<sup>(3)</sup></b>               | <b>85.5</b> | <b>88 – 110</b> |
| Mount Milligan                                           | 45.3        | 50 – 60         |
| Öksüt                                                    | 36.8        | 35 – 45         |
| <b>Consolidated Income Tax &amp; BC mineral tax paid</b> | <b>56.1</b> | <b>83 – 95</b>  |
| Mount Milligan                                           | 3.1         | 3 – 5           |
| Öksüt                                                    | 53.0        | 80 – 90         |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measures. See the "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures" section of the Company's latest MD&A. (2) Includes Langeloth YTD 2025 additions to PP&E of \$0.9M and 2025 additions to PP&E guidance of \$2-4M at Langeloth. All additions to PP&E at Langeloth are classified as sustaining capital expenditures. (3) Includes Langeloth YTD 2025 DD&A of \$3.4M and 2025 DD&A guidance of \$3-5M at Langeloth. (4) Includes Langeloth YTD 2025 sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.9M and guidance of \$2-4M at Langeloth (5) Includes non-sustaining capital expenditures of \$0.5M in the nine months ended September 30, 2025 related to Kemess. (6) Includes PP&E of \$0.7M in the nine months ended September 30, 2025 under "Other".

# 2025 Exploration and Other Guidance

## Global Exploration Costs (\$M)

|                                        | Q3 2025 YTD | 2025 Guidance |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Total Exploration Costs <sup>(3)</sup> | 39.3        | 40 – 50       |
| Brownfield Exploration <sup>(3)</sup>  | 23.2        | 25 – 30       |
| Greenfield and Generative Exploration  | 16.1        | 15 – 20       |

## Other Costs (\$M)

|                                               | Q3 2025 YTD | 2025 Guidance |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Kemess Project Care & Maintenance             | 9.8         | 13 – 15       |
| Corporate Administration Costs <sup>(4)</sup> | 23.5        | 28 – 32       |

## Molybdenum Business Unit (“MBU”) (\$M)

|                                                            | Q3 2025 YTD | 2025 Guidance |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Langeloth – Total molybdenum roasted (Mlbs) <sup>(2)</sup> | 10.6        | 13 – 15       |
| Langeloth – Earnings (loss) from operations                | (2.1)       | (3) - 5       |
| Langeloth – Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>                 | 1.4         | 2 – 8         |
| Endako Mine – Care and Maintenance Expenses                | 4.0         | 6 – 8         |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measures. See the “Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures” section of the Company’s latest MD&A. (2) 2025 guidance figure does not include any toll material roasted. (3) Total and brownfield exploration costs include capitalized exploration costs at the Mount Milligan Mine of \$7.9 million spent in the nine months ended September 30, 2025, and \$7 to \$9 million projected for the full year of 2025. (4) Corporate and administration costs do not include stock-based compensation and corporate depreciation of \$10.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in the nine months ended September 30, 2025.

# Consolidated Financial & Operating Highlights

## Financial Highlights (\$M, except as noted)

|                                                                      | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024    | 2023    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Revenue                                                              | 395.2   | 983.0    | 1,214.5 | 1,094.9 |
| Production costs                                                     | 223.4   | 597.1    | 710.3   | 706.0   |
| Gold production costs (\$/oz)                                        | 1,346   | 1,312    | 913     | 733     |
| All-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis <sup>(1)</sup> (\$/oz) | 1,652   | 1,604    | 1,148   | 1,013   |
| Earnings from mine operations                                        | 136.4   | 300.4    | 378.0   | 264.0   |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>                          | 66.4    | 145.4    | 152.9   | 10.5    |
| Adjusted net earnings (loss) per common share <sup>(1,2)</sup>       | 0.33    | 0.70     | 0.72    | 0.05    |
| Free cash flow <sup>(1)</sup>                                        | 98.7    | 83.1     | 138.6   | 160.2   |
| Sustaining capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>                       | 25.7    | 69.5     | 101.6   | 83.5    |
| Non-sustaining capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>                   | 32.6    | 89.6     | 58.5    | 4.8     |

## Operating Highlights

|                                                          | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024    | 2023    |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Gold produced (oz)                                       | 81,773  | 204,463  | 368,104 | 350,317 |
| Gold sold (oz)                                           | 80,598  | 203,064  | 368,183 | 348,399 |
| Average realized gold price (\$/oz) <sup>(3)</sup>       | 3,178   | 2,874    | 2,078   | 1,718   |
| Copper produced (000s lbs)                               | 13,354  | 37,438   | 54,342  | 61,862  |
| Copper sold (000s lbs)                                   | 13,245  | 37,488   | 57,897  | 60,109  |
| Average realized copper price (\$/lb) <sup>(3)</sup>     | 3.73    | 3.72     | 3.25    | 3.01    |
| Molybdenum purchased (000s lbs)                          | 5,092   | 12,437   | 10,306  | 12,322  |
| Molybdenum sold (000s lbs)                               | 3,121   | 10,441   | 10,912  | 11,235  |
| Average realized molybdenum price (\$/lb) <sup>(3)</sup> | 24.42   | 22.41    | 22.05   | 25.39   |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. All per unit costs metrics are expressed on a metal sold basis. See discussion under "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measure". (2) As at September 30, 2025, the Company had 201,642,438 common shares issued and outstanding. (3) This supplementary financial measure within the meaning of National Instrument 52-112 - Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measures Disclosure ("NI 51-112") is calculated as a ratio of revenue from the consolidated financial statements and units of metal sold and includes the impact from the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement (defined below), copper hedges and mark-to-market adjustments on metal sold not yet finally settled. Under the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement, the Company purchases refined gold and copper warrants and arranges for their delivery to Royal Gold and Royal Gold is entitled to 35% of gold ounces sold and 18.75% of copper pounds sold. Royal Gold paid \$435 per ounce of gold delivered and 15% of the spot price per tonne of copper delivered in the periods presented.

# Mount Milligan Financial & Operating Highlights

## Financial Highlights (\$M, except as noted)

|                                                                        | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024  | 2023  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|
| Gold revenue                                                           | 82.8    | 249.6    | 299.8 | 218.2 |
| Total revenue                                                          | 137.3   | 399.3    | 495.8 | 407.3 |
| Production costs                                                       | 77.4    | 225.8    | 306.3 | 303.4 |
| Gold production costs (\$/oz)                                          | 1,540   | 1,423    | 1,105 | 1,088 |
| All-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis <sup>(1,3)</sup> (\$/oz) | 1,461   | 1,298    | 1,078 | 1,156 |
| Earnings from mine operations                                          | 45.4    | 128.2    | 116.7 | 27.4  |
| Free cash flow from mine operations <sup>(1)</sup>                     | 44.6    | 114.8    | 118.6 | 72.7  |
| Sustaining capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>                         | 19.6    | 43.5     | 54.0  | 44.0  |
| Non-sustaining capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>                     | 0.7     | 5.4      | -     | -     |

## Operating Highlights

|                                                                        | Q3 2025         | YTD 2025          | 2024              | 2023              |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Tonnes mined (000s)                                                    | 12,256          | 35,723            | 46,070            | 50,015            |
| Tonnes ore mined (000s)                                                | 5,726           | 17,124            | 21,929            | 21,278            |
| Tonnes ore processed (000s)                                            | 5,294           | 15,331            | 21,463            | 21,680            |
| Process plant head grade gold (g/t)                                    | 0.34            | 0.35              | 0.40              | 0.36              |
| Process plant head grade copper (%)                                    | 0.16%           | 0.15%             | 0.16%             | 0.18%             |
| Gold recovery (%)                                                      | 58.6%           | 60.9%             | 62.8%             | 64.0%             |
| Copper recovery (%)                                                    | 75.6%           | 76.7%             | 74.8%             | 77.6%             |
| Gold produced <sup>(2)</sup> / Gold sold <sup>(2)</sup> (oz)           | 32,539 / 32,102 | 103,477 / 102,456 | 167,579 / 170,389 | 154,391 / 152,460 |
| Copper produced <sup>(2)</sup> / Copper sold <sup>(2)</sup> (000s lbs) | 13,354 / 13,244 | 37,438 / 37,488   | 54,342 / 57,897   | 61,862 / 60,109   |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. All per unit costs metrics are expressed on a metal sold basis. See discussion under "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measure". (2) Mount Milligan production and sales are presented on a 100%-basis. Under the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement, Royal Gold is entitled to 35% of gold ounces sold and 18.75% of copper pounds sold. Royal Gold paid \$435 per ounce of gold delivered and 15% of the spot price per metric tonne of copper delivered in the periods presented. (3) Includes the impact from the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement and the impact of copper hedges.

# Öksüt Financial & Operating Highlights

## Financial Highlights (\$M, except as noted)

|                                                                      | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024  | 2023  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|
| Revenue                                                              | 169.1   | 329.7    | 465.7 | 380.9 |
| Production costs <sup>(1)</sup>                                      | 59.1    | 120.7    | 148.0 | 89.6  |
| Gold production costs (\$/oz)                                        | 1,219   | 1,199    | 748   | 457   |
| All-in sustaining costs on a by-product basis <sup>(2)</sup> (\$/oz) | 1,473   | 1,573    | 1,015 | 675   |
| Earnings from mine operations                                        | 90.2    | 172.2    | 267.7 | 247.2 |
| Free cash flow from mine operations <sup>(2)</sup>                   | 133.6   | 147.1    | 206.5 | 238.2 |
| Sustaining capital expenditures <sup>(2)</sup>                       | 5.8     | 25.1     | 41.9  | 36.9  |

## Operating Highlights

|                                          | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024    | 2023    |
|------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Tonnes mined (000s)                      | 4,882   | 12,654   | 16,937  | 9,873   |
| Tonnes ore mined (000s)                  | 1,618   | 3,863    | 4,128   | 486     |
| Ore mined – grade (g/t)                  | 1.71    | 1.20     | 1.07    | 0.95    |
| Ore crushed (000s)                       | 1,457   | 3,599    | 4,091   | 2,497   |
| Tonnes of ore stacked (000s)             | 1,476   | 3,714    | 4,621   | 2,519   |
| Heap leach grade (g/t)                   | 1.82    | 1.22     | 1.13    | 1.91    |
| Heap leach contained ounces stacked (oz) | 86,422  | 145,552  | 168,035 | 154,878 |
| Gold produced (oz)                       | 49,234  | 100,986  | 200,525 | 195,926 |
| Gold sold (oz)                           | 48,496  | 100,608  | 197,794 | 195,939 |

(1) Includes government royalties of \$24.2 million and \$39.7 million during three and nine months ended September 30, 2025 and \$12.5 million and \$37.5 million during three and nine months ended September 30, 2024, respectively. (2) Non-GAAP financial measure. All per unit costs metrics are expressed on a metal sold basis. See discussion under "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measure".

# Molybdenum BU Financial & Operating Highlights

## Financial Highlights (\$M, except as noted)

|                                                         | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024    | 2023   |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| Total revenue                                           | 88.8    | 254.0    | 253.0   | 306.7  |
| Production costs                                        | 86.9    | 250.6    | 256.0   | 312.9  |
| Earnings (loss) from mine operations                    | 0.8     | -        | (6.4)   | (10.5) |
| Care and maintenance costs – Molybdenum mines           | 1.3     | 4.5      | 9.3     | 16.7   |
| Earnings (loss) from operations                         | (1.9)   | (6.0)    | (20.4)  | (65.0) |
| Cash provided by (used in) operations                   | (16.3)  | (23.4)   | (41.0)  | (44.4) |
| Free cash flow (deficit) from operations <sup>(1)</sup> | (53.7)  | (114.6)  | (100.7) | (46.3) |
| Total capital expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>               | 31.7    | 84.6     | 63.1    | 2.0    |

## Langeloth Operating Highlights

|                                   | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024   | 2023   |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| Mo purchased (Mlbs)               | 5,092   | 12,437   | 10,306 | 12,322 |
| Mo roasted (Mlbs)                 | 4,428   | 10,627   | 10,164 | 11,377 |
| Mo sold (Mlbs)                    | 3,121   | 10,441   | 10,912 | 11,235 |
| Average realized Mo price (\$/lb) | 24.42   | 22.41    | 22.05  | 25.39  |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. All per unit costs metrics are expressed on a metal sold basis. See discussion under "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measure".



# Operating Cost Breakdown (by Operating Site)

## Mount Milligan

|                                                 | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024  | 2023  |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|
| Mining cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>     | 2.66    | 2.67     | 2.68  | 2.25  |
| Processing cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup> | 6.41    | 6.20     | 5.33  | 5.96  |
| Site G&A cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>   | 2.59    | 2.65     | 2.45  | 2.51  |
| On site cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>    | 15.16   | 15.09    | 13.54 | 13.66 |

## Öksüt

|                                                 | Q3 2025 | YTD 2025 | 2024  | 2023  |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|
| Mining cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>     | 3.16    | 3.28     | 3.26  | 2.69  |
| Processing cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup> | 6.22    | 6.27     | 5.76  | 4.98  |
| Site G&A cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>   | 7.39    | 8.70     | 8.49  | 9.36  |
| On site cost per tonne (\$/t) <sup>(1)</sup>    | 24.07   | 26.13    | 26.19 | 24.88 |

(1) Non-GAAP financial measure. All per unit costs metrics are expressed on a metal sold basis. See discussion under "Non-GAAP and Other Financial Measure".

# 2025 Sensitivities and Material Assumptions

## 2025 SENSITIVITIES

|                                  |           | Impact on (\$M)          |               |            |            | Impact on (\$/oz)                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                  |           | Production Costs & Taxes | Capital Costs | Revenues   | Cash Flows | AISC on a by-product basis per ounce <sup>(4)</sup> |
| Gold price <sup>(1)</sup>        | -\$250/oz | 1.5 – 2.0                | —             | 9.0 – 16.0 | 7.5 – 14.0 | 25 – 35                                             |
| Gold price <sup>(1)</sup>        | +\$250/oz | 1.5 – 3.5                | —             | 5.0 – 12.0 | 3.5 – 8.5  | 30 – 40                                             |
| Copper price <sup>(1)</sup>      | 10%       | 0.5 – 1.0                | —             | 4.5 – 9.0  | 4.0 – 8.0  | 90 – 100                                            |
| Diesel fuel <sup>(2)</sup>       | 10%       | 0.4 – 1.0                | 0.1 – 0.5     | —          | 0.5 – 1.5  | 5 – 15                                              |
| Canadian dollar <sup>(2,3)</sup> | 10 cents  | 5.0 – 6.0                | 0.1 - 0.5     | —          | 5.1 – 6.5  | 65 – 100                                            |
| Turkish lira <sup>(3)</sup>      | 5 liras   | 0.5 – 1.0                | 0.5 – 1.0     | —          | 1.0 – 2.0  | 20 – 25                                             |

(1) Includes the impact of hedging of 10,770 ounces for the Öksüt Mine's gold sales in the fourth quarter. Excludes the effect of 32,279 ounces of gold with an average mark-to-market price of \$3,859 per ounce and 13.3 million pounds of copper with an average mark-to-market price of \$4.65 per pound outstanding under the Mount Milligan Mine's contracts awaiting final settlement in future months as of September 30, 2025. (2) Includes the effect of the Company's diesel fuel and Canadian dollar hedging programs, with current exposure coverage as of September 30, 2025 of approximately 43% and 52%, respectively. (3) Appreciation of the currency against the US dollar results in higher costs and lower cash flow and earnings. Depreciation of the currency against the US dollar results in decreased costs and increased cash flow and earnings.

## 2025 MATERIAL ASSUMPTIONS

Other material assumptions or factors not mentioned above but used to estimate production and costs for the remaining three months of 2025 after giving effect to the hedges in place as at September 30, 2025, include the following:

- market gold price of \$3,850 per ounce (\$3,300 per ounce in the previous guidance), and an average realized gold price at the Mount Milligan Mine of \$2,655 per ounce (\$2,297 per ounce in the previous guidance) after reflecting the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement (i.e., 35% of the Mount Milligan Mine's gold is sold to Royal Gold for \$435 per ounce) and gold refining costs;
- Market price of \$4.50 per pound for copper (\$4.00 per pound in the previous guidance). Realized copper price at the Mount Milligan Mine is estimated to average \$3.78 per pound (\$3.36 per pound in the previous guidance) after reflecting the Mount Milligan Streaming Agreement (18.75% of the Mount Milligan Mine's copper is sold to Royal Gold at 15% of the spot price per metric tonne), and copper treatment and refining costs;
- Molybdenum price of \$25.00 per pound (\$21.00 per pound in the previous guidance);
- Exchange rates are as follows: \$1USD:\$1.37 CAD (\$1USD:\$1.36 CAD in the previous guidance), and \$1USD:42 Turkish lira (\$1USD:38 Turkish lira in the previous guidance);
- Diesel fuel price of \$0.99/litre or CAD\$1.40/litre at the Mount Milligan Mine (\$1.02/litre or CAD\$1.39/litre in the previous guidance) and \$2.85/gallon (which is unchanged from previous guidance) at the Thompson Creek Mine.

# Mineral Reserves and Resources – Gold<sup>(1)</sup>

## (as of December 31, 2024)<sup>(2)</sup>

centerraGOLD



| Property                      | Proven Gold Reserves |             |                      | Probable Gold Reserves |             |                      | Total Proven & Probable Gold Reserves |             |                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
|                               | Tonnes (kt)          | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) | Tonnes (kt)            | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) | Tonnes (kt)                           | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3)</sup> | 190,315              | 0.31        | 1,880                | 292,842                | 0.27        | 2,537                | <b>483,157</b>                        | <b>0.28</b> | <b>4,417</b>         |
| Öksüt                         | 475                  | 0.63        | 10                   | 19,604                 | 1.04        | 653                  | <b>20,080</b>                         | <b>1.03</b> | <b>662</b>           |
| Goldfield                     | 9,944                | 1.04        | 334                  | 23,404                 | 0.49        | 372                  | <b>33,348</b>                         | <b>0.66</b> | <b>706</b>           |
| <b>Total</b>                  | <b>200,734</b>       | <b>0.35</b> | <b>2,224</b>         | <b>335,850</b>         | <b>0.33</b> | <b>3,561</b>         | <b>536,584</b>                        | <b>0.33</b> | <b>5,785</b>         |

| Property                        | Measured Gold Resources <sup>(4)</sup> |             |                      | Indicated Gold Resources <sup>(4)</sup> |             |                      | Total Measured & Indicated Gold Resources |             |                      |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
|                                 | Tonnes (kt)                            | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) | Tonnes (kt)                             | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) | Tonnes (kt)                               | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3,5)</sup> | 48,765                                 | 0.24        | 370                  | 133,602                                 | 0.25        | 1,074                | <b>182,367</b>                            | <b>0.25</b> | <b>1,445</b>         |
| Öksüt                           | 393                                    | 0.55        | 7                    | 2,224                                   | 0.72        | 51                   | <b>2,617</b>                              | <b>0.69</b> | <b>58</b>            |
| Kemess Main – Open Pit          | -                                      | -           | -                    | 170,513                                 | 0.30        | 1,668                | <b>170,513</b>                            | <b>0.30</b> | <b>1,668</b>         |
| Kemess South – Open Pit         | -                                      | -           | -                    | 13,204                                  | 0.37        | 158                  | <b>13,204</b>                             | <b>0.37</b> | <b>158</b>           |
| Kemess Underground - UG         | -                                      | -           | -                    | 33,223                                  | 0.82        | 877                  | <b>33,223</b>                             | <b>0.82</b> | <b>877</b>           |
| Kemess Underground - East       | -                                      | -           | -                    | 27,491                                  | 0.64        | 565                  | <b>27,491</b>                             | <b>0.64</b> | <b>565</b>           |
| Goldfield <sup>(6)</sup>        | 446                                    | 1.88        | 27                   | 3,463                                   | 0.57        | 64                   | <b>3,909</b>                              | <b>0.72</b> | <b>91</b>            |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>49,604</b>                          | <b>0.26</b> | <b>404</b>           | <b>383,720</b>                          | <b>0.36</b> | <b>4,458</b>         | <b>433,324</b>                            | <b>0.35</b> | <b>4,862</b>         |

| Property                        | Inferred Gold Resources <sup>(6)</sup> |             |                      |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
|                                 | Tonnes (kt)                            | Grade (g/t) | Contained Gold (koz) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3,5)</sup> | 11,116                                 | 0.29        | 103                  |
| Öksüt                           | 130                                    | 1.06        | 4                    |
| Kemess Main – Open Pit          | 237,050                                | 0.30        | 2,299                |
| Kemess South – Open Pit         | 198                                    | 0.34        | 2                    |
| Kemess Underground - UG         | 20,094                                 | 0.74        | 481                  |
| Kemess Underground - East       | 42,252                                 | 0.57        | 772                  |
| Goldfield <sup>(7)</sup>        | 1,892                                  | 0.33        | 20                   |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>312,732</b>                         | <b>0.36</b> | <b>3,681</b>         |

1) Centerra's equity interests are as follows: Mount Milligan 100%, Öksüt 100%, Kemess Open Pit, Kemess Underground and Kemess East 100%, Goldfield 100%. Mineral reserves and resources for these properties are presented on a 100% basis. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

2) Reported resources for Kemess Main Open Pit, Kemess South Open Pit, Kemess Underground UG and Kemess Underground East have an effective date of December 31, 2025. Reported reserves and resources for Mount Milligan and Goldfield have an effective date of June 30, 2025.

3) Production at Mount Milligan is subject to a streaming agreement with RGLD Gold AG and Royal Gold, Inc. (collectively, "Royal Gold") which entitles Royal Gold to 35% of gold sales from the Mount Milligan Mine. Under the stream arrangement, Royal Gold will pay a reduced price per ounce of gold delivered. Mineral reserves and resources for the Mount Milligan property are presented on a 100% basis.

4) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability.

5) Mineral resources reported as exclusive of reserves for comparative purposes only. See news release dated September 11, 2025 for resources inclusive of reserves.

6) Inferred mineral resources have a lower level of confidence as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category.

7) Mineral inventory reported as exclusive of reserves for comparative purposes only. See news release dated August 6, 2025 for resources inclusive of reserves.

# Mineral Reserves & Resources – Copper<sup>(1)</sup>

## (as of June 30, 2025)<sup>(2)</sup>

centerraGOLD



| Property                      | Proven Copper Reserves |                  |                         | Probable Copper Reserves |                  |                         | Total Proven & Probable Copper Reserves |                  |                         |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                               | Tonnes (kt)            | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)              | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                             | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3)</sup> | 190,315                | 0.17             | 698                     | 292,842                  | 0.16             | 1,051                   | <b>483,157</b>                          | <b>0.16</b>      | <b>1,749</b>            |
| <b>Total</b>                  | <b>190,315</b>         | <b>0.17</b>      | <b>698</b>              | <b>292,842</b>           | <b>0.16</b>      | <b>1,051</b>            | <b>483,157</b>                          | <b>0.16</b>      | <b>1,749</b>            |

| Property                        | Measured Copper Resources <sup>(4)</sup> |                  |                         | Indicated Copper Resources <sup>(4)</sup> |                  |                         | Total Measured & Indicated Copper Resources |                  |                         |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                                 | Tonnes (kt)                              | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                               | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                                 | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3,5)</sup> | 48,765                                   | 0.16             | 177                     | 133,602                                   | 0.14             | 405                     | <b>182,367</b>                              | <b>0.14</b>      | <b>582</b>              |
| Kemess Main - Open Pit          | -                                        | -                | -                       | 170,513                                   | 0.15             | 575                     | <b>170,513</b>                              | <b>0.15</b>      | <b>575</b>              |
| Kemess South – Open Pit         | -                                        | -                | -                       | 13,204                                    | 0.13             | 38                      | <b>13,204</b>                               | <b>0.13</b>      | <b>38</b>               |
| Kemess Underground - UG         | -                                        | -                | -                       | 33,223                                    | 0.36             | 265                     | <b>33,223</b>                               | <b>0.36</b>      | <b>265</b>              |
| Kemess Underground - East       | -                                        | -                | -                       | 27,491                                    | 0.44             | 268                     | <b>27,491</b>                               | <b>0.44</b>      | <b>268</b>              |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>48,765</b>                            | <b>0.16</b>      | <b>177</b>              | <b>378,033</b>                            | <b>0.19</b>      | <b>1,551</b>            | <b>426,798</b>                              | <b>0.18</b>      | <b>1,728</b>            |

| Property                        | Inferred Copper Resources <sup>(6)</sup> |                  |                         |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                                 | Tonnes (kt)                              | Copper Grade (%) | Contained Copper (Mlbs) |
| Mount Milligan <sup>(3,5)</sup> | 11,116                                   | 0.11             | 28                      |
| Kemess Main - Open Pit          | 237,050                                  | 0.13             | 682                     |
| Kemess South – Open Pit         | 198                                      | 0.08             | 0.4                     |
| Kemess Underground - UG         | 20,094                                   | 0.33             | 148                     |
| Kemess Underground - East       | 42,252                                   | 0.42             | 393                     |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>310,710</b>                           | <b>0.18</b>      | <b>1251.4</b>           |

1) Centerra's equity interests are as follows: Mount Milligan 100%, Kemess Open Pit, Kemess Underground, and Kemess East 100%. Mineral reserves and resources for these properties are presented on a 100% basis. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

2) Reported resources for Kemess Main Open Pit, Kemess South Open Pit, Kemess Underground UG and Kemess Underground East have an effective date of December 31, 2025.

3) Production at Mount Milligan is subject to a streaming agreement which entitles Royal Gold to 18.75% of copper sales from the Mount Milligan Mine. Under the stream arrangement, Royal Gold will pay a reduced percentage of the spot price per metric tonne of copper delivered. Mineral reserves and resources for the Mount Milligan property are presented on a 100% basis.

4) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability.

5) Mineral resources reported as exclusive of reserves for comparative purposes only. See news release dated September 11, 2025 for resources inclusive of reserves.

6) Inferred mineral resources have a lower level of confidence as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category.

# Mineral Reserves & Resources – Molybdenum<sup>(1)</sup>

## (as of December 31, 2024)



### Proven & Probable Molybdenum Mineral Reserves

| Property            | Proven Reserves <sup>(2)</sup> |                |                       | Probable Reserves <sup>(2)</sup> |                |                       | Total Proven & Probable Reserves |                |                       |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                     | Tonnes (kt)                    | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                      | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                      | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) |
| Thompson Creek Mine | 44,885                         | 0.08           | 75                    | 68,104                           | 0.06           | 86                    | 112,989                          | 0.07           | 161                   |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>44,885</b>                  | <b>0.08</b>    | <b>75</b>             | <b>68,104</b>                    | <b>0.06</b>    | <b>86</b>             | <b>112,989</b>                   | <b>0.07</b>    | <b>161</b>            |

### Measured & Indicated Molybdenum Mineral Resources

| Property            | Measured Resources <sup>(2)</sup> |                |                       | Indicated Resources <sup>(2)</sup> |                |                       | Total Measured & Indicated Resources |                |                       |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                     | Tonnes (kt)                       | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                        | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) | Tonnes (kt)                          | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) |
| Thompson Creek Mine | 5,009                             | 0.06           | 7                     | 45,178                             | 0.06           | 57                    | 50,187                               | 0.06           | 63                    |
| Endako              | 47,100                            | 0.05           | 48                    | 122,175                            | 0.04           | 118                   | 169,275                              | 0.04           | 166                   |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>52,109</b>                     | <b>0.05</b>    | <b>55</b>             | <b>167,353</b>                     | <b>0.05</b>    | <b>175</b>            | <b>219,462</b>                       | <b>0.05</b>    | <b>229</b>            |

### Inferred Molybdenum Mineral Resources

| Property            | Inferred Resources <sup>(3)</sup> |                |                       |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
|                     | Tonnes (kt)                       | Moly Grade (%) | Contained Moly (Mlbs) |
| Thompson Creek Mine | 10,523                            | 0.07           | 17                    |
| Endako              | 47,325                            | 0.04           | 44                    |
| <b>Total</b>        | <b>57,848</b>                     | <b>0.05</b>    | <b>61</b>             |

1) Centerra's equity interests are as follows: Thompson Creek 100% and Endako 75%. Mineral reserves and resources for these properties are presented on a 100% basis. Numbers may not add up due to rounding.

2) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves. Mineral resources do not have demonstrated economic viability.

3) Inferred mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined economically. It cannot be assumed that all or part of the inferred mineral resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category.

# Mineral Reserves & Resources Additional Footnotes

## General

A conversion factor of 31.1035 grams per troy ounce of gold is used in the mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates.

## Mount Milligan Mine

The mineral reserves are reported based on a gold price of \$1,800 per ounce, a copper price of \$3.75 per pound and an exchange rate of 1USD:1.33CAD.

The open pit mineral reserves are reported based on a Net Smelter Return ("NSR") cut-off of \$8.45 per tonne (C\$11.24 per tonne) that considers metallurgical recoveries, concentrate grades, transportation costs, and smelter treatment charges to determine economic viability. Reserves include 31.7 million tonnes of marginal material to be processed at the end of mine life for closure purposes.

The mineral resources are reported based on a gold price of \$2,100 per ounce, a copper price of \$4.00 per pound, and an exchange rate of 1USD:1.33CAD.

The open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are reported based on a NSR cut-off of \$8.45 per tonne (C\$11.24 per tonne) that considers metallurgical recoveries, concentrate grades, transportation costs, and smelter treatment charges to determine economic viability.

## Öksüt Mine

The mineral reserves are reported based on a gold price of \$1,800 per ounce and an exchange rate of 1USD:34TL.

The open pit mineral reserves are reported based on 0.16 grams of gold per tonne cut-off grade.

Open pit optimization used an average life of mine ("LOM") metallurgical recovery of 77%.

The mineral resources are reported based on a gold price of \$2,000 per ounce.

Open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are estimated based on 0.16 grams of gold per tonne cut-off grade.

Further information concerning the Öksüt deposit, operation, as well as environmental and other risks is described in Centerra's most recently filed Annual Information Form which is available on SEDAR+ at [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca) and EDGAR at [www.sec.gov/edgar](http://www.sec.gov/edgar) and the Technical Report on the Öksüt Project, dated September 3, 2015, which is available on SEDAR+ at [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca). Sample preparation, analytical techniques, laboratories used, and quality assurance-quality control protocols used during the exploration drilling programs are consistent with industry standards and were carried out by independent, certified assay labs.

## Kemess

A conversion factor of 31.1035 grams per troy ounce of gold is used in the mineral reserve and resource estimates.

The mineral resources are reported based on a gold price of \$2,400 per ounce, a copper price of \$4.00 per pound, a silver price of \$25.00 per ounce and an exchange rate of 1USD:1.33CAD.

The Kemess Main open pit mineral resources (including the Nugget zone) are constrained by a pit shell and are reported based on a Net Smelter Return ("NSR") cut-off of \$12.01 per tonne (C\$15.97 per tonne) that considers materials handling costs, metallurgical recoveries, concentrate grades, transportation costs, and smelter treatment charges to determine economic viability. A dilution factor of 0% and a mining recovery of 100% is used.

The Kemess South open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are reported based on a NSR cut-off of \$9.98 per tonne (C\$13.27 per tonne) that considers metallurgical recoveries, concentrate grades, transportation costs, and smelter treatment charges to determine economic viability. A dilution factor of 0% and a mining recovery of 100% is used.

The Kemess Underground mineral resource is constrained by optimized stope shapes using commercially available software. Optimized stope shapes were included where the estimated average stope NSR exceeded a minimum stope cut-off value of \$40.68 per tonne (C\$54.10 per tonne), representing the estimated break-even value required to cover mining, processing, general and administrative, and sustaining capital costs. Economic screening was performed on stope shapes to ensure reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. Dilution was estimated using equivalent linear overbreak sloughing ("ELOS") for each slope type and ore-waste contacts, which vary between zero and 1.25 metres. Mining recovery of 93% was applied to all stopes.

The Kemess East underground mineral resource is constrained by optimized stope shapes using commercially available software. Optimized stope shapes were included where the estimated average stope NSR exceeded a minimum stope cut-off value of \$40.68 per tonne (C\$54.10 per tonne), representing the estimated break-even value required to cover mining, processing, G&A, and sustaining capital costs. Economic screening was performed on stope shapes to ensure reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. Dilution was estimated using ELOS for each slope type and ore-waste contacts, which vary between zero and 1.25 metres. Mining recovery of 93% was applied to all stopes.

The Kemess Main open pit shell was restricted to a minimum floor elevation of 1,355 metres above sea level ("masl") and the Kemess Underground optimized stope shapes were restricted to a maximum elevation of 1,355 masl, to represent the conceptual transition between open pit and underground mining zones for resource estimation purposes.

A portion of the mineral resource estimate is included in the economic analysis for the PEA, which is limited to the Kemess Main open pit and Kemess Underground zones. This is a conservative subset that reflects mining, processing and economic assumptions. It is important to note that the PEA mining inventory is not a mineral reserve and does not demonstrate economic viability. The subset of the mineral resource used in the PEA was based on a gold price of \$2,000 per ounce, a copper price of \$3.75 per pound, a silver price of \$22.50 per ounce and an exchange rate of 1USD:1.33CAD.

## Thompson Creek Mine

The mineral reserves have been estimated based on a molybdenum price of \$16.00 per pound.

The open pit mineral reserves are based on a 0.030% molybdenum cut-off grade.

The mineral resources have been estimated based on a molybdenum price of \$18.50 per pound.

The open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are estimated based on a 0.025% molybdenum cut-off grade.

Further information concerning the Thompson Creek deposit, current and planned operations as well as environmental and other risks are described in the technical report dated September 2024 and filed on SEDAR+ at [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca). Sample preparation, analytical techniques, laboratories used, and quality assurance-quality control protocols used during the exploration drilling programs are consistent with industry standards and were carried out by independent, certified assay labs.

## Endako Mine

The mineral resources are reported based on a molybdenum price of \$14.00 per pound and an exchange rate of 1USD:1.25CAD.

The open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are estimated based on a 0.025% molybdenum cut-off grade.

# Mineral Reserves & Resources Additional Footnotes

## Goldfield

A conversion factor of 31.1035 grams per troy ounce of gold and 0.9072 metric tonnes per short ton are used in the mineral reserve and resource estimates.

Samples were prepared and analyzed by independent, ISO-accredited laboratories. Quality control programs include the insertion of blanks, certified reference materials, duplicate samples, internal and external reviews and checks by umpire laboratories.

Development of geological and mineralized domains, geostatistical analysis, block model construction and grade estimates were done using industry standard methods and commercially available software packages. Assays were composited and capped; block grades were estimated using ordinary kriging.

The following formula was used to calculate cut-off grade for each mineralized zone:  $[\text{Processing cost} + \text{G\&A cost}] / [\text{Recovery} * (\text{Gold Price} * \text{Payability Factor} * (1 - \text{Royalty}\%) - \text{Selling Cost})]$  where G&A cost is \$0.55/t, payability factor is 99.9% and selling cost is \$5/oz.

## Goldfield Reserves

Mineral reserves are reported in metric tonnes based on a gold price of \$2,000/oz.

Mineral reserve estimates are supported by mineable pit designs, detailed LOM plan, equipment simulations, capital and operating cost estimates, and financial analysis.

The Gemfield pit includes a volume of “must take” mineralized material (662,157 tonnes and 6,469 contained ounces) for permitting and closure purposes which lies outside the optimized pit shell. This material is included in the Gemfield reserve pit and economic analysis.

Lersch-Grossman (LG) pit shells were generated for each mineralized zone that guided pit design. Pit shell inputs include average mining cost, incremental haulage cost, overall pit slope angles, metallurgical recoveries, processing costs and costs of sales. Metallurgical testing for each mineralized zone was used to determine recoveries and processing costs. Pit shell optimization inputs are shown below.

**Mining Cost:** A base mining cost of \$3.47/t was applied with an incremental haulage costs of \$0.31/t and \$0.35/t applied to Goldfield Main and McMahon Ridge respectively. A general and administrative (“G&A”) cost of \$0.55/t was applied for constraining the pit shell.

**Pit Slope Angles:** Overall slope angles were assumed to be 35 degrees for all mineralized zones, except Goldfield Main which varied between 25 and 35 degrees depending on slope orientation. Inter-ramp pit slope used in designs are variable by rock type and were determined by drilling, laboratory testing, and geotechnical evaluations of the different zones.

**Processing Costs:** Processing costs were estimated based on crushing and metallurgical testing to determine sizing of equipment, reagent consumption, placement of material, and leaching operations. Gemfield: run-of-mine (“ROM”) \$3.95/t, crushed \$5.97/t; Goldfield Main: ROM \$4.87/t, crushed \$6.90/t; Jupiter: ROM \$3.03/t, crushed \$5.06/t; McMahon Ridge: ROM \$3.43/t for oxide and \$4.99/t for transition, crushed \$5.46/t for oxide and \$7.02/t for transition material.

**Recovery:** Recoveries were estimated by laboratory testing of representative samples including bottle roll and column leach tests. Gemfield (0.1-0.8 g/t Au): ROM 69%, crushed 87%; Gemfield (>0.8 g/t Au): ROM 54%, crushed 78%; Goldfield Main: ROM 61%, crushed 51% for transition or 82% for oxide material; Jupiter: ROM 56%, crushed 77%; McMahon Ridge: ROM 56%, crushed 61% for transition or 77% for oxide material.

**Cut-off Grades:** Gemfield: ROM 0.11 g/t, crushed 0.12 g/t; Goldfield Main: ROM 0.16 g/t, crushed 0.15 g/t for oxide or 0.24 g/t for transition material; Jupiter: ROM 0.10 g/t, crushed 0.12 g/t; McMahon Ridge: ROM 0.10 g/t, crushed 0.12 g/t for oxide or 0.20 g/t for transition material.

No dilution factor was applied as the selective mining unit (“SMU”) is expected to account for operational dilution and reflects the equipment sizing and capabilities.

Royalties applied: Gemfield 5%, Goldfield Main 4%, Jupiter 2.9%, McMahon Ridge 3%

## Goldfield Resources

Mineral resources are reported in metric tonnes based on a gold price of \$2,400/oz.

The open pit mineral resources are constrained by a pit shell and are reported based on cut-off grades reported below that take into consideration metallurgical recoveries and selling costs.

**Mining Cost:** A base mining cost of \$3.43/t was used with an incremental haulage costs of \$0.31/t and \$0.35/t applied to Goldfield Main and McMahon Ridge respectively. A G&A cost of \$0.55/t was applied for constraining the pit shell.

**Processing Costs:** Processing costs were estimated based on crushing and metallurgical testing to determine sizing of equipment, reagent consumption, placement of material, and leaching operations. Goldfield Main: ROM \$3.95/t, crushed \$6.27/t; Goldfield: ROM \$4.87/t, crushed \$7.20/t; Jupiter: ROM \$3.03/t, crushed \$5.36/t; McMahon Ridge: ROM \$3.43/t, crushed \$5.75/t for oxide and \$7.32/t for transition material.

**Cut-off Grades:** Gemfield: ROM 0.08 g/t, crushed 0.10 g/t; Goldfield Main: ROM 0.12 g/t, crushed 0.12 g/t for oxide and 0.20 g/t for transition material; Jupiter: ROM 0.08 g/t, crushed 0.10 g/t; McMahon Ridge: ROM 0.09 g/t, crushed 0.11 g/t for oxide and 0.17 g/t for transition material.

No royalty costs were applied to the resource estimate.

**Sulphide Resources:** Laboratory testing has shown that material classified as sulphide can be recovered from the Goldfield and McMahon Ridge zones with crushing. Sulphide material contained in the constraining pit shell is included in the resource. Processing costs, recoveries and cut-off grades for sulphide materials as follows – Goldfield Main: Crushed processing cost \$9.59/t, recovery 51%, cut-off grade 0.26 g/t; McMahon Ridge: Crushed processing cost \$7.89/t, recovery 37%, cut-off grade 0.30 g/t.

## Qualified Persons (“QPs”) – Mineral Reserves and Resources

Christopher Richings, Professional Engineer, member of the Engineers and Geoscientists British Columbia and Centerra’s Vice President, Technical Services, has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information contained in this presentation. Mr. Richings is a “qualified person” within the meaning of the Canadian Securities Administrator’s NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.

All mineral reserve and resources have been estimated in accordance with the standards of the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum and NI 43-101.

Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates are forward-looking information and are based on key assumptions and are subject to material risk factors. If any event arising from these risks occurs, the Company’s business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, and the market price of Centerra’s shares could be adversely affected. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company, or that are currently deemed immaterial, may also materially and adversely affect the Company’s business operations, prospects, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, and the market price of Centerra’s shares. See the section entitled “Risk That Can Affect Centerra’s Business” in the Company’s annual Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) for the quarter-ended March 31, 2025, available on SEDAR+ at [www.sedarplus.ca](http://www.sedarplus.ca) and EDGAR at [www.sec.gov/edgar](http://www.sec.gov/edgar) and see also the discussion below under the heading “Caution Regarding Forward-looking Information”.

TSX **CG**

**centerra**GOLD



NYSE **CGAU**



**THANK YOU!**

1 University Avenue, Suite 1800  
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2P1

**phone** 416-204-1953

**fax** 416-204-1954

---

**email** [investor@centerragold.com](mailto:investor@centerragold.com)

---